Checklist of the frogs and reptiles of Western Australia

K.P. Aplin and L.A. Smith

Western Australian Museum, Francis Street, Perth, Western Australia 6000, Australia

INTRODUCTION

A formal checklist of the frogs and reptiles of Western Australia has not been published previously, although similar functions have been served by the various generations of handbooks produced originally by Glauert (1950, 1957, 1961, 1967) for lizards and snakes and more recently by Storr, Smith and Johnstone (1981, 1983, 1986, 1990, 1999) for these groups and by Tyler, Smith and Johnstone (1984, 1994, 2000) for frogs.

The general philosophy of this checklist, along with the companion checklists of mammals, birds and fishes, has been discussed in a brief introductory essay (Aplin *et al.*, 2001). Before presenting this particular checklist, however, it is necessary to address a number of additional points of historic and taxonomic interest.

For any reader familiar with the taxonomic usage employed in the Western Australian Museum's current handbook series, published in first editions between 1981 and 1990, the content of the present checklist will represent a radical departure. In part this reflects the significant advances in phylogenetic knowledge gained for several groups over the last two decades. However, it also represents a reconciliation of the often-contrasting taxonomies employed by two of Australia's most influential herpetologists, Glen Storr, Curator of Birds and Reptiles at the Western Australian Museum 1962–1986, and Hal Cogger, Curator of Reptiles and later, Deputy Director of the Australian Museum, 1963–1995.

Another factor in determining the content of this listing has been the decision by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (Anonymous, 1991) rejecting suppression of the two self-published works by Wells and Wellington (1984, 1985), in which many hundreds of taxonomic actions were taken in relation to Australian frogs and reptiles. This decision has confirmed the availability of many names, some of which predate names proposed for valid taxa in subsequent taxonomic reviews.

In general, we have employed species and subspecies ranks in accordance with the principles outlined in the introductory essay. To recapitulate briefly, "species are essentially biological entities maintained by *intrinsic* attributes promoting reproductive isolation, while <u>subspecies</u> are essentially

geographic entities maintained by extrinsic factors that effectively deny reproductive interaction." In practical terms, this definition leads us to recognise subspecies for allopatric populations that are essentially alike in basic ethology and reproductive biology, but are diagnosably distinct in features that are likely to have been subject to environmentally determined patterns of selection and/or random drift. Such differences most often involve features such as size and body proportions, ground colour or minor details of patterning and/or scalation. Such taxa are more likely to be treated as full species where the differences between them involve aspects of the 'specific mate recognition system' (sensu Paterson, 1985) or where there has been divergence in features that might imply contrasting lifestyles.

In the relatively few cases where hybrid interaction has been demonstrated between closelyrelated taxa of frogs and reptiles, the frequency and outcome of hybridization is also taken into account in deciding the taxonomic rank of participating populations. As a general rule of thumb, occasional or spatially-restricted hybridization with limited introgression generally does not challenge the identity of separate species, while frequent, widespread hybridization and effective introgression is likely to eliminate genetic and morphological distinction between subspecies brought into secondary contact in relatively few generations. Where hybridization occurs at moderate to high frequency along a zone of contact or narrow overlap, a stable or gradually shifting 'tension zone' (sensu Barton and Charlesworth, 1984) may form. These are sometimes aligned with an environmental gradient of some kind, but more often they owe their origin and stability to partial chromosomal incompatibility. In such cases, the individual taxa are generally treated as subspecies or as chromosomal 'races', depending on the degree of morphological and ecological distinctiveness.

Wherever possible, we have attempted to eliminate or at least highlight any prior subspecific nomenclature that was created solely to label geographical isolates in the absence of morphological or other differentiation, or to designate subdivisions or points along extended clines. Both practices were standard practice during the earlier years of vertebrate systematics in

Australia, as elsewhere in the world (Wilson and Brown, 1953), but are no longer regarded as acceptable taxonomic actions.

Format and scope

The checklist covers all taxa of frogs and reptiles recorded in Western Australia, including several that have become established since European settlement. The naturalised exotics are clearly identified as such in the list. Taxa that are recorded as occasional accidental introductions, either from eastern Australia (e.g., naturalised Cane Toads, *Bufo marinus*) or from overseas (e.g., *Bufo melanostictus*), are not listed.

The list includes taxonomic ranks above the genus

level in order to convey a sense of phylogenetic structure, but only where the integrity of a particular clade is well-supported by several lines of evidence. Otherwise the list is presented in alphabetical order and without synonyms or summaries of prior combinations. An extended series of footnotes are presented in justification of certain decisions and as a means of highlighting major areas of taxonomic or phylogenetic uncertainty.

Bibliographic details of type descriptions of most species can be obtained from Cogger *et al.* (1983); for ease of reference, we provide these details for taxa described subsequent to their work.

We thank Dr Glen Shea for his useful comments on an earlier version of this work.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous (1991). Three works by Richard W. Wells and C. Ross Wellington: proposed suppression for nomenclatural purposes. Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 48: 337-338.
- Aplin, K.P. (1998). Three new blindsnakes (Squamata: Typhlopidae) from northwestern Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 19: 1-12 [descriptions of Ramphotyphlops ganei, R. longissimus, R. splendidus].
- Aplin, K.P. (1999). 'Amateur' taxonomy in Australian herpetology – help or hindrance? Monitor, Journal of the Victorian Herpetological Society 10: 104–109.
- Aplin, K.P. and Adams, M. (1998). Morphological and genetic discrimination of new species and subspecies of gekkonid and scincid lizards (Squamata: Lacertilia) from the Carnarvon Basin region of Western Australia. Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia 81: 201–223 [descriptions of Ctenotus maryani, Diplodactylus klugei, Menetia surda cresswelli].
- Aplin, K.P. and Donnellan, S.C. (1993). A new species of blindsnake, genus *Ramphotyphlops* (Typhlopidae, Squamata) from northwestern Western Australia, with a redescription of *R. hamatus*, Storr 1981. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 16: 243–256 [description of *Ramphotyphlops pilbarensis*].
- Aplin, K.P. and Donnellan, S.C. (1999). An extended description of the Pilbara Death Adder, Acanthophis wellsi Hoser (Serpentes: Elapidae), with notes on the Desert Death Adder, A. pyrrhus, Boulenger, and identification of a possible hybrid zone. Records of the Western Australian Museum 19: 277–298.
- Aplin, K.P., Adams, M. and Cowan, M.A. (2001) Systematics and biogeography of the herpetofauna of the Carnarvon region of Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum, Supplement (in press).
- Aplin, K.P., Cooper, N.K., How, R.A., Hutchins, J.B. Johnstone, R.E. and Smith, L.A. (2001). Introduction to the checklists of the vertebrates of Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum, Supplement No. 63: 1–7.
- Badham, J.A. (1976). The Amphibolorus barbatus speciesgroup (Lacertilia: Agamidae). Australian Journal of Zoology 24: 423–443.
- Bardense, W. (1984). Speciation in the genus Crinia

- (Anura: Myobatrachidae) in southern Australia: a phylogenetic analysis of allozyme data supporting endemic speciation in southwestern Australia. *Evolution* 38: 1238–1250.
- Barker, D.G. and Barker, T.M. (1994). *Pythons of the world. Volume 1, Australia*. Advanced Vivarium Systems, Lakeside, California.
- Barton, N.H. and Charlsworth, B. (1984). Genetic revolutions, founder effects, and speciation. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 15: 133–164.
- Bauer, A.M. (1990). Phylogenetic systematics and biogeography of the Carphodactylini (Reptilia: Gekkonidae). Bonner Zoologische Monographien 30: 1– 218.
- Bauer, A.M., Good, D.A. and Branch, W.R. (1997). The taxonomy of the southern African Leaf-toed Geckos (Squamata: Gekkonidae), with a review of Old World "Phyllodactylus" and the description of five new genera. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences 49: 447–497.
- Böhme, W. (1991). The identity of *Varanus gouldii* (Gray, 1838), and the nomenclature of the *V. gouldii*-species complex. *Mertensiella* 2: 38–41.
- Bowen, B.W., Nelson, W.S. and Avise, J.C. (1993). A molecular phylogeny for marine turtles: trait mapping, rate assessment, and conservation relevance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science U.S.A.* 90: 5574–5577.
- Broderick, D., Moritz, C., Miller, J.D., Guinea, M., Prince, R.I.T. and Limpus, C.J. (1994). Genetic studies of the hawksbill turtle *Eretmochelys imbricata*: evidence for multiple stocks in Australian waters. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 1: 123–131.
- Brongersma, L.D. (1996). On the availability of the name *Dermochelys coriacea schlegelii* (Garman, 1884) as species or subspecies of Leatherback Turtle. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 2: 261–265.
- Bull, C.M. (1978). The position and stability of a hybrid zone between two Western Australian frog species Ranidella insignifera and R. pseudinsignifera. Australian Journal of Zoology 26: 305–322.
- Bull, C.M. (1979). A narrow hybrid zone between two Western Australian frog species Ranidella insignifera

- and R. pseudinsignifera: the fitness of hybrids. Heredity 42: 381–389.
- Burton, T.C. (1996). Adaptation and evolution in the hand muscles of Australo-Papuan hylid frogs (Anura: Hylidae: Pelodryadinae). *Australian Journal of Zoology* 44: 611–623.
- Burton, T.C. (1998). Pointing the way: the distribution and evolution of some characters of the finger muscles of frogs. American Museum Novitates 3229: 1– 13.
- Cann, J. (1997). Kuchling's Long-neck Turtle. Monitor, Journal of the Victorian Herpetological Society Inc. 9 (1): 41–44 [description of Chelodina kutchlingi].
- Cann, J. (1998). Australian freshwater turtles. Beaumont Publishing, Singapore.
- Choquenot, D. and Greer, A.E. (1989). Intrapopulational and interspecific variation in digital limb bones and presacral vertebrae of the genus *Hemiergis* (Lacertilia, Scincidae). *Journal of Herpetology* 23: 274–281.
- Coate, K.H. (1997). First records of the blind snake Ramphotyphlops braminus from Western Australia. Western Australian Naturalist 21: 181.
- Cogger, H.G. (1975). The reptiles and amphibians of Australia. A.H. and A.W. Reed; Chatswood, N.S.W.
- Cogger, H.G. (1992). Reptiles and amphibians of Australia, 3rd Edition. Reed Books, Chatswood, N.S.W.
- Cogger, H.G. (2000). Reptiles and amphibians of Australia, 6th Edition. Reed Books, Chatswood, N.S.W.
- Cogger, H.G., Cameron, E.E. and Cogger, H.M. (1983).
 Zoological catalogue of Australia. Vol. 1. Amphibia and Reptilia. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.
- Couper, P.J. and Gregson, R.A.M. (1994). Redescription of Nephrurus asper Günther, and a description of N. amyae sp. nov. and N. sheai sp. nov. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 37: 67–81 [description of Nephrurus sheai].
- Coventry, A.J. and Rawlinson, P.A. (1980). Taxonomic revision of the elapid snake genus *Drysdalia* Worrell 1961. *Memoirs of the National Museum Victoria* 41: 65–78.
- Cundall, D., Wallach, V. and Rossman, D.A. (1993). The systematic relationships of the snake genus Anomochilus. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 109: 275–299.
- Daugherty, C.H. and Maxson, L.R. (1982). A biochemical assessment of the evolution of myobatrachine frogs. *Herpetologica* 38: 341–348.
- Davies, M., Mahony, M.J. and Roberts, J.D. (1985). A new species of *Uperoleia* (Anura: Myobatrachidae) from the Pilbara region of Western Australia. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* 109: 103–108 [description of *Uperoleia glandulosa*].
- Davies, M., Martin, A.A. and Watson, G.F. (1983). Redefinition of the *Litoria latopalmata* species group (Anura: Hylidae). *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* 107: 87–108 [description of *Litoria pallida*].
- Donnellan, S. C., Hutchison, M. N. and Saint, K. M. (1999). Molecular evidence for the phylogeny of Australian gekkonoid lizards. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 67: 97–118.
- Donnellan, S.C., Aplin, K.P. and Dempsey, P.J. (2000).

- Genetic and morphological variation in Australian Christinus (Squamata: Gekkonidae): preliminary overview with recognition of a cryptic species on the Nullarbor Plain. Australian Journal of Zoology 48: 289–315.
- Douglas, A.M. and Ride, W.D.L. (1962). Reptiles. In A.J. Fraser (ed), The results of an expedition to Bernier and Dorre Islands, Shark Bay: 113-119. Fisheries Department, Perth, Western Australia.
- Dowling, H.G., Haas, C.A., Hedges, B. and Highton, R. (1996). Snake relationships revealed by slow-evolving proteins: a preliminary survey. *Journal of Zoology* **240**: 1–28.
- Driscoll, D.A. (1997). Mobility and metapopulation structure of *Geocrinia alba* and *G. vitellina*, two endangered frog species from southwestern Australia. Australian Journal of Ecology 22: 185–195.
- Driscoll, D.A. (1998). Genetic structure of the frogs Geocrinia lutea and Geocrinia rosea reflects extreme population divergence and range changes, not dispersal barriers. Evolution 52: 1147–1157.
- Dutton, P.H., Davis, S.K., Guerra, T and Owens, D. (1996). Molecular phylogeny for marine turtles based on sequences of the ND4-leucine tRNA and control regions of mitochondrial DNA. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 5: 511-521.
- Ernst, C.H. and Barbour, R.W. (1989). *Turtles of the world*. Smithsonian Institute Press, Washington D.C.
- Estes, R. de Queiroz, K. and Gauthier, J. (1988). Phylogenetic relationships within Squamata. In Estes, R. amd Pregill, G. (eds) Phylogenetic relationships of the lizard families. Essays commemorating Charles L. Camp: 119-281. Stanford University Press, Stanford, California.
- Ford, L.S. and Cannatella, D.C. (1993). The major clades of frogs. *Herpetological Monographs* 7: 94–117.
- Frair, W. (1979). Taxonomic relations among sea turtles elucidated by serological tests. *Herpetologica* 35: 239–244.
- Gaffney, E.S. (1975). A phylogeny and classification of the higher categories of turtles *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 155: 387–436.
- Gaffney, E.S. (1977). The side-necked turtle family Chelidae: a theory of relationships using shared derived characters. *American Museum Novitates* **2620**: 1–28.
- Gaffney, E.S. and Meylan, P.A. (1988). A phylogeny of turtles. In Benton, M.J. (ed.) The phylogeny and classification of tetrapods, Volume 1: Amphibians, Reptiles, Birds. Systematic Association Special Volume 35A: 157–219.
- Georges, A. and Adams, M. (1992). A phylogeny for Australian freshwater turtles based on allozyme electrophoresis. Australian Journal of Zoology 40: 453– 476.
- Georges, A, Birrell, J., Saint, K.M., McCord, W. And Donnellan, S.C. (1998). A phylogeny for side-necked turtles (Chelonia: Pleurodira) based on mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequence variation. *Biological Journal* of the Linnean Society 67: 213–246.
- Gillam, M.W. (1979). The genus *Pseudonaja* (Serpentes: Elapidae) in the Northern Territory. *Territory Parks and Wildlife Commission Research Bulletin* 1, 28 pp.

- Glauert, L. (1950). A handbook of the snakes of Western Australia. Western Australian Naturalists' Club, Perth
- Glauert, L. (1957). A handbook of the snakes of Western Australia. Second edition. Western Australian Naturalists' Club, Perth.
- Glauert, L. (1961). A handbook of the lizards of Western Australia. Western Australian (Handbook No. 6). Naturalists' Club, Perth.
- Glauert, L. (1967). A handbook of the snakes of Western Australia. Third edition. Western Australian Naturalists' Club, Perth.
- Golay, P., Smith, H.M., Broadley, D.G., Dixon, J.R., McCarthy, C., Rage, J.C., Schätti, B. and Toriba, M. (1993). Endoglyphs and other major venomous snakes of the world. A Checklist. Azemiops, S.A., Geneva.
- Good, D.A., Bauer, A.M. and Sadlier, R.A. (1997). Allozyme evidence for the phylogeny of the giant New Caledonian geckos (Squamata: Diplodactylidae: Rhacodactylus), with comments on the status of R. leachianus henkeli. Australian Journal of Zoology 45: 317–330.
- Greer, A.E. (1986). Diagnosis of the *Lerista bipes* speciesgroup (Lacertilia: Scincidae), with a description of a new species and an updated diagnosis of the genus. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 13: 121–127 [description of *Lerista praefrontalis*].
- Greer, A.E. (1989). The biology and evolution of Australian lizards. Surrey Beatty and Sons, Chipping Norton, N.S.W.
- Greer, A.E. (1990). The *Glaphyromorphus isolepis* species group (Lacertilia: Scincidae): diagnosis of the taxon and description of a new species from Timor. *Journal of Herpetology* **24**: 372–377.
- Greer, A.E. (1997). The biology and evolution of Australian snakes. Surrey Beatty and Sons, Chipping Norton, N.S.W.
- Groombridge, B. (1984). The facial carotid artery in snakes (Reptilia: Serpentes): variations and possible cladistic significance. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 5: 145–155.
- Gyi, K. K. (1970). A revision of the colubrid snakes of the subfamily Homalopsinae. *University of Kansas Publications Museum of Natural History* **20**: 47–223.
- Hallermann, J. (1998). The ethmoidal region of *Dibamus taylori* (Squamata: Dibamidae), with a phylogenetic hypothesis on dibamid relationships. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 122: 385–426.
- Hammond, T.J. (1998). Geographic variation in the scincid species *Lerista lineopunctulata*. Unpublished Honours Thesis, University of Western Australia.
- Heise, P.J., Maxson, L.R., Dowling, H.G. and Hedges, S.B. (1995). Higher-level snake phylogeny inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences of 12S rRNA and 16S rRNA genes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 12: 259– 265.
- Hendrickson, J.R. (1980). The ecological strategies of sea turtles. *American Zoologist* 20: 597–608.
- Heyer, W.R. and Liem, D.S. (1976). Analysis of the intergeneric relationships of the Australian frog family Myobatrachidae. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 233: 1–29.

- Hirayama, R. (1994). Phylogenetic systematics of chelonioid sea turtles. *Island Arc* 3: 270–284.
- Hoser, R. T. (1998). Death Adders (genus Acanthophis): an overview, including descriptions of five new species and one subspecies. Monitor, Journal of the Victorian Herpetological Society, 9: 20–30, 33–41 [description of Acanthophis wellsi].
- Hoser, R.T. (2000). A revision of the Australian Pythons. Ophidia Review 1(1): 7–27 [descriptions of Aspidites ramsayi panoptes, A. r. richardjonesii, A. melanocephalus adelynensis and A. m. davieii subspp. nov].
- Hutchinson, M.N. (1990). The generic classification of the Australian terrestrial elapid snakes. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* **29**: 397–405.
- Hutchinson, M.N., Donnellan, S.C., Baverstock, P.R., Krieg, M., Simms, S. And Burgin, S. (1990) Immunological relationships and generic revision of Australian lizards assigned to the genus *Leiolopisma* (Scincidae: Lygosominae). *Australian Journal of Zoology* 38: 535–554.
- Hutchinson, M.N. and Donnellan, S.C. (1999). Genetic variation and taxonomy of the lizards assigned to *Ctenotus uber orientalis* Storr (Squamata: Scincidae) with description of a new species. *Records of the South Australian Museum* 32: 173–189.
- Hutchinson, M.N. and Maxson, L.R. (1986). Immunological evidence on relationships of some Australian terrestrial frogs (Anura: Hylidae: Pelodryadinae). Australian Journal of Zoology 34: 575–582.
- Hutchinson, M.N. and Maxson, L.R. (1987). Phylogenetic relationships among Australian Tree Frogs (Anura: Hylidae: Pelodryadinae): an immunological approach. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 35: 61–74.
- Hutchinson, M.N. and Maxson, L.R. (1987). Albumin evolution, paraphyly and the relationships of the Australian frog *Megistolotis lignarius* (Anura: Limnodynastinae). *Systematic Zoology* **36**: 387–394.
- International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (2000). Opinion 1948. *Hydrosaurus gouldii* Gray, 1838 (currently *Varanus gouldii*) and *Varanus panoptes* Storr, 1980 (Reptilia, Squamata): specific names conserved by the designation of a neotype for *H. gouldii*. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 57: 63–65.
- Kamegaki, N. and Matsui, M. (1995). Geographic variation in skull morphology of the Green Turtle, Chelonia mydas, with a taxonomic discussion. Journal of Herpetology 29: 51–60.
- Karl, S.A. and Bowen, B.W. (1999). Evolutionary significant units vs geopolitical taxonomy: molecular systematics of an endangered sea turtle (genus *Chelonia*). Conservation Biology 13: 990–999.
- Kendrick, P.G. (1989). Two new species of Lerista (Lacertilia: Scincidae) from the Cape Range and Kennedy Range of Western Australia. Journal of Herpetology 23: 350–355 [description of Lerista allochira and L. kennedyensis].
- Kendrick, P.G. (1991). The phylogenetics and comparative ecology of Lerista Bell, 1833; patterns of evolution in a genus of sand-swimming skinks. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Western Australia.
- Keogh, J.S. (1998). Molecular phylogeny of elapid snakes and a consideration of their biogeographic history.

Frogs and reptiles 55

- Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 63: 177-203.
- Keogh, J.S. (1999). Evolutionary implications of hemipenial morphology in the terrestrial Australian elapid snakes. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 125: 239–278.
- Keogh, J.S. and Smith, S.A. (1996). Taxonomy and natural history of the Australian bandy-bandy snakes (Elapidae: *Vermicella*) with the description of two new species. *Journal of Zoology, London* **240**: 677–701 [description of *Vermicella intermedia*].
- Keogh, J.S., Scott, I.A.W. and Scanlon, J.D. (2000). Molecular phylogeny of viviparous Australian elapid snakes: affinities of *Echiopsis atriceps* (Storr, 1980) and *Drysdalia coronata* (Schlegel, 1837), with description of a new genus. *Journal of Zoology, London* 252: 317–326.
- Keogh, J.S., Shine, R. and Donnellan, S. (1998). Phylogenetic relationships of terrestrial Australo-Papuan elapid snakes based on cytochrome *b* and 16S rRNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **10**: 67–81.
- Kharin, V.E. (1983). [A new species of the genus *Hydrophis* sensu lato (Serpentes, Hydrophiidae) from the North Australian Shelf.] *Zooligischeskii Zhurnal* **62**: 1751–1753 [description of *Hydrophis macdowelli*; in Russian].
- Kharin, V.E. (1984). A review of sea snakes of the group Hydrophis sensu lato (Serpentes, Hydrophiidae).
 The genus Leioselasma. Zooligischeskii Zhurnal 63: 1535–1546 [description of Hydrophis coggeri and H. czeblukovi; in Russian].
- King, F.W. and Burke, R.L. (1989). Crocodilian, tuatara, and turtle species of the world. A taxonomic and geographic reference. Association of Systematic Collections, Washington D.C.
- King, M. (1980). C-banding studies of Australian hylid frogs: Secondary constriction structure and the concept of euchromatin transformation. *Chromosoma* (*Berlin*) 80: 191–217.
- King, M. (1981). A cytotaxonomic ananalysis of Australian hylid frogs of the genus *Litoria*. *In* C.B. Banks and A.A. Martin (eds). *Proceedings of the Melbourne Herpetological Symposium*: 169–175. Zoological Board of Victoria, Melbourne.
- King, M. (1984a). A new species of *Gehyra* (Reptilia: Gekkonidae) from northern Western Australia. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* 108: 113–117 [description of *Gehyra occidentalis*].
- King, M. (1984b). Three new species of Oedura (Reptilia: Gekkonidae) from the Mitchell Plateau of north western Australia. Amphibia-Reptilia 5: 329–337 [descriptions of Oedura filicipoda, O. gracilis, O. obscura].
- King, M. (1987). Monophyleticism and polyphyleticism in the Gekkonidae: a chromosomal perspective. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **35**, 641–654.
- King, M. and Rofe, R. (1976). Karyotypic variation in the Australian gekko *Phyllodactylus marmoratus* (Gray) (Gekkonidae, Reptilia). *Chromosoma* (*Berlin*) **54**, 75–87.
- King, M. and King, D. (1977). An additional chromosome race of *Phyllodactylus marmoratus* (Gray) (Reptilia, Gekkonidae) and its phylogenetic implications. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **25**, 667–72.
- King, M., Horner, P. and Fyfe, G. (1988). A new species

- of Ctenotus (Reptilia: Scincidae) from central Australia, and a key to the Ctenotus leonhardii species group. The Beagle, Records of the Northern Territory Museum of Arts and Sciences 5: 147–153 [description of Ctenotus septenarius].
- Kluge, A.G. (1967). Systematics, phylogeny, and zoogeography of the lizard genus Diplodactylus Gray from Australia. Australian Journal of Zoology 15: 1007– 1108.
- Kluge, A.G. (1976). Phylogenetic relationships in the lizard family Pygopodidae: an evaluation of theory, methods, and data. *Miscellaneous Publications of the Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan* No. 152.
- Kluge, A.G. (1987). Cladistic relationships in the Gekkonoidea (Squamata, Sauria). Miscellaneous Publications of the Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan No. 173.
- Kluge, A.G. (1993). Aspidites and the phylogeny of pythonine snakes. Records of the Australian Museum Supplement No. 19: 1–77.
- Kraus, F. and Brown, W.M. (1998). Phylogenetic relationships of colubroid snakes based on mitochondrial DNA sequences. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 122: 455–487.
- Lee, M.S.Y. (1998). Convergent evolution and character correlation in burrowing reptiles: towards a resolution of squamate relationships. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **65**: 369–453.
- Limpus, C.J., Gyuris, E and Miller, J.D. (1988). Reassessment of the taxonomic status of the sea turtle genus *Natator* McCulloch, 1908, with a redescription of the genus and species. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* 112: 1–9.
- Lindholm, W.A. (1929). Revidiertes Verzeichnis der Gattungen der rezenten Schildkröten nebst Notizen zur Nomenklatur einiger Arten. Zoologische Anzeiger 81: 275–295.
- Littlejohn, M.J. (1959). Call differentiation in a complex of seven species of *Crinia* (Anura: Leptodactylidae). *Evolution* 13: 452–468.
- McDowell, S.B. (1970). On the status and relationships of the Solomon Island elapid snakes. *Journal of Zoology, London* **151**: 145–190.
- McDowell, S.B. (1972). The genera of sea-snakes of the *Hydrophis* group (Serpentes: Elapidae). *Transactions of the Zoological Society of London* 32: 189–247.
- McDowell, S.B. (1974) A catalogue of the snakes of New Guinea and the Solomons, with special reference to those in Bernice P. Bishop Museum. Part I. Scolecophidia. *Journal of Herpetology* 8: 1–57.
- McDowell, S.B. (1975). A catalogue of the snakes of New Guinea and the Solomons, with special reference to those in Bernice P. Bishop Museum. Part II. Aniloidea and Pythoninae. *Journal of Herpetology* 9: 1–91.
- McDowell, S.B. (1979). A catalogue of the snakes of New Guinea and the Solomons, with special reference to those in Bernice P. Bishop Museum. Part III. Boinae and Achrochordoidea (Reptilia, Serpentes). *Journal of Herpetology* 13: 1–92.
- McDowell, S.B. (1987). Systematics. *In Seigel, R.A.,* Collins, J.T. and Novak, S.S. (eds) *Snakes: ecology and evolutionary biology:* 3–50. New York: MacMillan.

- Mable, B.K. and Roberts, J.D. (1997). Mitochondrial DNA evolution of tetraploids in the genus *Neobatrachus* (Anura: Myobatrachidae). *Copeia* 1997: 680–689.
- Macey, J.R., Schulte, J.A. II and Larson, A. (2000). Evolution and phylogenetic information content of mitochondrial genomic structural features illustrated with acrodont lizards. Systematic Biology 49: 257–277.
- Main, A.R. (1957). Studies on Australian Amphibia I. The genus Crinia Tschudi in south-western Australia and some species from south-eastern Australia. Australian Journal of Zoology 5: 30–55.
- Mahony, M.J. and Roberts, J.D. (1988). Two new species of desert burrowing frogs of the genus *Neobatrachus* (Anura: Myobatrachidae) from Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 13: 155–170 [descriptions of *Neobatrachus fulvus*, *N. kunapalari*].
- Malnate, E.V. and Underwood, G. (1988). Australasian natricine snakes of the genus *Tropidonophis*. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* **140**: 59–201.
- Martin, A.A. and Tyler, M.J. (1978). The introduction into Western Australia of the frog *Limnodynastes* tasmaniensis. Australian Zoologist 19: 320-324.
- Maryan, B. and Robinson, D. (1997). An insular population of *Lerista griffini* and comments on the identity of *Lerista praefrontalis*. West Australian Naturalist 21: 157-160.
- Maxson, L., Tyler, M.J. and Maxson, R. (1982). Phylogenetic relationships of Cyclorana and the Litoria aurea species group (Anura: Hylidae). Australian Journal of Zoology 30: 643-651.
- Mengden, G.A. (1985). A chromosomal and electrophoretic analysis of the genus *Pseudonaja*. *In G. Grigg, R. Shine and H. Ehmann (eds), Biology of Australian frogs and reptiles*: 193–208. Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, Sydney.
- Menzies, J.I. and Tippett, J. (1976). Chromosome numbers of Papuan hylid frogs and the karyotype of *Litoria infrafrenata* (Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae). *Journal of Herpetology* **10**: 167–173.
- Mitchell, F.J. (1965). The affinities of Tympanocryptis maculosa Mitchell (Lacertilia-Agamidae). Records of the South Australian Museum 15: 179–191.
- Moody, S.M.. (1980). Phylogenetic and historical biogeographical relationships of the genera in the family Agamidae (Reptilia: Lacertilia). Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor; 373 pp.
- Moritz, C., Donnellan, S., Adams, M. and Baverstock, P.R. (1989). The origin and evolution of parthenogenesis in *Heteronotia binoei* (Gekkonidae): extensive genotypic divesity among parthenogens. *Evolution* 43: 994–1003.
- Moritz, C. Adams, M., Donnellan, S. and Baverstock, P.R. (1990). The origin and evolution of parthenogenesis in *Heteronotia binoei* (Gekkonidae): genetic diversity among bisexual populations. *Copeia* **1990**: 333–348.
- Okayama, T., Diaz-Fernandez, R., Baba, Y., Halim, M., Abe, O., Azeno, N. and Koike, H. (1999). Genetic diversity of the Hawksbill Turtle in the Indo-Pacific and Caribbean regions. *Chelonian Conservation and Biology* 3: 362–367.
- Parham, J.F. and Fastovsky, D.E. (1997). The phylogeny

- of cheloniid sea turtles revisited. Chelonian Conservation and Biology 2: 548-554.
- Paterson, H. E. H. (1985). The recognition concept of species. *In* E.S. Vrba (ed.), *Species and speciation*: 21–29. (Transvaal Museum Monograph No. 4, Transvaal Museum, Pretoria.
- Pritchard, P.C.H. (1983). Book review of 'Conserving Sea Turtles' by N. Mrosovsky. *Copeia* 1983: 1108–1111.
- Rassmussen, A.R. and Smith, L.A. (1999). The taxonomic status of the sea snake *Hydrophis czeblukovi* (Kharin, 1984) from north-west Australian waters. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 18: 419–425.
- Rawlinson, P.A. (1991). Taxonomy and distribution of the Australian tiger snakes (*Notechis*) and copperheads (*Austrelaps*) (Serpentes, Elapidae). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria* 103: 125–135.
- Reeder, T.W. (1995). Phylogenetic placement of snakes within Squamata: evidence from molecules and morphology. Programs and Abstracts, Seventy-fifth Annual Meeting of the American Society of Icthyologists and Herpetologists: 166. Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.
- Rieppel, O. (1988). A review of the origin of snakes. *Evolutionary Biology* **22**: 37–130.
- Robb, J. (1960). The internal anatomy of *Typhlops* Schneider (Reptilia). *Australian Journal of Zoology* 8: 181–216.
- Robb, J. (1966a). The generic status of the Australasian typhlopids (Reptilia: Squamata). *Annals and Magazine of natural History* (13) 9: 675–679.
- Robb, J. (1966b). The structure and possible function of the cloacal pouches of male Australian blindsnakes. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **14**: 27–30.
- Roberts, J.D. (1997). Call evolution in *Neobatrachus* (Anura: Myobatrachidae): speculations on tetraploid origins. *Copeia* **1997**: 791–801.
- Roberts, J.D. and Maxson, L.R. (1986). Phylogenetic relationships in the genus *Limnodynastes* (Anura: Myobatrachidae). *Australian Journal of Zoology* 34: 154–165
- Roberts, J.D., Horwitz, P., Wardell-Johnson, G., Maxson, L.R. and Mahoney, M.J. (1997). Taxonomy, relationships and conservation of a new genus and species of myobatrachid frog from the high rainfall region of southwestern Western Australia. Copeia 1997: 373–381 [description of Spicospina flammocaerulea].
- Roberts, J.D., Mahony, M., Kendrick, P. and Majors, C.M. (1991). A new species of burrowing frog, Neobatrachus (Anura: Myobatrachidae), from the eastern wheatbelt of Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 15: 23–32 [description of Neobatrachus albipes].
- Roberts, J.D., Wardell-Johnson, G. and Barendse, W. (1990). Extended descriptions of *Geocrinia vitellina* and *Geocrinia alba* (Anura: Myobatrachidae) from southwestern Australia, with comments on the status of *G. lutea*. Records of the Western Australian Museum 14: 427–437.
- Savage, J. (1973). The geographic distribution of frogs: patterns and predictions. *In J.L. Vial (ed.) Evolutionary biology of the anurans*: 351–445. University of Missouri Press, Columbia.
- Scanlon, J.D. and Lee, M.S.Y. (2000). The Pleistocene

Frogs and reptiles 57

- serpent *Wonambi* and the early evolution of snakes. *Nature* 403: 416–420.
- Scanlon, J.D. and Shine, R. (1988). Dentition and diet in snakes: adaptations to oophagy in the Australian elapid genus Simoselaps. Journal of Zoology, London 216: 519–528.
- Schwaner, T. D. (1985). Population structure of black tiger snakes, *Notechis ater niger*, on offshore islands of South Australia. *In G. Grigg, R. Shine and H. Ehmann (eds), Biology of Australian frogs and reptiles*: 35–46. Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, Sydney.
- Schwaner, T.D., Baverstock, P.R., Dessauer, H.C. and Mengden, G.A. (1985). Immunological evidence for the phylogenetic relationships of Australian elapid snakes. *In G. Grigg, R. Shine and H. Ehmann (eds), Biology of Australian frogs and reptiles*: 177–184. Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, Sydney.
- Seddon, J.M., Georges, A., Baverstock, P.R. and McCord, W. (1997). Phylogenetic relationships of chelid turtles (Pleurodira: Chelidae) based on mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene sequence variation. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 7: 55-61.
- Shea, G.M. (1990). The genera Tiliqua and Cyclodomorphus (Lacertilia: Scincidae). Generic diagnoses and systematic relationships. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 29: 495–519.
- Shea, G.M. (1991a). Revisionary notes on the genus Delma (Squamata: Pygopodidae) in South Australia and the Northern Territory. Records of the South Australian Museum 25: 71-90 [description of Delma fraseri petersoni].
- Shea, G.M. (1991b). A replacement name for Lerista concolor Storr, 1990 (Squamata: Scincidae). Records of the Western Australian Museum 15: 289.
- Shea, G.M. (1993). The anatomist John Hunter (1728–1793), the Eastern Bluetongue Skink Tiliqua scincoides (Squamata: Scincidae) and the discovery of herbivory in skinks. Archives of Natural History 20: 303–306.
- Shea, G.M. (1996). Correction of the incorrect original spelling of the species name of a hydrophiid snake. *The Snake* **27**: 157.
- Shea, G.M. (1998). Geographic variation in scalation and size of the Black Whip Snakes (Squmata: Elapidae: Demansia vestigiata complex): evidence for two broadly sympatric species. The Beagle, Records of the Museums and Art Galleries of the Northern Territory 14: 41-61.
- Shea, G.M. (2000). Die Shark-Bay-Tannenzapfenesche Tiliqua rugosa palarra subsp. nov. In Hauschild, A., Henle, K., Hitz, R, Shea, G.M. and Werning, H. (eds), Blauzungenskinke. Beiträge zu Tiliqua und Cyclodomorphus: 108–112. Natur und Tier-Verlag, Münster.
- Shea, G.M. and Cogger, H.G. (1998). Comment on the proposed conservation of the names Hydrosaurus gouldii Gray, 1838 and Varanus panoptes Storr, 1980 (Reptilia, Squamata) by the designation of a neotype for Hydrosaurus gouldii. Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 55: 106–111.
- Shea, G.M. and Johnston, G.R. (1988). A new species of Notaden (Anura: Leptodactylidae) from the Kimberley Division of Western Australia. Transactions of the Royal

- Society of South Australia 112: 29-37 [description of Notaden weigeli].
- Shea, G.M. and B. Miller (1995). A taxonomic revision of the Cyclodomorphus branchialis Species Group (Squamata: Scincidae). Records of the Australian Museum 47: 265-325 [descriptions of Cyclodomorphus celatus, C. melanops siticulosus].
- Shea, G.M. and Sadlier, R.A. (1999). A catalogue of the non-fossil Amphian and Reptile type specimens in the collection of the Australian Museum: types currently, previously and purportedly present. *Technical Reports* of the Australian Museum No. 15: 1–91.
- Shine, R. (1984). Ecology of small fossorial snakes of the genera *Neelaps* and *Simoselaps* (Serpentes, Elapidae). *In* R.A. Siegal, L.E. Hunt, J.L. Knight, L. Maralet and N.L. Zuschlag (eds), *Vertebrate ecology and systematics a tribute to Henry S. Fitch*: 173–183. Museum of Natural History, University of Kansas, Lawrence.
- Shine, R. (1985). Ecological evidence on the phylogeny of Australian elapid snakes. In G. Grigg, R. Shine and H. Ehmann (eds), Biology of Australian frogs and reptiles: 255–260. Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, Sydney.
- Slovinski, J.B., Knight, A. and Rooney, A.P. (1997). Inferring species trees from gene trees: A phylogenetic analysis of the Elapidae (Serpentes) based on the amino acid sequences of venom proteins. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 8: 349–362.
- Smith, H.M., Smith, R.B. and Sawin, H.L. (1977). A summary of snake classification (Reptilia, Serpentes). *Journal of Herpetology* 11: 115–121.
- Smith, L.A. (1981). A revision of the Liasis olivaceus species-group in Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 9: 227–233 [description of L. o. barroni].
- Smith, L.A. (1982). Variation in Pseudechis australis (Serpentes: Elapidae) in Western Australia and description of a new species of Pseudechis. Records of the Western Australian Museum 10: 35–45 [description of Pseudechis butleri].
- Smith, L.A. (1985). A revision of the Liasis childreni species-group (Serpentes: Boidae). Records of the Western Australian Museum 12: 257–276 [description of Antaresia stimsoni stimsoni, A. s. orientalis].
- Smith, L.A. (1995). A new Diplodactylus, subgenus Strophurus (Lacertilia, Gekkonidae) from northern Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 17: 351–353 [description of Strophurus robinsoni].
- Smith, L.A. (1996). A new Lerista (Lacertilia: Scincidae) from Western Australia, Lerista eupoda. Journal of the Proceedings of the Royal Society of Western Australia 79: 161–164.
- Smith, L.A. and Henry, J. (1999). Aprasia picturata (Squamata: Pygopodidae), a new legless lizard from the interior of Western Australia. Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia 82: 75–77.
- Smith, M.A. (1938). Evolutionary changes in the middle ear of certain agamid and iguanid lizards. *Proceedings* of the Zoological Society, London, Series B, 108: 543–549.
- Smith, M.A. (1939). A revision of the Acrochordidae (Snakes). Annals and Magazine of natural History (11) 3: 393–395.

K.P. Aplin, L.A. Smith

- Smith, W.J.S., Osborne, W.S., Donnellan, S.C. and Cooper, P.D. (1999). The systematic status of earless dragon lizards, *Tympanocryptis* (Reptilia: Agamidae), in south-eastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 47: 551–564.
- Storr, G.M. (1964). The agamid lizards of the genus *Tympanocryptis* in Western Australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia*. 47: 43–50.
- Storr, G.M. (1969). The genus *Ctenotus* (Lacertilia: Scincidae) in the Eastern Division of Western Australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 51: 97–109.
- Storr, G.M. (1967). Geographic races of the agamid lizard Amphibolurus caudicinctus. Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia 50: 49–56.
- Storr, G.M. (1972). The genus Lerista (Lacertilia: Scincidae) in Western Australia. Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia 54: 59–75.
- Storr, G.M. (1975) The genus *Hemiergis* (Lacertilia, Scincidae) in Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 3: 251–260.
- Storr, G.M. (1977). The *Amphibolurus adelaidensis* species group (Lacertilia, Agamidae) in Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 5: 73–81.
- Storr, G.M. (1978a). Whip snakes (*Demansia*, Elapidae) of Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 6: 287–301.
- Storr, G.M. (1978c). Seven new gekkonid lizards from Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 6: 337–350.
- Storr, G.M. (1980). A new *Brachyaspis* (Serpentes: Elapidae) from Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 8: 397–399.
- Storr, G.M. (1982a). Four new *Lerista* (Lacertilia: Scincidae) from Western and South Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 10: 1–9 [description of *Lerista vermicularis*].
- Storr, G.M. (1982b). Two new Gehyra (Lacertilia: Gekkonidae) from Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 10: 53-59 [description of Gehyra montium].
- Storr, G.M. (1982c). Taxonomic notes on the genus Tympanocryptis Peters (Lacertilia: Agamidae). Records of the Western Australian Museum 10: 61-66 [descriptions of Tympanocryptis lineata houstoni, T. l. macra].
- Storr, G.M. (1983a). A new blind-snake (Serpentes: Typhlopidae) from Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 10: 315–317 [description of Ramphotyphlops howi].
- Storr, G.M. (1983). Two new lizards from Western Australia (genera *Diplodactylus* and *Lerista*). *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 11: 59–63 [descriptions of *Diplodactylus wilsoni*, *Lerista haroldi*].
- Storr, G.M. (1984a). Revision of the Lerista nichollsi Complex (Lacertilia: Scincidae). Records of the Western Australian Museum 11: 109–118 [description of Lerista onsloviana, L. uniduo].
- Storr, G.M. (1984b). A new Ctenotus (Lacertilia: Scincidae) from Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 11: 191-193 [description of Ctenotus zastictus].

- Storr, G.M. (1984c). A new *Lerista* (Lacertilia: Scincidae) from Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 11: 287–290 [description of *Lerista simillima*].
- Storr, G.M. (1984c). Revision of *Denisonia suta* (Serpentes: Elapidae) and the description of a new species closely related to it. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 11: 249–257 [description of *Suta ordensis*].
- Storr, G.M. (1985a). Two new skinks (Lacertilia: Scincidae) from Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 12: 193–196 [descriptions of Ctenotus ehmanni, Lerista stictopleura].
- Storr, G.M. (1985b). Revision of *Lerista frosti* and allied species (Lacertilia: Scincidae). *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 12: 307–316 [descriptions of *Lerista dorsalis*, L. *flammicauda*].
- Storr, G.M. (1986a). Two new members of the *Lerista* nichollsi complex (Lacertilia: Scincidae). Records of the Western Australian Museum 13: 47–52 [descriptions of L. gascoynensis, L. varia].
- Storr, G.M. (1986b). A new species of *Lerista* (Lacertilia: Scincidae) with two subspecies from central Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 13: 145–149 [description of *L. taeniata*].
- Storr, G.M. (1987a). The genus *Phyllodactylus* (Lacertilia: Gekkonidae) in Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 13: 275–284 [description of *Christinus alexanderi*].
- Storr, G.M. (1987b). Three new legless lizards (Pygopodidae) from Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 13: 345–355 [descriptions of Aclys concinna major, Delma butleri, D. haroldi].
- Storr, G.M. (1988a). The Diplodactylus ciliaris complex (Lacertilia: Gekkonidae) in Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 14: 121–133 [descriptions of Strophurus assimilis, S. wellingtonae].
- Storr, G.M. (1988b). A new *Rhinoplocephalus* (Serpentes: Elapidae) from Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 14: 137–138 [description of *Parasuta spectabilis bushi*].
- Storr, G.M. (1988c). A new Ctenotus (Lacertilia: Scincidae) from Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 14: 139–140 [description of Ctenotus angusticeps].
- Storr, G.M. (1988d). The subspecies of Diplodactylus spinigerus (Lacertilia: Gekkonidae). Records of the Western Australian Museum 14: 177–182 [description of Strophurus spinigerus inornatus].
- Storr, G.M. (1988e). A new species of *Diplodactylus* (Lacertilia: Gekkonidae) from northern Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* **14**: 183–187 [description of *Strophurus jeanae*].
- Storr, G.M. (1988f). Three new Diplodactylus (Lacertilia: Gekkonidae) from the arid zone of Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 14: 217–223 [descriptions of Diplodactylus granariensis rex, Strophurus kenneallyi].
- Storr, G.M. (1989a). A new *Heteronotia* (Lacertilia: Gekkonidae) from Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 14: 269–273 [description of *Heterontia planiceps*].
- Storr, G.M. (1989b). A new Pseudonaja (Squamata: Elapidae) from Western Australia. Records of the

- Western Australian Museum 14: 421-423 [description of Pseudonaja affinis exilis].
- Storr, G.M. (1990a). A new member of the *Lerista bipes* group (Lacertilia: Scincidae). *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 14: 439–442 [description of *Lerista robusta*].
- Storr, G.M. (1990b). Revision of Lerista terdigitata (Lacertilia: Scincidae). Records of the Western Australian Museum 14: 547-552 [description of Lerista tridactyla].
- Storr, G.M. (1990c). The Ctenotus colletti complex (Lacertilia: Scincidae). Records of the Western Australian Museum 14: 623-626 [description of Ctenotus nigrilineatus].
- Storr, G.M. (1990d). A new Lerista (Lacertilia: Scincidae) from the Pilbara. Records of the Western Australian Museum 14: 669-670 [description of Lerista quadrivincula].
- Storr, G.M. (1991a). Four new members of the *Lerista* nichollsi complex (Lacertilia: Scincidae). Records of the Western Australian Museum 15: 139–147 [descriptions of *Lerista kendricki*, L. yuna].
- Storr, G.M. (1991b). Partial revision of the Lerista macropisthopus group (Lacertilia: Scincidae). Records of the Western Australian Museum 15: 149-161 [descriptions of Lerista axillaris, L. bunglebungle, L. macropisthopus fusciceps, L. m. galea, L. m. remota, L. puncticauda].
- Storr, G.M. (1991c). Revision of *Lerista microtis* (Lacertilia: Scincidae). *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 15: 469–476 [descriptions of *Lerista microtis intermedia*, *L. viduata*].
- Storr, G.M. (1991d). A new subspecies of Lerista planiventralis (Lacertilia: Scincidae) from Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 15: 483–485 [description of Lerista planiventralis maryani].
- Storr, G.M., Smith, L.A. and Johnstone, R.E. (1981). Lizards of Western Australia. I. Skinks. University of Western Australia Press and Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Storr, G.M., Smith, L.A. and Johnstone, R.E. (1983). Lizards of Western Australia. II. Dragons and Monitors. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Storr, G.M., Smith, L.A. and Johnstone, R.E. (1986). Snakes of Western Australia. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Storr, G.M., Smith, L.A. and Johnstone, R.E. (1990). Lizards of Western Australia. III. Gekkos and Pygopods. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Storr, G.M., Smith, L.A. and Johnstone, R.E. (1999). Lizards of Western Australia. I. Skinks. Revised Edition. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Teale, R. (1991). Analysis of a region of contact between two cytotypes of the Marbled Gecko *Phyllodactylus marmoratus* (Lacertilia: Gekkonidae) in the south west of Western Australia. Unpublished B.Sc. Honours Thesis, University of Western Australia.
- Tyler, M.J. (1971). The phylogenetic significance of vocal sac structure in hylid frogs. Occasional Papers of the University of Kansas Museum of Natural History 19: 319– 360.
- Tyler, M.J. (1979). Herpetofaunal relationships of South

- America with Australia. In W.E. Duellman (ed.), The South American herpetofauna its origin, evolution and dispersal. *Museum of Natural History University of Kansas Monographs* No. 7: 73–106.
- Tyler, M.J. and Davies, M. (1978) Species-groups within the Australopapuan hylid frog genus *Litoria* Tschudi. *Australian Journal of Zoology*, Supplementary Series No. 63: 1–47.
- Tyler, M.J. and Davies, M. (1979). Redefinition and evolutionary origin of the Australopapuan hylid frog genus Nyctimystes Stejneger. Australian Journal of Zoology 27: 755–772.
- Tyler, M.J., Smith, L.A. and Johnstone, R.E. (1984). Frogs of Western Australia. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Tyler, M.J., Smith, L.A. and Johnstone, R.E. (1994). Frogs of Western Australia. Revised Edition. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Tyler, M.J., Smith, L.A. and Johnstone, R.E. (2000). Frogs of Western Australia. Third Edition. Western Australian Museum, Perth.
- Underwood, G. (1967). A contribution to the classification of snakes. British Museum, London.
- Waite, E.R. (1918). Review of the Australian blindsnakes (family Typhlopidae). Records of the South Australian Museum 1(1): 1–34.
- Wallach, V. (1985). A cladistic analysis of the terrestrial Australian Elapidae. In G. Grigg, R. Shine and H. Ehmann (eds), Biology of Australian frogs and reptiles: 223–253. Royal Zoological Society of New South Wales, Sydney.
- Wardell-Johnson, G. and Roberts, J.D. (1989). "Endangered". Landscope 5(1): 17 [descriptions of Geocrinia alba and G. vitellina; see also Roberts et al. 1990].
- Wells, R.W. and Wellington, C.R. (1984). A synopsis of the class Reptilia in Australia. Australian Journal of Herpetology 1: 73–129.
- Wells, R.W. and Wellington, C.R. (1985). A classification of the Amphibia and Reptilia of Australia. *Australian Journal of Herpetology* Supplementary Series No. 1: 1–61 [description of *Lerista zietzi*].
- Wells, R.W. and Wellington, C.R. (1988). A new species of proteroglyphous snake (Ophidia: Oxyuranidae) from Australia. *Australian Herpetologist* (503): 1–8.
- Wermuth, H. and Mertens, R. (1961). Schildkröten, Krokodile, Brückeneschen. Gustav Fischer, Jena.
- Whittier, J., Macrokanis, C. and Mason, R.T. (2000). Morphology of the brown tree snake, *Boiga irregularis*, with a comparison of native and extralimital populations. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 48: 357–367.
- Wilson, E.O. and Brown, W.L. (1953). The subspecies concept and its taxonomic application. *Systematic Zoology* 2: 97–111.
- Wilson, S.K. and Knowles, D.G. (1988). Australia's Reptiles. A photographic reference to the terrestrial reptiles of Australia. Collins, Sydney.
- Witten, G.J. (1982). Phyletic groups within the family Agamidae (Reptilia: Lacertilia) in Australia. In W.R. Barker and P.J.M. Greenslade (eds), Evolution of the flora and fauna of arid Australia: 225–228. Peacock Publications, Frewville.

- Witten, G.J. (1984). Relationships of *Tympanocryptis aurita* Storr, 1981. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* **11**: 399–401.
- Witten, G.J. (1994a). Taxonomy of *Pogona* (Reptilia: Lacertilia: Agamidae). *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 37: 329–343.
- Witten, G.J. (1994b). Relative growth in the agamid genus *Pogona* (Reptilia: Lacertilia). *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 37: 345–362.
- Witten, G.J. and Coventry, A.J. (1984). A new lizard of the genus *Amphibolurus* (Agamidae) from southern Australia. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria* 96: 155–159 [description of *Amphibolurus norrisi*].

Zaher, H. (1998). Hemipenial morphology of the South American xenodontine snakes, with a proposal for a monophyletic Xenodontinae and a reappraisal of colubroid hemipenes. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* **240**: 1–168.

- Zaher, H. and Rieppel, O. (2000). A brief history of snakes. *Herpetological Review* 31: 73–76.
- Zangerl, R., Hendrickson, L.P. and Hendrickson, J.R. (1988). A redescription of the Australian flatback sea turtle, Natator depressus. Bishop Museum Bulletins in Zoology 1: 1–69.

Manuscript received 11 August 2000; accepted 22 January 2001.

Class AMPHIBIA

Order ANURA

Family HYLIDAE¹ (Tree Frogs)

Subfamily Pelodryadinae

Cyclorana Steindachner, 1867

- C. australis (Gray, 1842)
- C. cryptotis Tyler and Martin, 1977
- C. cultripes Parker, 1940
- C. longipes Tyler and Martin, 1977
- C. maini Tyler and Martin, 1977
- C. platycephala (Günther, 1873)
- C. vagitus Tyler, Davies and Martin, 1981

Litoria² Tschudi, 1838

- L. adelaidensis (Gray, 1841)
- L. bicolor (Gray, 1842)
- L. caerulea (White, 1790)
- L. cavernicola Tyler and Davies, 1979
- L. coplandi (Tyler, 1968)
- L. cyclorhyncha (Boulenger, 1882)
- L. dahlii (Boulenger, 1896)
- L. inermis (Peters, 1867)
- L. meiriana (Tyler, 1969)
- L. microbelos (Cogger, 1966)
- L. moorei (Copland, 1957)
- L. nasuta (Gray, 1842)
- L. pallida Davies, Martin and Watson, 1983
- L. rothii (DeVis, 1884)
- L. rubella (Gray, 1842)
- L. splendida Tyler, Davies and Martin, 1977
- L. tornieri (Nieden, 1923)
- L. wotjulumensis (Copland, 1957)

Family MYOBATRACHIDAE³

(Australasian Ground Frogs)

Subfamily Limnodynastinae

Heleioporus Gray, 1841

- H. albopunctatus Gray, 1841
- H. barycragus Lee, 1967
- H. eyrei (Gray, 1845)

H. inornatus (Lee and Main, 1954)

H. psammophilus (Lee and Main, 1954)

Limnodynastes⁴ Fitzinger, 1843

- L. convexiusculus (Macleay, 1877)
- L. depressus Tyler, 1976
- L. dorsalis (Gray, 1841)
- L. ornatus (Gray, 1842)
- L. spenceri Parker, 1940
- L. tasmaniensis⁵ Günther, 1858 (naturalised exotic)

Megistolotis Tyler, Martin and Davies, 1979

M. lignarius Tyler, Martin and Davies, 1979

Neobatrachus Peters, 1863

- N. albipes Roberts, Mahony, Kendrick and Majors, 1991
- N. aquilonius⁶ Martin, Tyler and Davies, 1980
- N. centralis (Parker, 1940)
- N. fulvus Mahony and Roberts, 1986
- N. kunapalari Mahony and Roberts, 1986
- N. pelobatoides (Werner, 1914)
- N. sutor Main, 1957
- N. wilsmorei (Parker, 1940)

Notaden Günther, 1873

- N. melanoscaphus Hosmer, 1962
- N. nichollsi Parker, 1940
- N. weigeli Shea and Johnston, 1987

Subfamily Myobatrachinae

Arenophryne Tyler, 1976

A. rotunda Tyler, 1976

Crinia⁷ Tschudi, 1838

- C. bilingua Martin, Tyler and Davies, 1980
- C. georgiana Tschudi, 1838
- C. glauerti (Loveridge, 1933)
- C. insignifera⁸ (Moore, 1954)
- C. pseudinsignifera (Main, 1957)
- C. subinsignifera (Littlejohn, 1957)

Geocrinia9 Blake, 1973

- G. alba Wardell-Johnson and Roberts, 1989
- G. leai (Fletcher, 1898)
- G. lutea (Main, 1963)

G. rosea (Harrison, 1927)

G. vitellina Wardell-Johnson and Roberts, 1989

Metacrinia Harrison, 1927

M. nichollsi Harrison, 1927

Myobatrachus Schlegel, 1850

M. gouldii10 (Gray, 1841)

Pseudophryne Fitzinger, 1843

P. douglasi Main, 1964

P. guentheri Boulenger, 1882

P. occidentalis Parker, 1940

Uperoleia Gray, 1841

U. aspera Tyler, Davies and Martin, 1981

U. borealis Tyler, Davies and Martin, 1981

U. crassa Tyler, Davies and Martin, 1981

U. glandulosa Davies, Mahony and Roberts, 1985

U. lithomoda Tyler, Davies and Martin, 1981

U. marmorata Gray, 1841

U. micromeles Tyler, Davies and Martin, 1981

U. minima Tyler, Davies and Martin, 1981

U. mjobergi (Andersson, 1913)

U. russelli (Loveridge, 1933)

U. talpa Tyler, Davies and Martin, 1981

U. trachyderma Tyler, Davies and Martin, 1981

Class REPTILIA

Order TESTUDINES¹¹

Suborder CRYPTODIRA

Family CHELONIIDAE (Marine Turtles)

Subfamily Cheloniinae12

Caretta Rafinesque, 1814

C. caretta (Linnaeus, 1758)

Chelonia Sonnini and Latreille, 1802

C. mydas¹³ (Linnaeus, 1758)

Eretmochelys Fitzinger, 1843

E. imbricata (Linnaeus, 1766)

E. i. bissa¹⁴ (Rüppell, 1835)

Lepidochelys Fitzinger, 1843

L. olivacea (Eschscholtz, 1829)

Natator McCulloch, 1908

N. depressus (Garman, 1880)

Family DERMOCHELYIDAE

Dermochelys Blainville, 1816

D. coriacea¹⁵ (Vandelli, 1761)

Suborder PLEURODIRA

Family CHELUIDAE¹⁶ (Freshwater Tortoises)

Chelodina Fitzinger, 1826

C. kutchlingi Cann, 1997

C. oblonga Gray, 1841

C. rugosa Ogilby, 1890

C. steindachneri Siebenrock, 1901

Elseya Gray, 1867

E. dentata (Gray, 1863)

Emydura Bonaparte, 1836

E. australis (Gray, 1841)

E. victoriae (Gray, 1842)

Pseudemydura Siebenrock, 1901

P. umbrina Siebenrock 1901

Order SQUAMATA¹⁷

Suborder IGUANIA

Family AGAMIDAE (Dragons)

Subfamily Amphibolurinae¹⁸

Amphibolurus¹⁹ Wagler, 1830

A. norrisi Witten and Coventry, 1984

Caimanops Storr, 1974

C. amphiboluroides (Lucas and Frost, 1902)

Chelosania Gray, 1845

C. brunnea Gray, 1845

Chlamydosaurus Gray, 1825

C. kingi Gray, 1825

Cryptagama Witten, 1984

C. aurita (Storr, 1981)

Ctenophorus Fitzinger, 1843

C. caudicinctus²⁰ (Günther, 1875)

C. c. caudicinctus (Günther, 1875)

C. c. infans (Storr, 1967)

C. c. graafi (Storr, 1967)

C. c. macropus (Storr, 1967)

C. c. mensarum (Storr, 1967)

C. clayi (Storr, 1966)

C. cristatus (Gray, 1841)

C. femoralis (Storr, 1965)

C. fordi (Storr, 1965)

C. isolepis (Fischer, 1881)

C. i. citrinus (Storr, 1965)

C. i. gularis (Sternfeld, 1924)

C. i. isolepis (Fischer, 1881)

C. maculatus (Gray, 1831)

C. m. badius (Storr, 1965)

C. m. dualis (Storr, 1965)

C. m. griseus (Storr, 1965)

C. m. maculatus (Gray, 1831)

C. mckenziei (Storr, 1981)

C. nuchalis21 (De Vis, 1884)

C. ornatus (Gray, 1875)

C. pictus (Peters, 1866)

C. reticulatus (Gray, 1845)

C. rubens (Storr, 1965)

C. rufescens (Stirling and Zietz, 1893)

C. salinarum (Storr, 1966)

C. scutulatus (Stirling and Zietz, 1893)

C. yinnietharra (Storr, 1981)

Diporiphora²² Gray, 1842

D. albilabris²³ Storr, 1974

D. a. albilabris Storr, 1974

D. arnhemica Storr, 1974

D. bennettii (Gray, 1845)

D. convergens Storr, 1974

D. lalliae Storr, 1974

D. linga24 Houston, 1977

D. magna Storr, 1974

D. pindan Storr, 1974

D. reginae Glauert, 1959

D. superba Storr, 1974

D. valens Storr, 1979

D. winneckei Lucas and Frost, 1896

Lophognathus Gray, 1842

L. gilberti Gray, 1842

L. g. gilberti Gray, 1842

L. longirostris (Boulenger, 1883)

L. temporalis (Günther, 1867)

Moloch Gray, 1841

Moloch horridus²⁵ Gray, 1841

Pogona Storr, 1982

P. microlepidota (Glauert, 1952)

P. minor²⁶ (Sternfeld, 1919)

P. m. minima (Loveridge, 1933)

P. m. minor (Sternfeld, 1919)

P. m. mitchelli (Badham, 1976)

P. nullarbor (Badham, 1976)

Rankinia²⁷ Wells and Wellington, 1984

R. adelaidensis (Gray, 1841)

R. a. adelaidensis (Gray, 1841)

R. a. chapmani²⁸ (Storr, 1977)

R. parviceps²⁹ (Storr, 1964)

R. p. butleri (Storr, 1977)

R. p. parviceps (Storr, 1964)

Tympanocryptis Peters, 1863

T. cephala30 Günther, 1867

T. lineata Peters, 1863

T. l. centralis Sternfeld, 1924

T. l. houstoni Storr, 1982

T. l. macra³¹ Storr, 1982

Suborder SCLEROGLOSSA

'GEKKOTA'

Family GEKKONIDAE³² (Geckos)

Subfamily Diplodactylinae³³

Crenadactylus34 Dixon and Kluge, 1964

C. ocellatus (Gray, 1845)

C. o. horni (Lucas and Frost, 1895)

C. o. naso Storr, 1978

C.o. ocellatus (Gray, 1845)

C.o. rostralis Storr, 1978

Diplodactylus35 Gray, 1832

D. alboguttatus Werner, 1910

D. conspicillatus Lucas and Frost, 1897

D. damaeus (Lucas and Frost, 1896)

D. fulleri Storr, 1978

D. granariensis Storr, 1979

D. g. granariensis Storr, 1979

D. g. rex Storr, 1988

D. kenneallyi Storr, 1988

D. klugei Aplin and Adams, 1998

D. maini Kluge, 1962

D. mitchelli³⁶ Kluge, 1963

D. ornatus Gray, 1845

D. polyophthalmus Günther, 1867

D. pulcher (Steindachner, 1870)

D. savagei Kluge, 1963

D. squarrosus Kluge, 1962

D. stenodactylus³⁷ Boulenger, 1896

D. wombeyi Storr, 1978

Nephrurus Günther, 1876

N. sheai38 Couper 1994

N. laevissimus Mertens, 1958

N. levis DeVis, 1886

N. l. levis DeVis, 1886

N. l. occidentalis Storr, 1963

N. l. pilbarensis Storr, 1963

N. stellatus Storr, 1968

N. vertebralis Storr, 1963

N. wheeleri Loveridge, 1932

N. w. cinctus Storr, 1963

N. w. wheeleri Loveridge, 1932

Oedura Gray, 1842

O. filicipoda King, 1984

O. gracilis King, 1984

O. marmorata Gray, 1842

O. obscura King, 1984

O. reticulata Bustard, 1969

O. rhombifera Gray, 1845

Pseudothecadactylus Brongersma, 1936

P. cavaticus Cogger, 1975

Rhynchoedura³⁹ Günther, 1867

R. ornata Günther, 1867

Strophurus Fitzinger, 1843

S. assimilis (Storr, 1988)

S. ciliaris (Boulenger, 1885) S. c. aberrans (Glauert, 1952)

S. c. ciliaris (Boulenger, 1885)

S. intermedius (Ogilby, 1892)

S. elderi (Stirling and Zietz, 1893)

S. jeanae (Storr, 1988)

S. mcmillani (Storr, 1978)

S. michaelseni (Werner, 1910)

S. rankini (Storr, 1979)

S. robinsoni (Smith, 1995)

S. spinigerus⁴⁰ (Gray, 1842)

S. s. inornatus (Storr, 1988)

S. s. spinigerus (Gray, 1842)

S. strophurus Duméril and Bibron, 1836

S. taeniatus (Lönnberg and Andersson, 1913)

S. wellingtonae (Storr, 1988)

S. wilsoni (Storr, 1983)

Underwoodisaurus⁴¹ Wermuth, 1965

U. milii (Bory, 1825)

Subfamily Gekkoninae

Christinus⁴² Wells and Wellington, 1985

C. alexanderi (Storr, 1984)

C. marmoratus (Gray, 1845)

Gehyra⁴³ Gray, 1834

G. australis Gray, 1845

G. montium Storr, 1982

G. nana Storr, 1978

G. occidentalis King, 1984

G. pilbara (Mitchell, 1965)

G. punctata (Fry, 1914)

G. purpurascens Storr, 1982

G. variegata (Duméril and Bibron, 1836)

G. xenopus Storr, 1978

Hemidactylus Gray, 1825

H. frenatus Duméril and Bibron, 1836

(naturalised exotic)

Heteronotia Wermuth, 1965

H. binoei44 (Gray, 1845)

H. planiceps Storr, 1989

H. spelea (Kluge, 1963)

Family PYGOPODIDAE⁴⁵ (Legless Lizards)

Aclys Kluge, 1974

A. concinna Kluge, 1974

A. c. concinna Kluge, 1974

A. c. major Storr, 1987

Aprasia Gray, 1839

A. fusca46 Storr, 1979

A. haroldi Storr, 1977

A. inaurita Kluge, 1974

A. picturata Smith and Henry, 1999

A. pulchella Gray, 1839

A. repens (Fry, 1914)

A. rostrata Parker, 1956

A. smithi Storr, 1970

A. striolata Lütken, 1863

Delma Gray, 1831

D. australis47 Kluge, 1974

D. borea Kluge, 1974

D. butleri48 Storr, 1987

D. elegans Kluge, 1974

D. fraseri Gray, 1831

D. f. fraseri Gray, 1831

D. f. petersoni Shea, 1991

D. grayii Smith, 1849

D. haroldi Storr, 1987

D. nasuta Kluge, 1974

D. pax Kluge, 1974

D. tincta DeVis, 1888

Lialis Gray, 1835

L. burtonis Gray, 1835

Pletholax Cope, 1864

P. gracilis Cope, 1864

P. g. edelensis Storr, 1978

P. g. gracilis Cope, 1864

Pygopus Merrem, 1820

P. lepidopodus (Lacépède, 1804)

P. nigriceps (Fischer, 1882)

P. n. nigriceps (Fischer, 1882)

P. n. schraderi Boulenger, 1913

'SCINCOMORPHA'

Family SCINCIDAE⁴⁹ (Skinks)

Subfamily Lygosominae

Acritoscincus Wells and Wellington, 1985

A. trilineatum⁵⁰ (Gray, 1839)

Carlia Gray, 1845

C. amax Storr, 1974

C. gracilis Storr, 1974

C. johnstonei Storr, 1974

C. munda (DeVis, 1885)

C. rufilatus Storr, 1974

C. triacantha (Mitchell, 1953)

Cryptoblepharus⁵¹ Wiegmann, 1834

C. carnabyi Storr, 1976

C. megastictus Storr, 1976

C. plagiocephalus (Cocteau, 1836)

C. virgatus (Garman, 1901)

C. v. clarus (Storr, 1961)

Ctenotus⁵² Storr, 1964

C. alacer Storr, 1970

C. alleni Storr, 1974

C. angusticeps Storr, 1988

C. atlas Storr, 1969

C. australis (Gray, 1838)

C. ariadnae Storr, 1969

C. brooksi (Loveridge, 1933)

C. b. brooksi (Loveridge, 1933)

C. b. euclae Storr, 1975

C. burbidgei Storr, 1969

C. calurus Storr, 1969

C. catenifer Storr, 1974

C. colletti (Boulenger, 1896)

C. decaneurus Storr, 1970

C. delli Storr, 1974

C. duricola Storr, 1975

C. dux Storr, 1969

C. ehmanni Storr, 1985

C. fallens Storr, 1974

C. gemmula Storr, 1974

C. grandis Storr, 1969

C. g. grandis Storr, 1969

C. g. titan Storr, 1980

C. greeri Storr, 1979

C. hanloni Storr, 1980

C. helenae Storr, 1969

C. iapetus Storr, 1975 C. impar Storr, 1969

C. inornatus (Gray, 1845)

C. labillardieri (Duméril and Bibron, 1839)

C. lancelini Ford, 1969

C. leae (Boulenger, 1887)

C. leonhardii (Sternfeld, 1919)

C. maryani Aplin and Adams, 1998

C. mastigura Storr, 1975

C. militaris Storr, 1975

C. mimetes Storr, 1969

C. nasutus Storr, 1979

C. nigrilineatus Storr, 1990 Eremiascincus Greer, 1979 E. fasciolatus⁵⁸ (Günther, 1867) C. orientalis Storr, 1971 E. richardsonii (Gray, 1845) C. pallescens Storr, 1969 Glaphyromorphus⁵⁹ Wells and Wellington, 1984 C. pantherinus⁵³ (Peters, 1866) G. brongersmai (Storr, 1972) C. p. acripes Storr, 1975 G. darwiniensis (Storr, 1967) C. p. calx Storr, 1970 G. gracilipes⁶⁰ (Gray, 1839) C. p. ocellifer (Boulenger, 1896) G. isolepis (Boulenger, 1887) C. p. pantherinus (Peters, 1866) Hemiergis Wagler, 1830 C. piankai Storr, 1969 H. initialis (Werner, 1910) C. quattuordecimlineatus (Sternfeld, 1919) H. i. brookeri Storr, 1975 C. regius Storr, 1971 H. i. initialis (Werner, 1910) C. rimicola Horner and Fishcher, 1998 H. millewae Coventry, 1976 C. r. camptris Horner and Fischer, 1998 H. peronii⁶¹ (Fitzinger, 1826) C. robustus⁵⁴ Storr, 1970 H. p. peronii (Fitzinger, 1826) C. rubicundus Storr, 1978 H. p. tridactyla (Boulenger, 1915) C. rufescens Storr, 1979 H. quadrilineata (Duméril and Bibron, 1839) C. rutilans Storr, 1980 Lerista⁶² Bell, 1833 C. saxatilis Storr, 1970 L. allochira Kendrick, 1989 C. schomburgkii (Peters, 1863) L. apoda Storr, 1976 C. septenarius King, Horner and Fyfe, 1988 L. arenicola Storr, 1972 C. serventyi Storr, 1975 L. axillaris Storr 1991 C. severus Storr, 1969 L. baynesi Storr, 1972 C. tanamiensis Storr, 1970 L. bipes (Fischer, 1882) C. tantillus Storr, 1975 L. borealis Storr, 1972 C. uber⁵⁵ Storr. 1969 L. bunglebungle Storr 1991 C. u. uber Storr, 1969 L. christinae Storr, 1979 C. u. johnstonei Storr, 1980 L. connivens Storr, 1972 C. xenopleura Storr, 1981 L. desertorum (Sternfeld, 1919) C. yampiensis Storr, 1975 L. distinguenda (Werner, 1910) C. youngsoni Storr, 1975 L. dorsalis Storr, 1985 C. zastictus Storr, 1984 L. elegans (Gray, 1845) Cyclodomorphus Fitzinger, 1843 L. eupoda Smith, 1996 C. branchialis (Günther, 1867) L. flammicauda Storr, 1985 C. celatus Shea and Miller, 1995 L. gascoynensis Storr, 1986 C. maximus Storr, 1976 L. gerrardii (Gray, 1864) C. melanops (Stirling and Zietz, 1893) L. greeri Storr, 1982 C. melanops elongatus (Werner, 1910) L. griffini Storr, 1982 C. melanops melanops (Stirling and Zietz, 1893) L. haroldi Storr, 1983 C. melanops siticulosus Shea and Miller, 1995 L. humphriesi Storr, 1972 Egernia Gray, 1838 L. ips Storr, 1980 E. depressa (Günther, 1875) L. kalumburu Storr, 1976 E. douglasi Glauert, 1956 L. kendricki Storr, 1991 E. formosa Fry, 1914 L. kennedyensis Kendrick, 1989 E. inornata Rosén, 1905 L. labialis Storr, 1972 E. kingii (Gray, 1838) L. lineata Bell, 1833 E. kintorei Stirling and Zietz, 1893 L. lineopunctulata⁶³ (Duméril and Bibron, 1839) E. luctuosa (Peters, 1866) L. macropisthopus (Werner, 1903) E. multiscutata Mitchell and Behrndt, 1949 L. m. fusciceps Storr, 1991 E. m. bos Storr, 1960 L. m. galea Storr, 1991 E. napoleonis (Gray, 1838) L. m. macropisthopus (Werner, 1903) E. pilbarensis Storr, 1978 L. m. remota Storr, 1991 E. pulchra Werner, 1910 L. microtis (Gray, 1845) E. p. longicauda Ford, 1963 L. m. microtis (Gray, 1845) E. p. pulchra Werner, 1910 L. m. intermedia Storr, 1991 E. richardi (Peters, 1869) L. muelleri⁶⁴ (Fischer, 1881) E. stokesii⁵⁶ (Gray, 1845) L. neander Storr, 1972 E. s. badia Storr, 1978 L. nichollsi (Loveridge, 1933) E. s. stokesii (Gray, 1845) L. onsloviana Storr, 1984 E. striata⁵⁷ Sternfeld, 1919

L. orientalis (DeVis, 1889)

L. petersoni⁶⁵ Storr, 1976

L. picturata (Fry, 1914)

L. planiventralis (Lucas and Frost, 1902)

L. p. decora Storr, 1978

L. p. maryani Storr, 1991

L. p. planiventralis (Lucas and Frost, 1902)

L. praefrontalis66 Greer, 1986

L. praepedita (Boulenger, 1987)

L. puncticauda Storr, 1991

L. quadrivincula⁶⁷ Shea, 1991

L. robusta Storr, 1990

L. separanda Storr, 1976

L. simillima Storr, 1984

L. stictopleura Storr, 1985

L. taeniata Storr, 1986

L. tridactyla Storr, 1990

L. uniduo⁶⁸ Storr, 1984

L. varia Storr, 1986

L. vermicularis Storr, 1982

L. viduata Storr, 1991

L. walkeri (Boulenger, 1891)

L. xanthura Storr, 1976

L. yuna Storr, 1991

L. zietzi69 Wells and Wellington, 1985

Menetia⁷⁰ Gray, 1845

M. greyii Gray, 1845

M. maini Storr, 1976

M. surda⁷¹ Storr, 1976

M. surda cresswelli Aplin and Adams, 1998

M. surda surda Storr, 1976

Morethia Gray, 1845

M. adelaidensis (Boulenger, 1887)

M. boulengeri (Ogilby, 1890)

M. butleri (Storr, 1963)

M. lineoocellata (Duméril and Bibron, 1839)

M. obscura Storr, 1973

M. ruficauda (Lucas and Frost, 1895)

M. r. exquisita Storr, 1973

M. r. ruficauda (Lucas and Frost, 1895)

M. storri Greer, 1980

Notoscincus Fuhn, 1969

N. butleri Storr, 1979

N. ornatus⁷² (Broom, 1896)

N. o. ornatus (Broom, 1896)

N. o. wotjulum (Glauert, 1959)

Proablepharus Fuhn, 1969

P. reginae (Glauert, 1960)

P. tenuis (Broom, 1896)

Pseudemoia Fuhn, 1967

P. baudini (Greer, 1982)

Tiliqua Gray, 1825

T. multiscutata Sternfeld, 1919

T. occipitalis (Peters, 1863)

T. rugosa⁷³ (Gray, 1825)

T. r. aspera (Gray, 1845)

T. r. konowi (Mertens, 1958)

T. r. palarra Shea, 2000

T. r. rugosa (Gray, 1825)

T. scincoides74 (Hunter, 1790)

T. s. intermedia Mitchell, 1955

'VARANOIDEA'

Family VARANIDAE (Goannas, Monitors)

Varanus Merrem, 1820

V. acanthurus Boulenger, 1885

V. brevicauda Boulenger, 1898

V. caudolineatus⁷⁵ Boulenger, 1885

V. eremius Lucas and Frost, 1895

V. giganteus (Gray, 1845)

V. gilleni Lucas and Frost, 1895

V. glauerti Mertens, 1957

V. gouldii76 (Gray, 1838)

V. glebopalma Mitchell, 1955

V. kingorum Storr, 1980

V. mertensi Glauert, 1951

V. mitchelli Mertens, 1958

V. panoptes Storr, 1980

V. p. panoptes Storr, 1980

V. p. rubidus Storr, 1980

V. pilbarensis Storr, 1980

V. rosenbergi Mertens, 1957

V. scalaris Mertens, 1941

V. storri Mertens, 1966

V. s. ocreatus Storr, 1980

V. tristis (Schlegel, 1839) V. t. tristis (Schlegel, 1839)

'SERPENTES'

SCOLECOPHIDIA

Family TYPHLOPIDAE⁷⁷ (Blind Snakes)

Ramphotyphlops Fitzinger, 1843

R. ammodytes⁷⁸ (Montague, 1914)

R. australis (Gray, 1845)

R. bituberculatus (Peters, 1863)

R. braminus⁷⁹ (Daudin, 1803)

(naturalised exotic)

R. diversus (Waite, 1894)

R. endoterus (Waite, 1918)

R. ganei Aplin, 1998

R. grypus (Waite, 1918)

R. guentheri (Peters, 1865)

R. hamatus Storr, 1981

R. howi Storr, 1983

R. kimberleyensis Storr, 1981

R. leptosoma Robb, 1972

R. ligatus (Peters, 1879)

R. longissimus Aplin, 1998

R. margaretae (Storr, 1981)

R. micromma Storr, 1981

R. pilbarensis Aplin and Donnellan, 1993

R. pinguis (Waite, 1897)

R. splendidus Aplin, 1998

R. troglodytes Storr, 1981

R. unguirostris (Peters, 1867)

R. waitii (Boulenger, 1895) R. yampiensis Storr, 1981

ALETHINOPHIDIA

Family BOIDAE⁸⁰ (Pythons)

Subfamily Pythoninae

Antaresia Wells and Wellington, 1984

A. childreni (Gray, 1842)

A. perthensis (Stull, 1932)

A. stimsoni⁸¹ (Smith, 1985)

A. s. orientalis (Smith, 1985)

A. s. stimsoni (Smith, 1985)

Aspidites82 Peters, 1876

A. melanocephalus (Krefft, 1864)

A. ramsayi (Macleay, 1882)

Liasis Gray, 1842

L. mackloti⁸³ (Duméril and Bibron, 1844)

L. m. fuscus (Peters, 1873)

L. olivaceus (Gray, 1842)

L. o. barroni Smith, 1981

L. o. olivaceus (Gray, 1842)

Morelia Gray, 1842

M. carinata (Smith, 1981)

M. spilota (Lacépède, 1804)

M. s. imbricata (Smith, 1981)

M. s. variegata Gray, 1842

CAENOPHIDIA84

Superfamily ACHROCHORDOIDEA

Family ACROCHORDIDAE (File Snakes)

Acrochordus85 Hornstedt, 1787

A. granulatus (Schneider, 1799)

Superfamily COLUBROIDEA

Family COLUBRIDAE⁸⁶ (Back-fanged Snakes)

Subfamily Colubrinae

Boiga Fitzinger, 1826

B. irregularis⁸⁷ (Merrem, 1802)

Dendrelaphis Boulenger, 1890

D. punctulata (Gray, 1826)

Subfamily Homalopsinae

Cerberus Cuvier, 1829

C. australis88 (Gray, 1842)

Fordonia Gray, 1842

F. leucobalia (Schlegel, 1837)

Myron Gray, 1849

M. richardsonii Gray, 1849

Subfamily Natricinae

Tropidonophis 89 Jan, 1863

T. mairii (Gray, 1841)

T. m. mairii (Gray, 1841)

Family ELAPIDAE⁹⁰ (Front-fanged Snakes)

Subfamily Hydrophiinae

'Terrestrial hydrophiins'

Acanthophis91 Daudin, 1803

A. antarcticus (Shaw and Nodder, 1802)

A. praelongus Ramsay, 1877

A. pyrrhus Boulenger, 1898

A. wellsi92 Hoser, 1998

Brachyurophis93 Günther, 1863

B. approximans (Glauert, 1954)

B. fasciolata (Günther, 1872)

B. f. fasciata (Stirling and Zietz, 1893)

B. f. fasciolata (Günther, 1872)

B. roperi (Kinghorn, 1931)

B. semifasciata (Günther, 1863)

Cryptophis Worrell, 1961

C. pallidiceps (Günther, 1858)

Demansia Günther, 1858

D. calodera Storr, 1978

D. olivacea (Gray, 1842)

D. papuensis⁹⁴ (Macleay, 1877)

D. psammophis (Schlegel, 1837)

D. p. cupreiceps Storr, 1978

D. p. psammophis (Schlegel, 1837)

D. p. reticulata (Gray, 1842)

D. rufescens Storr, 1978

D. simplex Storr, 1978

D. vestigiata de Vis, 1884

Drysdalia Worrell, 1961

D. mastersii (Krefft, 1866)

Echiopsis Fitzinger, 1843

E. curta (Schlegel, 1837)

Elapognathus95 Boulenger, 1896

E. coronatus (Schlegel, 1837)

E. minor (Günther, 1863)

Furina Duméril, 1853

F. ornata (Gray, 1842)

Neelaps Günther, 1863

N. bimaculatus (Duméril, Bibron and Duméril, 1854)

N. calonotos (Duméril, Bibron and Duméril, 1854)

Notechis96 Boulenger, 1896

N. scutatus (Peters, 1861)

Oxyuranus Kinghorn, 1923

O. scutellatus (Peters, 1837)

Paraplocephalus⁹⁷ Keogh, Scott and Scanlon, 2000

P. atriceps (Storr, 1980)

Parasuta Worrell, 1961

P. gouldii (Gray, 1841)

P. monachus (Storr, 1964)

P. nigriceps (Günther, 1863)

P. spectabilis (Krefft, 1869)

P. J. J. C. 1009

P. s. bushi Storr, 1988

P. s. nullarbor (Storr, 1981)

Pseudechis Wagler, 1830

P. australis98 (Gray, 1842)

P. butleri L.A. Smith, 1982

Pseudonaja Günther, 1858

P. affinis99 Günther, 1872

P. a. affinis Günther, 1872

P. a. exilis Storr, 1989

P. a. tanneri (Worrell, 1961)

P. ingrami (Boulenger, 1908)

P. modesta (Günther, 1872)

P. nuchalis¹⁰⁰ Günther, 1858

P. textilis (Duméril, Bibron and Duméril, 1854)

Rhinoplocephalus Müller, 1885

R. bicolor Müller, 1885

Simoselaps Jan, 1859

S. anomalus (Sternfeld, 1919)

S. bertholdi (Jan, 1859)

S. littoralis Storr, 1968

S. minimus (Worrell, 1960)

Suta Worrell, 1961

S. fasciata Rosén, 1905

S. ordensis Storr, 1984

S. punctata (Boulenger, 1896)

S. suta (Peters, 1863)

Vermicella Günther, 1858

V. intermedia Keogh and Smith, 1996

V. multifasciata (Longman, 1915)

V. snelli 101 Storr, 1969

'Hydrophis group of sea-snakes'

Acalyptophis Boulenger, 1896

A. peronii (Duméril, 1853)

Aipysurus Lacépède, 1804

A. apraefrontalis M.A. Smith, 1926

A. duboisii Bavay, 1869

A. eydouxii (Gray, 1849)

A. foliosquama M.A. Smith, 1926

A. fuscus (Tschudi, 1837)

A. laevis Lacépède, 1804

A. pooleorum L.A. Smith, 1974

A. tenuis Lönnberg and Anderson, 1913

Disteira¹⁰² Lacepede, 1804

D. kingii Boulenger, 1896

D. major (Shaw, 1802)

D. stokesii (Gray, 1846)

Emydocephalus Krefft, 1869

E. annulatus Krefft, 1869

Ephalophis M.A. Smith, 1931

E. grayae¹⁰³ M.A. Smith, 1931

Hydrelaps Boulenger, 1896

H. darwiniensis Boulenger, 1896

Hydrophis Sonnini and Latreille, 1802

H. coggeri¹⁰⁴ (Kharin, 1984)

H. czeblukovi (Kharin, 1984)

H. elegans (Gray, 1842)

H. mcdowelli Kharin, 1983

H. ocellatus Gray, 1849

Lapemis Gray, 1835

L. hardwickii Gray, 1834

Pelamis Daudin, 1803

P. platura (Linnaeus, 1766)

ORDER CROCODYLIA

Family CROCODYLIDAE¹⁰⁵ (Crocodiles)

Crocodylus Laurenti, 1768

C. johnstoni Krefft, 1873

C. porosus Schneider, 1801

NOTES

- We follow Tyler (1979) in recognizing the subfamily Pelodryadinae for the Australo-papuan hylid genera *Litoria*, *Cyclorana* and *Nyctimystes*. See Tyler (1971) and Tyler and Davies (1979) for morphological evidence for pelodryadine monophyly; and Hutchinson and Maxson (1987) for a supportive molecular perspective.
- Although the genus Litoria encompasses considerable morphological (Tyler, 1971; Tyler and Davies, 1978; Burton, 1996), chromosomal (Menzies and Tippett, 1976; King et al., 1979; King, 1980, 1981) and molecular diversity (Maxson et al., 1982; Hutchinson and Maxson, 1986, 1987), sub-generic categories have not been applied. Tyler and Davies (1978) recognised a total of 37 species-groups within the extended Australo-papuan radiation, while King (1981) identified a lesser number of groupings based on chromosomal evidence. Hutchinson and Maxson (1987) identified eight major phylogenetic lineages based on immunological evidence, but noted that these cut across the groupings identified on other criteria. Wells and Wellington (1985: 4-5) proposed various new genera corresponding to various, previously identified species-groups within Litoria; their names are nomenclatorialy available in the event of future sub-division of the genus.
- ³ Although the majority of Australian workers have followed Savage (1973) in recognising the endemic leptodactyloid family Myobatrachidae, there has been little evidence put forward in support of myobatrachid monophyly (Ford and Cannatella (1993). Burton (1998) has recently identified a potential synapomorphy of Myobatrachidae in the superficial digital flexors. In contrast, the

- subfamilial division into Myobatrachinae and Limnodynastinae is supported by various lines of evidences, both morphological (Heyer and Liem, 1976) and molecular (Daugherty and Maxson, 1982).
- The genus Limnodynastes encompasses extensive morphological and molecular diversity. However, recent attempts at generic subdivision on morphological criteria [e.g., recognition of Platyplectron for the L. dorsalis group; Heyer and Liem (1976)] are not congruent with the available immunological evidence (Roberts and Maxson, 1986). On immunological grounds (Roberts and Maxson, 1986) the most divergent lineage is probably that comprised of Limnodynastes spenceri and L. ornatus; morphological studies underway by T.C. Burton (pers. comm.) also highlight the close affinity of these taxa and their distinctness from other Limnodynastes. Hutchinson and Maxson (1987) argue on immunological evidence that the genus Megistolotis falls within the Limnodynastes as currently recognised.
- 5 Apparently introduced to the Kununurra area with transportable homes (Martin and Tyler, 1978); its current status in the area is unknown.
- 6 Mable and Roberts (1997) found differences in mtDNA haplotype between northwestern populations of N. aquilonius and those from Central Australia; and found the latter to be more similar to N. centralis from Central Australia and N. sudelli from Queensland. Roberts (1997) documented the close similarity in call structure among these taxa and suggested that they are perhaps better treated as geographic variants of a single species. We maintain N. aquilonius as distinct from N. centralis, but suggest that the former name be restricted in use for

- tetraploid populations in the northwestern coastal and northern desert regions of Western Australia. More southerly Western Australian and central Australian populations would in this arrangement be treated as *N. centralis*, resulting in a greatly expanded range over that shown in Tyler *et al.* (2000: 152). The type locality of *N. centralis* is 100 miles east of Lake Eyre, South Australia.
- ⁷ Crinia as employed here includes the suite of species sometimes placed under Ranidella Girard. This reflects the close genetic relationship of georgiana (type species of Crinia) with the 'Ranidella' signifera glauerti group, as revealed by genetic analyses of Bardense (1984) and Aplin and Adams (unpublished data).
- 8 Crinia insignifera and C. pseudinsignifera are weakly differentiated both genetically and morphologically, but they have audibly distinct male advertisement calls (Main, 1957; Littlejohn, 1959). They are also very similar in general ecology and reproductive biology. Where the two taxa come into contact, along the foothills of the Darling Scarp, they hybridize at low to moderate frequency (Bull, 1978, 1979). Bull (1978) suggested that the interspecific boundary was stable in time and somehow determined by unspecified environmental gradients associated with the major topographic feature. Although pseudinsignifera and insignifera could perhaps could be treated as subspecies according to the criteria set out in the introduction, we retain them as distinct species in view of the integrity and apparent stability of the contact zone along a remarkable 480 km front. Crinia subinsignifera of south coastal regions in Western Australia is genetically and morphologically more distinct (Aplin and Adams, unpublished data).
- The Western Australian species of Geocrinia can be divided on reproductive criteria into two assemblages, one containing only the arboreal nest building G. leai; the second containing members of the terrestrial egg-laying G. rosea species-group (G. alba, G. lutea, G. rosea, G. vitellina). These groups are also readily distinguished morphologically, and the latter encompasses significant genetic diversity (Roberts et al. 1990; Driscoll 1997, 1998); the two groups probably warrant generic distinction.
- The endemic southwestern genus Myobatrachus, long considered to be monotypic, has recently been found to contain significant genetic diversity across its range (Aplin and Adams, unpublished data). Further collecting is underway to explore the possibility that this genus contains two or more species.
- The higher level classification of turtles follows Gaffney (1975).
- Various generic and tribal classifications of the living cheloniines have been proposed, based on interpetations of morphological (e.g., Gaffney and Meylan, 1988; Limpus et al., 1988; Zangerl et al., 1988; Hirayama, 1994; Parham and Fastovsky, 1997), serological (Frair, 1979) and molecular (Bowen et al., 1993; Dutton et al., 1996) evidence. Points of general agreement include the distinctiveness of Natator depressus from Chelonia, and the relatively close affinity of Lepidochelys to Caretta (these usually united as the Tribe Carettini). Eretmochelys can probably be included with the carettins based on the serological and molecular results and some morphological evidence (Parham and Fastovsky, 1997). Natator and Chelonia are usually grouped as the Tribe Cheloniini, but there is little evidence of monophyly between these taxa.
 - Although two or more subspecies are commonly recognised for most sea turtle species, these generally serve to label what are regarded as distinct breeding stocks. Subspecies are only listed here if they have significant morphological or genetic support.
- 13 Chelonia mydas has a pantropical distribution. In earlier literature (e.g., Wermuth and Mertens, 1961: 235-238) populations in the Indian and Pacific Oceans were usually distinguished taxonomically (as C. m. japonica) from the nominotypical population in the Altantic. More recently, attention has focussed on morphologically distinctive populations in the Eastern Pacific area. These are variably listed as a subspecies (C. m. agassizii Boucourt) or even as a full species (e.g. Hendrickson, 1980; King and Burke, 1989: 19-20), with one author claiming that C. agassizii and C. mydas are "sympatric without interdigitation in Pacific Mexico, the Galapagos and even Papua New Guinea" (Pritchard, 1983: 1110). Although various regional populations including that usually identified as C. m. agassizii can be distinguished on craniometric grounds (Kamezaki and Matsui, 1995), the available genetic evidence (Karl and Bowen, 1999) fails to support the hypothesis of separate breeding populations.
- Two subspecies of Hawksbill Turtle are usually recognised, the nominate form in the Atlantic Ocean and E. i. bissa (Rüppell) in the Indian and Pacific Oceans. The distribution of mtDNA haplotype diversity is consistent with this fundamental division, but also points to significant lineage segregation within the Indo-Pacific stock

- (Okayama et al., 1999). Broderick et al. (1994) reported significant differences in haplotype frequencies between northwestern and northeastern Australia.
- Two or three subspecies may be present in D. coriacea (Ernst and Barbour, 1989: 117-118) but the relationships among various nesting stocks are as yet poorly studied. The Indian Ocean population may be referrable to D. c. schlegelii (Garman), a poorly defined taxon which currently lacks either a specified type locality or an identifiable holotype (Brongersma, 1996).
- ¹⁶ The family name is more correctly spelled Cheluidae, as indicated by Storr (1964) and Shea and Miller (1999).
- Significant insights into the generic and species level taxonomy of Australian cheluids have emerged from recent molecular studies (Georges and Adams, 1992; Seddon et al., 1997; Georges et al., 1998). These support the monophyly of each of Chelodina and Emydura, but show Elseya to be paraphyletic with respect to Emydura. Elseya dentata, the sole West Australian representative of this group, is the type species of Elseya by subsequent designation (Lindholm, 1929). Georges et al. (1998) found the highly restricted endenic Pseudemydura umbrina to be the sister taxon to all other Australian short-necked tortoises, rather than a more basal cheluid lineage as inferred by Gaffney (1997) from osteological features. Chelodina oblonga of southwestern Australia is a close relative of C. longicollis of southeastern waterways (Georges et al., 1998).
- 17 Recent phylogenetic analyses of both morphological and molecular data agree that the traditional taxonomic distinction within the Order Squamata between lizards (traditionally 'Lacertilia') and snakes ('Ophidia' or 'Serpentes') is artificial. Snakes are now widely accepted to be derivatives of a diverse squamate clade that also contains the majority of the living lizards, but excludes the agamids, chamaeleontids and iguanids sensu lato (Estes et al., 1988; Lee, 1998). Some authors (e.g., Lee, 1997, 1998) go further in suggesting a special relationship between snakes and Varanoidea (including varanids and the extinct mosasaurs), however this remains contested on both morphological (e.g., Hallerman, 1998; Zaher and Rieppel, 2000) and as yet incompletely published molecular (Reeder, 1997) grounds. Here we follow Estes et al. (1988) and Lee (1998) in recognising a fundamental distinction between Iguania (Acrodonta of some authors) and Scleroglossa, but treat all major scleroglossan taxa as incertae sedis pending clarification of relationships within this diverse and complex group. The suprafamilial classification of snakes follows Scanlon and Lee (2000).
- The notion of an endemic Australasian agamid radiation has been given a major boost by the molecular studies of Macey et al (2000), in particular through their identification of a novel secondary structure for tRNA^{Asn} shared by all studied Australopapuan agamids together with *Physignathus cocincinus* of mainland Southeast Asia This finding confirms Witten's (1982) earlier suggestion of amphibolurine monophyly based on presence of a widely shared karyotype.
 - Although the generic classification of Australian agamids has undergone extensive changes over the last two decades, largely through disbandment of the mega-genus Amphibolurus, certain aspects remain contested. Storr et al. (1983) recognised the following genera among the Western Australian agamids: Caimanops, Chelosania, Chlamydosaurus, Ctenophorus, Diporiphora, Gemmatophora, Moloch, Pogona and Tympanocryptis. Cogger et al. (1983) considered Gemmatophora Kaup to be a nomen oblitum and recognised Lophognathus as distinct from Amphibolurus. Witten (1984) proposed Cryptagama for the distinctive T. aurita, while Wells and Wellington (1984, 1985) proposed various genera for previously recognised species-groups within Ctenophorus and Tympanocryptis. Greer (1989) adopted Cryptagama and employed Rankinia Wells and Wellington for the Tympanocryptis adelaidensis species-group, but maintained a unified Ctenophorus. He also reunited Lophognathus with Amphibolurus, but professed little faith in the monophyly of the expanded genus. Cogger (1995, 2000) retained Lophognathus as distinct from Amphibolurus, but retained the adelaidensis species-group within Tympanocryptis.
 - Our generic arrangement differs again in retaining both Lophognathus and Rankinia, but with the latter expanded to include additional taxa (parviceps and butleri). Specific rationale for these actions is given below.
- ¹⁹ Amphibolorus is used here in the restricted sense of Cogger (1995, 2000); the extralimital species are A. muricatus White and A. nobbi Witten of eastern Australia. Although the species of Amphibolorus and Lophognathus are phenetically very alike, they appear to be widely separated in molecular genetic terms, at least as represented by A. muricatus and L. longirostris (Macey et al., 2000). Use of Amphibolurus Wagler, 1830 over Gemmatophora Kaup, 1827 (type species: Lacerta

- muricata White, 1790 by original designation) follows Cogger et al. (1983), who regard the earlier name as a nomen oblitum.
- The subspecies of Ctenophorus caudicinctus are variably based on features of colour and body proportions (Storr, 1967). In the case of caudicinctus vs mensarum, the available evidence suggests that these forms may well represent the ends of a cline (Storr, 1967; Aplin et al., submitted). Further morphological and molecular genetic studies are needed to determine appropriate infraspecific taxonomies for this and other widespread, polytypic species within Ctenophorus.
- ²¹ Listed in Storr et al. (1983) as C. inermis De Vis, 1888. Both names were originally proposed for Queensland populations; there has been no continental scale revision of the group.
- Diporiphora is phenetically similar to Amphibolorus and Lophognathus but differs in having reduced numbers of femoral and pre-anal pores, and in all but two species, a distinct, finely-scaled axillary patch that is under separate chromatic control (Greer, 1989: 23; absent in D. winneckei and D. linga). Macey et al. (2000) found D. bilineata to be closest to Caimanops in their molecular genetic comparsions.
 - The present listing of species within *Diporiphora* is essentially that provided by Storr *et al.* (1983) but with the formal addition of *D. linga*. Studies commenced by Dr A. Greer and now being pursued by Aplin indicate the presence of at least one additional species currently included within *D. bennettii*.
- 23 Storr (1974) distinguished nominotypical albilabris from D. a. sobria of the Northern Territory on the basis of differences in the intensity of patterning. In Storr et al. (1983), D. albilabris was treated as monotypic, presumably signifying elevation of sobria to specific rank, but without discussion. The taxa are here regrouped pending more detailed
- 24 Storr et al. (1983) flagged the possible occurrence of Diporiphora linga in the Great Victoria Desert of W.A.; this has been confirmed by the recovery of further material from this region (Aplin, in preparation).
- ²⁵ Greer (1989: 34) noted variation in phalangeal formula within Moloch, with retention of a primitively high count in mid-west coastal populations. A recent study of genetic variation (Adams and Aplin, unpublished data), including populations from across Western Australia and South Australia, found evidence of a limited degree of genetic sub-structuring, with the mid-west coast population being the most divergent. Further studies are required to establish the nature of interaction between the various sub-units.
- The taxonomy of Pogona in W.A. is not yet firmly established. Badham (1976) identified the south-western and near-coastal populations north to North West Cape as P. minimus, those of the Murchison, Gascoyne and southern Pilbara regions as P. minor, and those of the northern Pilbara as P. mitchelli. Possible hybrids or intergrades were noted along the boundaries between each of minimus and minor, and minor and mitchelli.
 - Storr (1982) treated all of these populations as subspecies of *P. minor*, and restricted *minimus* to the Abrolhos Islands. He postulated the presence of a narrow hybrid zone to explain the apparent intergradation between *minor* and *mitchelli*. He also noted consistent geographic variation in the colour of the oral mucosa in *P. m. minor* (yellow in the south, changing to white, north of Kalbarri). Witten's (1994a,b) morphometric analysis largely confirmed Storr's impressions. Aplin *et al.* (submitted) also favoured Storr's interpretation, noting that material from the Carnarvon Basin is "relatively uniform in both body proportions and details of spination". Although Storr's nomenclature is followed here, a detailed genetic and morphometric investigation of the group is clearly required.
 - Wells and Wellington (1985: 19) named *Pogona loriae* from "West River crossing, on the road between Ravensthorpe and Jerramungup", distinguished from *P. minor* "by its much longer tail and its extreme southern distribution". The type specimen has been registered as R105588 in the collection of the Australian Museum (Shea and Sadlier, 1999: 55). The claimed "much longer tail" of *loriae* is puzzling in light of the fact that south coastal populations as a whole were shown by Badham (1976: 439) to be shorter tailed than those further north. This further underscores the need for further taxonomic studies of Western Australian *Pogona*.
- The species grouped here as Rankinia have caused no end of taxonomic trouble, and the problems are still far from solved. Storr originally described parviceps as a species of Amphibolurus and formally associated it with an A. adelaidensis species group (Storr, 1977). However, on disbandment of that genus he transferred both A. parviceps and A. adelaidensis to Tympanocryptis (Storr 1982c). In the meantime, Moody (1980) and Witten (1982) had independently decided that adelaidensis, diemensis and parviceps were closely related to each

other, and further, that they were probably closer to *Pogona* than to *Tympanocryptis*. Cogger (1983, 1995, 2000) followed Storr's example and placed all of the small-bodied taxa in *Tympanocryptis*, athough he commented on its likely polyphyletic nature. Wells and Wellington (1984) proposed a new genus, *Rankinia*, for the group identified by Moody and Witten, but provided a brief diagnosis of limited value. Greer (1989) adopted *Rankinia* for adelaidensis and diemensis, but left parviceps (and butleri; described by Storr in 1982) within *Tympanocryptis*. In so doing, he placed considerable faith in the phylogenetic significance of a shared pattern of phalangeal reduction (loss of one phalanx from 5th toe in all *Tympanocryptis*', but retained in *Rankinia*'). However, Greer (1989) drew a clear distinction within *Tympanocryptis* between a *T. parviceps* group' and a *T. lineata* group', noting several diagnostic characters for each group.

We agree with Greer's (1989) recognition of Rankinia for the 'adelaidensis' group, but disagree with the decision to retain the 'parviceps' group in Tympanocryptis. Without parviceps and butleri, the genus Tympanocryptis forms a compact group defined by a host of specializations in scalation, body proportions, osteology and myology (Smith 1938; Mitchell, 1965; reviewed by Moody, 1980). These specializations (e.g., reduction in femoral and pre-anal pore numbers, substantial modification of the middle ear apparatus and depressor mandibulae muscle; Greer, 1989: 17; Aplin, unpublished observations) are absent in each of parviceps and butleri, which thus appear to fall outside of typical 'Tympanocryptis'. More importantly from a cladistic viewpoint, however, parviceps and butleri together share a number of equally striking, derived features with members of two other genera, namely Rankinia and Pogona. These features include a specialised condition of the postmental scalation to produce a 'terraced' morphology (illustrated by Storr, 1964: Fig. 2), the presence of a dorsolateral skin fold, and the presence of an enlarged lateral row of scales along the base of the tail. Special similarity is seen between the 'parviceps group' species and Pogona in the nature of the dorsal scalation, which is highly heterogeneous and contains numerous broad, mucronate scales; and between the parviceps group and Rankinia in general body proportions including the reduction in presacral vertebral and postsacral diapophysial counts (Greer 1989: 42). However, in several respects, parviceps and butleri appear to be more plesiomorphic than either Rankinia or Pogona, most noticably in the relatively small size of the head, which lacks the characteristic broadening of Rankinia and Pogona species, and is more consistent with a small species of Ctenophorus e.g., C. isolepis).

The decision to place the 'parviceps' group in Rankinia, rather than in Pogona or in a genus of its own, thus contains an element of phenetic arbitrariness. Nevertheless, we believe that it represents an advance over the previous arrangments, and is perhaps more acceptable than either of the two alternatives, namely placment of the parviceps group in Pogona or the erection of yet another agamid genus. Perhaps future molecular studies will finally resolve the relationships of these diminutive taxa, which may represent three or more separate instances of 'miniaturization' among the Australian agamids.

- ²⁸ Greer (1989: 31) treated the allopatric chapmani as a full species, but without comment. The published morphological distinction between adelaidensis and chapmani is no more profound than between other amphibolurine subspecies and we retain them at this level, pending more detailed morphological studies or some estimate of molecular divergence.
- Storr (1982c) elevated *Tympanocryptis paroiceps butleri* to a full species primarily on the strength of four specimens from 15 km S of Kalbarri (R33683-33686) that he identified as possibly belonging to *T. parviceps*, despite their disjunct occurrence south of the area occupied by *T. butleri*. Aplin *et al.* (submitted) established by dissection that the adult female of this series possesses the low femoral pore count characteristic of *T. butleri*, and noted that the two taxa were morphologically no more divergent than many other allopatric taxa currently treated as subspecies. We return *butleri* to subspecies level.
- This species encompasses considerable morphological variation in Western Australia, with four morphologically distinct geographic forms and some instances of regional sympatry. Smith et al. (2000) recorded 15% fixed genetic difference between typical T. cephala and T. intima Mitchell from the Lake Eyre Basin, hinting at a relatively recent history of speciation within this group. The type locality of Tympanocrytis cephalus Günther is Nickol Bay, Western Australia.
- The various subspecies of T. lineata probably all warrant recognition as full species. In South Australia, the nominotypical form is reportedly sympatric with T. l. houstoni along the eastern margin of the Nullarbor Plain (Houston 1998). No cases of sympatry are recorded between T. l. houstoni and T. l. centralis in Western Australia, however T. l. centralis

- and T. l. macra are regionally sympatric in the South Kimberley. Smith et al. (1999) found 12% fixed genetic difference between allopatric populations of T. l. lineata and T. l. houstoni, and greater than 15% fixed difference between each of these taxa and T. l. centralis. This difference is equivalent to that observed between T. cephala and T. intima, and between T. l. lineata and each of T. tetraporophora Lucas and Frost and T. pinguicolla Mitchell. Our hesitancy in suggesting elevation of these taxa to species level comes from uncertainty as to the true number of species and their distributions, with indications of further regional diversity in each of T. 'centralis' and T. cephala in Western Australia. A more detailed assessment of the group is urgently needed.
- The current familial classification of geckos and their relatives is challenged by morphological (Kluge, 1987) and molecular (Donnellan et al., 1999) evidence that suggests that the endemic Australasian Diplodactylinae (including the New Caledonian and New Zealand gekkonid radiations) and Pygopodidae are sister taxa to the exclusion of the Gekkoninae. One possible taxonomic action is to recognise a family Diplodactylidae with two subfamilies, however there is clearly considerable pressure to maintain the familial identity of the legless lizards, despite the fact that to do so renders the Gekkonidae paraphyletic. A more appealing alternative may be to separate the Gekkonidae into three families.
- Recent classifications of the diplodactyline gekkos (e.g., Bauer, 1990), based on a combination of chromosomal and morphological criteria, generally feature two tribal units, Diplodactylini and Carphodactylini. Major differences of opinion chiefly relate to the placement of the genus Oedura, which is variably treated as a diplodactylin (Kluge, 1967a, 1987; Greer, 1989) or a carphodactylin (King, 1987; Bauer, 1990). This perspective has been challenged by Donnellan et al.'s (1999) molecular genetic study of a limited number of representative gekkonid taxa. Their results support the notion of a monophyletic Diplodactylini that includes Oedura, but do not support monophyly of Carphodactylini. Instead, their results suggest that Nephrurus and Carphodactylus together form a major lineage to the exclusion of Rhacodactylus, Pseudothedactylus and the 'diplodactylins'. Donnellan et al.'s (1999) results also show Pseudothecadactylus and Rhacodactylus to be very distantly related, confirming the earlier finding of Good et al. (1997) of a high level of genetic divergence between these taxa, but contrary to Bauer's (1990) inclusion of Pseudothecadactylus as a subgenus of Rhacodactylus. In the light of these findings, tribal classification with Diplodactylinae is abandoned until such time as a new phylogenetic synthesis of the group is attempted.
- The current classification of Crenadactylus considerably understates the taxonomic diversity within this genus, with each of ocellatus and horni (sensu Storr, 1978) encompassing several distinct taxa, some of which occur in sympatry. A major morphological and genetic study of the genus is underway by Aplin and Adams. For the present, the various subspecies erected and defended by Storr (1978; Storr et al., 1990) are listed, but with notice of major revisions to come.
- Diplodactylus is used here in a restricted sense, following excision of Kluge's (1967a) strophurus group into the genus Strophurus Fitzinger. This action was proposed by Wells and Wellington (1984) and endorsed by Greer (1989), who summarised the considerable morphological evidence for monophyly of Strophurus. However, as acknowledged by Greer (1989: 78), there is little evidence that the species 'left behind' in Diplodactylus form a comparable monophyletic group. Greer (1989: Fig. 35) postulated monophyly of Strophurus with Oedura, based on shared possession of basal digital pads and loss of lateral cloacal bones, but this relationship is not supported by the molecular sequence data that points instead to more immediate links between Strophurus and Rhymchoedura (Donnellan et al., 1999).
 - In keeping with Kluge (1967a) and Storr et al. (1983), we believe that Ceramodactylus damaeus Lucas and Frost can probably be accommodated within Diplodactylus. The alternative view recognises a monotypic genus Lucasium Wermuth (e.g., Cogger et al., 1983; Greer, 1989).
- 36 The North West Cape population referred by Kluge (1967b) to D. mitchelli represents a morphologically distinct species; a description is in preparation.
- ³⁷ Diplodactylus stenodactylus encompasses at least three genetically distinct geographic populations; the molecular systematics of the group is currently under study by Donnellan (pers. comm.). The type locality of Diplodactylus stenodactylus Boulenger is Roebuck Bay, Western Australia
- 38 This taxon was formerly included within N. asper Günther. The latter species is confined to eastern Australia (Couper and Gregson, 1994).
- 39 The affinities of Rhychoedura ornata are uncertain. Greer (1989) noted

- morphological similarities to each of *D. pulcher* and members of the *D. stenodactylus* group. Donnellan *et al.* (1999) found *R. ornata* to be possibly monophyletic with *Strophurus*, and considerably more distant from *Diplodactylus vittatus* (the type species of *Diplodactylus*).
- ⁴⁰ The subspecies of S. spinigerus have been collected in immediate sympatry at various localities near Perth. It is not currently known whether or not they hybridise in contact. They are retained as subspecies, pending further study of the contact zone.
- ⁴¹ Bauer (1990) recommended inclusion of the 'barking geckos' in an expanded genus Nephrurus, presumably because he was unable to diagnose a monophyletic Underwoodisaurus as distinct from basal Nephrurus (1990: Fig.17). This suggestion has not been followed in major Australian herpetological works (e.g., Cogger, 2000) and we too prefer to retain the more familiar usage pending further assessment of relations within this group.
- ⁴² Use of the generic name Christinus Wells and Wellington follows Bauer et al. (1997) who have re-diagnosed this endemic Australian lineage as part of clarifying the generic status of Old World representatives of the former, pan-continental genus Phyllodactylus. Greer (1989) had earlier employed Christinus for these Australian geckos, but based chiefly on geographic considerations.
- The genus includes at least three species distributed across southern Australia. Christinus alexanderi is restricted to the Nullarbor Plain where it occurs in sympatry with C. marmoratus (Donnellan et al. 2000). Christinus marmoratus is widely distributed from inland New South Wales to the west coast of W.A.; although it shows significant chromosomal diversity (King and Rofe, 1976; King and King, 1977; Donnellan and Aplin, unpublished data), there is only limited genetic differentiation across its range (Donnellan et al. 2000). A third species, also showing a geographical pattern of chromosomal differentiation, is confined to the high rainfall zone and south coastal strip of southwest W.A., including many of the off-shore islands (Teale, 1991; Aplin, unpublished data).
- 45 The taxonomy of Gehyra is far from satisfactory, with as yet incompletely documented chromosomal diversity in each of G. variegata and G. punctata, and major morphological variation across the ranges of both these species and G. pilbara.
- "Chromosomal and genetic diversity in Heteronotia binoei is consistent with the presence of three or more distinct species (Moritz et al., 1990a,b), with numerous unisexual clonal lineages also recorded. Various species-level names are available within this group, but at present even the wider distribution of typical Heteronotia binoei (type locality: Houtman Abrolhos) is unresolved.
- 45 Most of the genera of Pygopodidae are defined by clear synapomorphies (Kluge, 1976; Greer, 1989). However, the genus Delma is united largely by shared ancestral features and appears to contain a number of major lineages; it may also be paraphyletic with respect to Aclys (Greer, 1989).
- Storr's sample of Aprasia rostrata fusca contains representatives of three species, including one specimen from North West Cape now referred to typical A. rostrata (Aplin et al. submitted). True fusca is restricted to the Bullara Giralia area. A previously referred population from the Gnaraloo Cape Cuvier region represents a new species (Aplin in prep.). Another undescribed taxon allied to A. fusca is known from two localities near Geraldton. A population of uncertain affinities is known from a single specimen from Kalbarri.
- ⁴⁷ The southern-most populations referred by Storr et al. (1991) to Delma australis, often associated with granite outcrop habitats, represent an undescribed taxon that is well-differentiated genetically but only subtly distinct morphologically; a species description is in preparation by Aplin and Adams. The remaining populations of Delma australis are morphologically diverse and show some evidence of genetic subdivision (Aplin et al. submitted).
- Shea (1991) recommended that *Delma haroldi* Storr, 1987 of northwestern Australia be synonymised with *D. butleri* Storr, 1987 of southern Australia. Although many of his observations concerning clinal variation in *D. butleri* and the similarity of northwestern *butleri* to geographically proximate *haroldi* are cogent, our own studies indicate that *D. haroldi* can be distinguished from all populations of *D. butleri* by the fact that the preauricular and postauricular pale bands run completely across the parietal and nuchal region, except in some large adults where they may be faded and interrupted dorsally. The two species appear to be sympatric or at least parapatric in the Hamersley Range. We further note that *D. butleri* itself displays a complex pattern of geographic variation, with possible sympatry of two quite distinct forms on Dirk Hartog Island, Shark Bay, specifically a large, stockily built and complexly patterned form (extends north to

- include nominotypical *butleri*; this form is mentioned by Shea, 1991: 78) and a smaller, more slender form with little facial patterning save for a small postocular bar (extends across southern Western Australia, with tendency towards more complex facial patterning in more inland regions). These observations lead us to reject the proposed synonymy until such time as the group has been subjected to a molecular genetic investigation.
- ⁴⁹ All Australasian skinks belong in the subfamily Lygosominae. Three major groups are recognised by Greer (1989: 128): the Mabuya group (including Egernia, Tiliqua and Cyclodomorphus within the Western Australian fauna); the Eugongylus group (including Acritoscincus, Cryptoblepharus, Menetia, Morethia, Proablepharus and Pseudemoia in W.A.); and the Sphenomorphus group (including Ctenotus, Eremiascincus, Glaphyromorphus, Hemiergis, Lerista and Notoscincus in W.A.). Greer (1989: 128) summarises evidence of monophyly for each of the Eugongylus and Sphenomorphus groups, but notes the possibility that the Mabuya group is a grade-taxon rather than a true clade.
- Formerly known as Leiolopisma trilineatum. Placed in Bassiana by Hutchinson and Donnellan (1990), but the Wells and Wellington (1984) name Acritoscincus has priority over Bassiana for the duperreyi group.
- A recent molecular genetic study by Adams and Horner (unpublished) has confirmed suspicions that the Australian chapter of the genus Cryptoblepharus is considerably more diverse than currently indicated. On a local scale, Aplin et al. (submitted) noted sympatry in the Shark Bay region between two distinct morphological types within 'C. carnabyi'. The molecular genetic data show multiple taxa with each of 'carnabyi' and 'plagiocephalus' as currently conceived.
- There have been various attempts to subdivide this speciose but morphologically conservative genus into species-groups (Storr, 1965; Storr et al., 1981; Wilson and Knowles, 1988). In most cases, the proposed species-groups are diagnosed on characters of uncertain polarity and dubious phylogenetic value. Wells and Welington (1984, 1985) proposed various new genera for components of Ctenotus; these are not defined other than by content, but are nonetheless nomenclaturally available. An evolutionary molecular study of this fascinating genus is currently in progress by Eric Pianka and his coworkers at the University of Texas.
- The subspecific classification of C. pantherinus is in need of revision in the light of the now greatly expanded collections. Aplin et al. (in press) discussed the lack of clear morphological distinction between C. p. ocellifer and the nominotypical race, which are narrowly allopatric in the southern Carnarvon Basin.
- Several disjunct populations of a new Ctenotus species, closely allied to C. robustus, were recently detected in the Pilbara region.
- 55 Hutchinson and Donnellan (1999) allocate one paratype of *C. uber* (WAM R26897; one of three specimens from Mungilli Claypan) to their new species *C. olympicus* of the Lake Eyre and Lake Frome Basins and adjacent regions of South Australia, the Northern Territory, New South Wales and Queensland. We have re-examined the Mungilli Claypan specimens along with others from contiguous regions of Western Australia. While we concur Hutchinson and Donnellan (1999) that there is considerable geographic variation within *C. uber uber* sensu Storr *et al.* (1999), we are unconvinced by their suggestion that the Mungilli Claypan sample contains two distinct species. As Hutchinson and Donnellan (1999: 186) note themselves, all three specimens "have the very long tail of *C. uber*" and the differences in patterning are a matter only of degree, not kind. Pending further analysis of this complex group, we therefore prefer not to accept *C. olympicus* as part of the herpetofauna of W.A.
- Frior subspecific treatment of Western Australian Egernia stokesii is challenged by the recent collection of what appears to be typical stokesii from Edel Land in the Shark Bay region (Aplin et al. submitted), proximate to populations of badia (Dirk Hartog Island and Peron Peninsula) and aethiops (Baudin Island). Following Aplin et al. (submitted), we recognise two subspecies (stokesii and badia), and consider aethiops to be either an insular version of typical stokesii or a population of possible hybrid origin. An additional, morphologically distinctive population of E. stokesii is restricted to several, isolated granite outcrops in the upper Murchison catchment. Genetic studies are underway to establish the level of divergence and extent of gene flow among these forms.
- Storr et al. (1999: 157) included E. slateri Storr, 1968 in the herpetofauna of Western Australia, based on specimens from the Bungle Bungle Range in southeast Kimberley. The specimens in question resemble E. slateri in their relatively small size and presence of dark mottling on the flanks and sides of the neck. However, they differ from E. slateri and resemble the closely related E. striata in having lower midbody scale counts and

- less dark pigment dorsally. Until the true affinities of this population are determined, we hesitate to include *E. slateri* in this checklist.
- Additional, regionally distinctive forms of Eremiascincus are recorded from the Hamersley Range and Eighty Mile Beach area. An unpublished electrophoretic study by S. Donnellan (S.A. Museum) points to generally low levels of genetic divergence within this genus, and emphasises the need for caution in recognition of further species.
- The genus Glaphyromorphus as currently delimited is an unsatisfactory 'grab-bag' of relatively unspecialised Sphenomorphus group skinks (Greer, 1989: 157-158). The Western Australian species are readily divisible on morphological and biogeographic grounds into a 'southwestern' assemblage (G. gracilipes and a second, undescribed taxon from the vicinity of Bunbury) and a 'northwestern' assemblage [the remaining listed taxa, all of which belong to Greer's (1990) G. isolepis species group]. Greer (1989: 160) flags the possible close relationship between G. gracilipes and the genus Hemiergis.
- We accept the arguments of Cogger et al. (1983) concerning the non-availability of Lygosoma australis Gray, 1839. The southwestern species was known formerly as Sphenomorphus australis (e.g., Storr et al., 1981) and Sphenomorphus gracilipes (e.g., Cogger et al., 1983). A second, larger-bodied member of this group is known only from the vicinity of Bunbury (Aplin and Dell, submitted).
- 61 Hemiergis peronii displays structured geographic variation in digit number, with distinct, uniformly four and three digit populations. Storr (1975) originally recognised these populations as subspecies, but later abandoned this usage without comment (Storr et al., 1981). We reintroduce the subspecies of peronii as a means of drawing attention to this neglected taxonomic issue.
- At the northern end of its range, three-digit H. p. peronii overlaps broadly in range with H. peronii quadrilineata (sensu Storr, 1975; Storr et al., 1981) and the two taxa have been collected in direct syntopy in the vicinity of Bunbury. Following Choquenot and Greer (1989) and Storr et al. (1999), we treat H. quadrilineata as a full species.
- Attempts to subdivide this huge genus into meaningful species-groups (e.g., Storr, 1971; Storr et al., 1981, 1999; Greer, 1986; Kendrick, 1991) have been somewhat more successful than for the comparably speciose genus Ctenotus. This is due in part to the more extensive anatomical transformations that have occurred towards increasing fossoriality within Lerista, but also to the availability of several genetic datasets representing approximately half of the described taxa (Kendrick, 1991; Aplin et al. submitted; Donnellan and Adams, unpublished). The most recent arrangement of Western Australian species by Storr et al. (1999: 175-181) is overly subdivided and contains some polyphyletic groups; it can be amended in the light of the genetic data as follows:
 - 'Lerista bipes group' (L. apoda, L. bipes, L. griffini, L. ips, L. labialis; possibly also L. greeri, L. praefrontalis, L. robusta, L. simillima, L. vermicularis).
 - 'Lerista nichollsi group' (L. connivens, L. gascoynensis, L. humphriesi, L. kendricki, L. kennedyensis, L. lineopunctulata, L. onsloviana, L. nichollsi, L. petersoni, L. planiventralis, L. praepedita, L. uniduo, L. varia; possibly also L. yuna).
 - 3. 'Lerista elegans group' (L. distinguenda, L. dorsalis, L. elegans, L. microtis; possibly also L. arenicola, L. christinae, L. lineata, L. viduata).
 - 4. 'Lerista muelleri group' (L. allochira, L. muelleri, L. separanda, L. xanthura; possibly also L. haroldi, L. orientalis, L. taeniata).
 - 5. 'Lerista frosti group' (L. stictopleura, L. zietzi; possibly also L. quadrivincula).
 - 'Lerista macropisthopus group' (L. macropisthopus, L. baynesi, L. desertorum, L. gerrardii, L. neander, L. picturata; possibly also L. axillaris, L. bunglebungle, L. eupoda, L. puncticauda).
 - 7. 'Lerista walkeri group' (L. walkeri; possibly also L. borealis, L. kalumburu).
- 8. 'Lerista terdigitata group' (L tridactyla only in W.A.).
- Lerista lineopunctulata is morphologically polytypic but shows only limited genetic variation across its geographic range (Hammond, 1998; Aplin et al., submitted). The geographic pattern of morphological variation includes several sharp boundaries that might be used to delimit species or subspecies. Several species-level names are available for use, should sibling species or subspecies be warranted.
- 64 Storr last reviewed Lerista muelleri in 1972, at which time he remarked on a number of regionally distinctive populations. Subsequently, Storr (1983) and Kendrick (1989) described related taxa with restricted ranges, but without tackling the broader issues of geographic variation in L. muelleri. Aplin et al. (submitted) identified four morphotypes of L. muelleri in the Carnarvon Basin region alone, and documented fixed genetic differences between representative, allopatric populations.

72 K.P. Aplin, L.A. Smith

Work is currently underway by Smith towards a major revision of the group across its wider geographic range.

- 65 Following Aplin et al. (submitted) we treat Lerista talpina Storr, 1991 as a probable junior synonym of L. petersoni Storr, 1976.
- 66 Maryan and Robinson (1997) postulate that this species might be based on an aberrant individual of L. griffini. This suggestion derives from their inability to capture additional specimens of L. praefrontalis on King Hall Island, the discovery of L. griffini at the type locality, and the close morphological similarity between the two taxa. The case for synonymy is strong, but we retain praefrontalis for the present on account of its concordant features of unusual head scalation and reduced phalangeal formula. Further collecting is required to explore the stability of these features in the insular population of L. griffini.
- 67 Shea (1991b) proposed L. quadrivincula as a replacement name for Lerista concolor Storr, 1990 [junior homonym of Lygosoma (Rhodona) bipes concolor Werner, 1910].
- 68 Following Aplin et al. (submitted) we treat Lerista maculosa Storr, 1991 as a probable junior synonym of L. uniduo 1984.
- 69 Lerista zietzi Wells and Wellington minimally satisfies the requirements of the Code for availability and, provided nominal dates of publication are accepted (1.iii.1985 vs 30.viii.1985), then it predates and has priority over L. chalybura Storr 1985. However, Shea and Sadlier (1999: 38) have noted that copies of the Australian Journal of Herpetology, Supplementary Series No. 1 were not generally sighted until considerably later (September 1985; Storr receieved a copy at the W.A. Museum on 18.xi.1985). Shea and Sadlier (1999) advocate continue use of the Storr name until such time as the priority of these names is more firmly established. We disagree on the grounds that the the March 1985 date of publication of Wells and Wellington (1985) should be accepted until such time as proven erroneous.
- Recent genetic studies of the genus Menetia have revealed extensive genetic diversity within a M. greyii 'complex', with instances of sympatry, hybridization, chromosomal polyploidy and probable parthenogenesis (Aplin et al. submitted; Adams, Donnellan and Aplin unpublished). These studies also suggest the enigmatic holotype of Menetia amaura Storr, collected at False Entrance Well, Shark Bay, is very likely an aberrant specimen of a locally abundant and geographically widespread member of the M. greyii 'complex'. Because the paralectotypes of M. greyii Gray were probably collected in the vicinity of Perth (Aplin et al. submitted), there is a good possibility that amaura is strictly synonymous with true greyii. Further molecular and taxonomic work is underway to resolve these issues.
- The subspecies of M. surda are morphologically quite distinct but only weakly differentiated genetically (Aplin and Adams, 1998). The subspecific identity of several populations (e.g., North West Cape) is currently uncertain.
- ⁷² Greer (1989) and Horner (1991) treated ornatus and wotjulum as full species, the latter on account of their sympatry on Groote Island, Northern Territory. In W.A., the situation appears more complex, with geographic variation in body pattern within N. o. wotjulum apparently blurring the distinction between the taxa (Storr et al., 1999: 264). Pending further studies of the W.A. populations, taxonomic change is unwarranted.
- The potentially earlier name Scincus tropisurus Péron 1807 (type locality probably Bernier Island) was declared a nomen oblitum by Douglas and Ride (1961) and hence is unavailable for use. The name was inappropriately reintroduced by Wilson and Knowles (1988: 203) for the distinctive mid-western population of T. rugosa. This population was formally described by Shea (2000) as T. r. palarra. Tiliqua r. konowi from Rottnest Island is of dubious taxonomic significance in view of the recency of separation of this population from those on the adjacent mainland, but is maintained as a diagnosibily distinct entity.
- ⁷⁴ Shea (1993) has put a convincing case that ascribes authorship of *Lacerta scincoides* to the anatomist John Hunter (1728-1793), and not to John White as previously thought (e.g., Cogger et al. 1983).
- ⁷⁵ Storr (1983) noted that Pilbara populations referred to V. caudolineatus are similar in some respects to V. gilleni. These populations represent a distinct species that will shortly be described as new (Aplin and King, in prep.).
- Böhme (1991) pointed out that the lectotype of Hydrosaurus gouldii Gray is a specimen of the taxon described by Storr (1980) as Varanus panoptes, rather than of the more widepread taxon usually associated with the name gouldii. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (2000: 63-65) recently invoked its plenary power under Opinion 1948 to preserve current taxonomic usage by designating a neotype of V. gouldii Gray (BMNH 1997.1 in the Natural History

Museum, London, collected from Karrakatta, Perth by G. Thompson on 29.ix.1997).

Following Storr (1980), we acknowledge the presence of considerable interpopulational variation within *V. gouldii*, some of which may be of taxonomic significance. *Varanus g. flavirufus* Mertens, 1958 is sometimes used for the smaller, often brightly patterned populations found in the arid inland.

The taxonomy of Australian typhlopids is in its infancy. Important benchmarks were set by Waite's (1918) inaugural taxonomic revision, Robb's (1960, 1966a,b) seminal studies of internal and reproductive anatomy, and Greer's (1997: 21) preliminary study of cranial variation. Apart from some limited pilot studies by Aplin and Donnellan (1993; unpublished data on R. australis group), there has been no assessement of genetic diversity. The genus Ramphotyphlops itself is defined on features of male reproductive anatomy (Robb, 1966b). These features have been confirmed for many, but by no means all, included species (Robb, 1966b; McDowell, 1974; Aplin and Donnellan, 1993), hence some species are placed in Ramphotyphlops solely on the basis of geographic considerations.

The spate of new species descriptions over the last decade highlights the fact that the typhlopid fauna of many areas remains poorly sampled (e.g., Aplin, 1998). Several, newly collected and highly distinctive taxa await description, including one from Exmouth on North West Cape and another from Augustus Island off the Kimberley Coast. Furthermore, it appears that several of the common, widespread 'species' within Ramphotyphlops contain one or more cryptic taxa (e.g., R. australis, R. grypus).

- Recent collections made by Dr P. Kendrick of Dept C.A.L.M. show that R. ammodytes and R. diversus, formerly treated as subspecies, occur in regional sympatry in the hinterland of the Eighty Mile Beach. These taxa also differ in several features of external anatomy and clearly warrant specific separation.
- ⁷⁹ Coate (1997) reported specimens of this widespread parthenogenetic exotic from the Kimberley region. Subsequently, specimens have been obtained from several major towns on the Pilbara coast.
- The generic classification of Australian boids has been highly changeable. A recent classification by Kluge (1993), based on a morphological cladistic analysis, has gained wide acceptance, and is followed here. To date there has been no broad molecular systematic investigation of the group.
- Antaresia saxacola Wells and Wellington 1985: 41 is a nomen nudum on account of the fact that it is not diagnosed other than by reference to geographic origin and unspecified features in previously published photographs. The species account otherwise consists of basic body measurements (snout to vent, tail length) and a reference to "its essentially rock-dwelling habits". The proposed type specimen is a paratype of A. stimsoni orientalis Smith, 1985. The date of publication of Smith (1985) is 30.ix.1985; that of Wells and Wellington (1985) is nominally earlier (1.iii.1985), but see comments under Lerista zeitzi.
- Hoser (2000) proposed a number of new Australian python taxa, among them, two subspecies of the Woma (Aspidites ramsayi panoptes and A. r. richardjonesii and two subspecies of the Black-headed Python (Aspidites melanocephalus adelynensis and A. m. davieii) from Western Australia. The name A. r. panoptes is available for the southwest Western Australian Womas as the description does diagnose that population. The name A. r. richardjonesii proposed for the Womas of the western Great Sandy Desert is a nomina nuda as it is diagnosed solely on geographic grounds. Molecular data indicate that A. ramsayi is monotypic and the recognition of subspecies is not warranted (S. Donnellan personal communication). In the case of the two subspecific names proposed for Western Australian Aspidites melanocephalus the 'diagnoses' for both A. m. adelynensis and A. m. davieii are effectively the same. Their descriptions relate to the population in Queensland and "the northern third" of the Northern Territory (which Hoser considers the nominate subspecies). Although there do seem to be consistent morphological differences between eastern and western Black-headed Pythons, the critical contact between the two forms has not been investigated, nor is there any molecular data on which to assess reciprocal monophyly and/or levels of divergence. We suggest subspecies not be recognised until the species has been more thoroughly investigated. If such investigations indicate an eastern and western form of the Black-headed Python we propose, on the grounds of page priority, that adelynensis be considered available for the western form and davieii a nomina nuda.
- The question of whether the Australian Water Python (sometimes distinguished as L. fusca, Peters, 1874) is conspecific with true mackloti from the Lesser Sunda Islands still begs resolution. McDowell (1975)

noted some differences in colour and patterning between the two regional forms but examined too few specimens to attempt any more detailed analysis of geographic variation. Other recent workers have variously followed McDowell's taxonomy (e.g., Smith, 1981; Storr et al., 1986), or recognized L. fusca but without providing any formal diagnosis or justification (e.g., Cogger et al. 1983; Cogger, 2000). Barker and Barker (1994: 30) have argued for recognition of separate species on the grounds that they can readily distinguish captive, wild-caught Water Pythons from various populations. Unfortunately, they provide no indication of exactly what features they are using to distinguish the various forms, hence their remarks do not progress beyond McDowell's (1975: 37) conclusion that the interpopulatonal difference "seems no greater than might be expected as a result of geographical variation with a single species".

We maintain the water pythons as a single species, but acknowledge the apparent geographic variation through recognition of subspecies for the Australopapuan vs Lesser Sundan populations.

- The Caenophidia or clade of 'advanced snakes' (Rieppel, 1988; Cundall et al., 1993) contains two major groups, the Acrochordidae (File Snakes) and the Colubroidea (glyphodont or 'fanged' snakes), the latter with at least four families (Atractaspididae, Elapidae, Colubridae, Viperidae). The traditional view based on morphological evidence, holds that colubroids are monophyletic to the exclusion of Acrochordus (e.g., Underwood, 1967; Groombridge, 1984; Rieppel, 1988; Kluge, 1991). This view has received some support from molecular evidence (e.g., Heise et al., 1995), but is challenged by other molecular studies that points to a possible link between Acrochordus and the southeast Asian colubrid subfamily Xenoderminae (Kraus and Brown, 1998). We retain the traditional classification pending more comprehensive assessment of this issue.
- We follow McDowell (1979) in recognizing a single genus for the three extant species of Achrochordidae. Storr et al. (1986) placed granulatus in Chersydrus Cuvier, following the taxonomy of Smith (1939).
- The Colubridae is an enormously heterogeneous assemblage of approximately 1500 species distributed across all major regions of the world. Ten or more subfamilial groups are usually recognised (e.g., McDowell, 1987), three of which are represented in tropical Australasia, namely Colubrinae, Natricinae and Homalopsinae. Australian herpetologists have tended to treat the last-mentioned of these groups as a distinct family on account of their specialised aquatic habitus (e.g., Storr et al., 1986; Greer, 1997). However, this is a parochial view that finds no support from either broader morphological studies (e.g., McDowell, 1987; Zaher, 1998) or molecular analyses (Kraus and Brown, 1998; Dowling et al., 1996).
- The Western Australian populations of *Boiga* belong to a strongly banded 'morph' [the name *Dipsas ornata* (Macleay) is available] that ranges from the Kimberley region of W.A., east into the Northern Territory and Gulf country of Queensland (Storr et al., 1986; Wilson and Knowles, 1988). A less boldly marked 'morph' [the name *Dendrophis fuscus* (Gray) is available] occurs east into Queensland and then down the eastern seaboard. Relatively plain populations are also encountered in the New Guinea region and into the Indonesian archipelago. Storr et al. (1986: 52-53) treated the Australian populations as an endemic species with two subspecies, *B. fusca fusca* in Eastern Australia and *B. f. ornata* in the west, but this action was based on limited study of related forms. In contrast, Cogger and others (e.g., McDowell, 1979; Cogger, 2000; Cogger et al., 1983; Greer, 1997) include the Australian populations within the more widely distributed *B. irregularis*.

Whittier et al. (2000) have documented non-concordant patterns of geographic variation in several meristic characters within B. irregularis, and further shown that the the Northern Territory banded' population is meristically more similar to 'unbanded' populations in eastern Australia than it is to other 'banded' populations in the Kimberley. Although Whittier et al. (2000) concluded from their analysis that two subspecies can be distinguished within B. irregularis, based on the single character of colour pattern, we prefer to forego subspecies nomenclature until such time as historical relationships among the various populations are better understood. Given the complex nature of geographic morphological variation within the taxon, this understanding will probably only come with the application of molecular systematic methods. Nomenclatural issues within the group are complicated by the fact that the type specimen of Coluber irregularis is presumed lost, and the type locality unspecified.

⁸⁸ Gyi (1970) distinguished C. australis of northern Australia from C. rynchops (Schneider) of southern and southeast Asia to New Guinea. Cogger (in Cogger et al., 1983) and Storr et al. (1986) included australis within rynchops (the latter authors listed australis as a

- subspecies), but in neither case was any justification provided. We follow the arrangement of Gyi, who cited multiple features of scalation and patterning that distinguish the Australian populations from rynchops.
- Malnate and Underwood (1988) revived this generic name for a suite of species formerly included in either Styporhynchus Peters or Amphiesma Malnate, and distributed between the Philippine Islands, Melanesia and northern Australia. Although they give the distribution of T. m. mairi as northern Australia east of Darwin, the W.A. Museum collection includes numerous specimens from the Kimberley region, some collected as early as 1958 (see Storr et al., 1986 for details of distribution).
- It has been customary to separate the sea snakes at familial level from the terrestrial Elapidae, either as one or as two families (Hydrophiidae, Laticaudidae). Although some workers continue to favour this arrangement (e.g., Cogger, 1995, 2000; Greer, 1997), it obscures the close phyletic links between both groups of sea-snakes and the Australo-Papuan radiation of terrestrial elapids. This relationship is demonstrated by a wealth of anatomical (e.g., McDowell, 1970), immunological (Schwaner et al., 1985) and molecular (Slowinski et al., 1997; Keogh, 1998) evidence, with further indications that the livebearing 'Hydrophis group' of sea-snakes may be derived from among the suite of viviparous 'terrestrial hydrophiines' (Shine, 1985; Schwaner et al., 1985; Greer, 1997; Slovinski et al., 1997; Keogh et al., 1999; Greer's "Pseudechis Subgroup", 1997: 184-193). We include all Western Australian front-fanged snakes in the elapid subfamily Hydrophiinae (following Smith et al., 1977; Golay et al., 1993), but maintain an informal distinction in the list between the 'terrestrial hydrophiines' and the 'Hydrophis group' sea-snakes. A third hydrophiine group, the 'Laticauda group' sea-snakes or 'sea-kraits', are not recorded in Western Australia despite being present in adjacent regions.
- The status of various species-level names proposed within Acanthophis by Wells and Wellington (1985) and Hoser (1998) has been discussed elsewhere (Aplin and Donnellan, 1998; Aplin, 1999). Acanthophis schistos Wells and Wellington, A. armstrongi Wells and Wellington, and A. lancasteri Wells and Wellington are nomina nuda on the grounds that they are not diagnosed other than by reference to geographic origin and unspecified features in previously published photographs and descriptive accounts. In contrast, several of the species-level names proposed by Hoser (1998) are nomenclaturally available, but it is unclear whether any of them can be applied to diagnosibly distinct taxa. A morphological study of Acanthophis across its range is currently underway by Smith, while studies of Acanthophis venom composition by Brian Fry and his co-workers are also contributing to an understanding of speciation in this group.
- ⁹² Spelling of name emended from wellsei by Aplin and Donnellan (1998).
- The generic classification of the small, fossorial elapids was a major point of divergence between the classications of Storr and those of various Eastern States based herpetologists. Storr (e.g., Storr et al., 1986) placed all of these taxa in one genus (Vermicella), while Cogger (1985) and others (e.g., Shine, 1984; Wallach, 1985; Scanlon and Shine, 1988; Hutchinson, 1990; Greer, 1997; Keogh and Smith, 1998; Keogh, 1999) who have divided them into two or more genera. Greer (1997) grouped all of these taxa within a monophyletic Vermicella Subgroup of his Furina Group, but recognised five distinct genera, namely Antaioserpens Wells and Wellington, Vermicella, Simoselaps, Brachyurophis and Neelaps. All but the first of these are represented in Western Australia and each is supported by shared-derived morphological characters and molecular sequence data, albeit with some contrary indications in regard to the monophyly of 'Neelaps' (Keogh et al., 1998). Here we follow Greer (1997) and recognise four genera including the controversial Neelaps for bimaculatus and calonotos, on account of their common possession of uniquely-derived temporal scalation (Greer, 1997: Fig. 7.22), and essentially similar derived hemipenial anatomy (Keogh, 1999; Aplin, unpublished observation of N. calonotos) and feeding ecology (Shine, 1984).
- Shea (1998) clarified the identity of the black whip snakes of Northern Australia and New Guinea. Demansia vestigiata is the taxon previously recorded in W.A. as D. atra (Storr, 1978; Storr et al., 1986). Shea also referred all Papuan specimens examined to vestigiata, thus challenging the basis for Storr's (1978) description of melaena as an Australian subspecies of papuensis. Finally, Shea was able to demonstrate the presence of clinal geographic variation within both D. papuensis and D. vestigiata.
- The genus Elapognathus has either been treated as monotypic (e.g., Cogger, 1985, 2000; Hutchinson, 1990; Greer, 1997) or else subsumed within a broadly-conceived Notechis (e.g., Storr et al., 1986). Inclusion of coronatus in Elapognathus follows Keogh and Scanlon (2000) who

have provided morphological and molecular data to support this action. The latter species has more commonly been placed in *Drysdalia* Worrell based on its presumed sibling relationship with *D. coronoides* (Günther) of southeastern Australia (e.g., Coventry and Rawlinson, 1980). Wells and Wellington (1985) included the species of *Drysdalia* within an expanded *Elapognathus*, thus partly anticipating the results of Keogh and Scanlon.

74

- The taxonomy of the Tiger Snakes is contentious. Schwaner (1985) suggested that all populations be placed under a single species, *N. scutatus*, based mainly on low levels of genetic differentiation across southern Australia. However, as documented most thoroughly by Rawlinson (1991), there are a number of morphologically distinct forms that remain consistent across broad geographic regions, and these might be usefully distinguished at species or subspecies level. Under this scenario, Western Australian populations might be included within *ater* Krefft (Type locality: Port Lincoln, S.A.) or distinguished as a separate taxon (the name *occidentalis* Glauert is available). Our present inclination is to recognise a single species without subspecies, until such time as the major evolutionary units are more clearly identified.
- The species atriceps has defied classification ever since its discovery. Storr's (1980) original placement of the species with Echiopsis curta (both formerly in Brachyapsis Boulenger) was adopted by Cogger (1985, 2000), however Storr himself subsequently abandoned this view to place atriceps in Denisonia (e.g., Storr et al., 1986). Hutchinson (1990) suggested a possible relationship with Suta (including Parasuta) while Greer (1997) listed the taxon as 'Echiopsis' atriceps. We follow Keogh et al. (2000) who recognise a monotypic genus (Paraplocephalus) for atriceps, based on morphological and molecular evidence for a sister group relationship to Hoplocephalus of eastern Australia.
- Wells and Wellington (1988) described Cannia weigeli from 2 km upstream of Mitchell Falls (Type: WAM R23995). Although this name is nomenclaturally available, it is unclear whether this or any of the other recently proposed species of the P. australis group represent valid taxa.

- ⁹⁹ Pseudonaja a. exilis from Rottnest Island and P. a. tanneri from Boxer and Figure Eight Islands in the Archipelago are of dubious taxonomic significance in view of the recency of separation of these populations from those on the adjacent mainland. However, they are listed here pending a more detailed study of variation across the range of affinis and in knowledge of the occurrence of small, superficially tanneri like dugites from the southeastern coastal region.
- This undoubted species complex ranges across most of arid, semiarid and tropical Australia. Members of the group are highly variable in body patterning and colouration (Gillam, 1979; Mengden, 1985) and also shows considerable diversity in both chromosome number and morphology, with some karyomorphs differing in ways that imply genetic incompatibility (Mengden, 1985). The limited genetic data presented by Mengden demonstrate significant genetic divergence within the group but include no critical tests of sympatry between different colour forms or karyomorphs. An unpublished electrophoretic study by M. Adams of material from South Australia suggests the presence of several distinct species in that area.
- ¹⁰¹ Recognition of snelli as a full species follows Keogh and Smith (1996).
- Some of the species listed by Storr et al. (1986) under Hydrophis are now distinguished as Disteira, accepting the recommendation of McDowell (1972).
- 103 The amended spelling of the species name follows Shea (1996).
- Rassmussen and Smith (1999) showed that Hydrophis geometricus L.A. Smith, 1986 is a junior synonym of Hydrophis czeblukovi (Kharin, 1984). The species listed as Hydrophis 'melanocephala' by Storr et al. (1986) is Hydrophis coggeri Kharin, 1984.
- Wells and Wellington (1985) suggestion that northern Australia hosts three or more species of crocodile has not been critically assessed. However, it should be noted that recent studies of New Guinean and Philippine crocodilians have lead to the recognition of higher species diversity in these regions. Crocodylus johnstoni, in particular, might be expected to show geographic segregation parallel to that documented within the Australian freshwater turtle fauna.

INDEX OF FAMILIES

Acrochordidae	66	Elapidae	66
Agamidae		Gekkonidae	
Boidae	66	Hylidae	
Cheloniidae		Myobatrachidae	
Cheluidae	61	Pygopodidae	
Colubridae	66	Scincidae	
Crocodylidae	67	Typhlopidae	
Dermochelyidae		Varanidae	