The size of Little Penguins *Eudyptula minor*, on Penguin Island, Western Australia

N.I. Klomp* and R.D. Wooller*

Abstract

Little Penguins *Eudyptula minor* on Penguin Island, Western Australia are consistently heavier and have larger bills than conspecifics elsewhere in Australia or New Zealand. No latitudinal or other geographical trend in size is apparent.

Introduction

The Little Penguin *Eudyptula minor*, the smallest of the 16 penguin species, is confined to Australia and New Zealand. Kinsky and Falla (1976) have divided it into six subspecies, only one occurring in Australia. Little Penguins reach the northernmost limit of their breeding range in Western Australia, on Penguin and Carnac Islands (Serventy *et al.* 1971), although occasional birds have been recorded as far north as the Abrolhos Islands (Storr *et al.* 1986).

During studies of Little Penguins on Penguin Island (Klomp 1987), it became clear that the weights of birds there were substantially greater than those recorded in the literature for this species elsewhere. This paper documents these differences and suggests some possible explanations.

Methods and Results

Breeding Little Penguins, caught for banding or dietary studies between March 1986 and March 1987, were weighed to ± 25 g in bags, using a 2.5 kg spring balance. Vernier calipers were used to measure the length of the bill from its tip to its junction with the skull, ignoring curvature, as well as the depth of the bill along a vertical line through the centre of the nostrils.

The weights of penguins may vary with the age or sex of an individual, and seasonally. All individuals considered in this paper were breeding adults. Since all birds handled were marked uniquely, it was possible to avoid using repeated measurements from the same individuals; the last set of measurements obtained from an individual were used.

In Little Penguins, males are slightly larger than females, but there is considerable overlap between the sexes in size (Kinsky and Falla 1976). In general, the bill of a male is stouter and has a more pronounced hook at its tip. However, there was no clear bimodality, indicative of sexual dimorphism, in the samples of

^{*} Biological Sciences, Murdoch University, Western Australia 6150.

measurements taken. The measurements of six birds, found dead during the study and sexed by dissection, also showed extensive overlap. Following Gales (1987), the mean values presented are therefore calculated as mid-sex values to reduce any variation introduced by sexual dimorphism in size.

The weight of penguins also varies seasonally, particularly at the time of moult. Little Penguins may reach up to twice their normal weight in an intensive foraging bout, as they store subcutaneous fat in preparation for moult. Since they cannot go to sea during moult they may lose weight at this time, regaining lost weight again after the moult. Birds weighed at this time may therefore give an erroneous impression of size. Data from the moulting period on Penguin Island (December to February) were therefore discarded and means calculated using only values from the breeding season (March to November). Similarly, means for the nonmoulting period were used for comparison with other studies where these were available.

Figure 1 shows the frequency distributions of body mass and bill length from Penguin Island. These are essentially normal distributions with no real evidence of sexual dimorphism. Table 1 gives the mean body mass and bill length of the six subspecies recognised by Kinsky and Falla (1976), as well as from other studies of E. minor. It is clear that birds on Penguin Island are much heavier than conspecifics elsewhere, except perhaps the White-flippered Penguin E. m. albosignata, sometimes separated as a full species.

| Locality | Subspecies | Latitude Mean (± S.D.) body mass (g) | | | Mean (± S.D.) bill length (mm) | | Reference | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|---|----------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|--|--|
| NEW ZEALAND: | | | | | | | | | |
| Southland Westland | E. m. minor | 47°S | 1004 ± 150 | (10) | 35.2 ± 1.9 | (27) | Kinsky and Falla 1976 | | |
| Otago coast | E. m. minor | 46° S | 1169 ± 138 | (19) | 35.9 ± 1.9 | (20) | Kinsky and Falla 1976 | | |
| Otago | | 46° S | 1144 ± 86 | (39)** | 36.2 ± 2.0 | (39) | Richdale 1940 | | |
| Otago | | 46° S | 1282 ± 171 | (36) | 37.9 ± 1.9 | (37) | Gales 1987 | | |
| Chatham Is | E. m. chathamensis | | 1135 ± 130 | (19) | 36.8 ± 1.9 | (33) | Kinsky and Falla 1976 | | |
| Banks Peniosula | E. m. albosignata | 44° S | 1327 ± 176 | (18) | 37.8 ± 2.0 | (47) | Kinsky and Falla 1976 | | |
| Cook Strait | E. m. variabilis | 41°S | 1117 ± 114 | (48) | 36.7 ± 1.4 | (145) | Kinsky and Falla 1976 | | |
| Wellington | | 41°S | 1174 ± 113 | (993)* | - | | Kinsky 1960 | | |
| Northland | E. m. iredalei | 36°S | 902 ± 101 | (17) | 36.2 ± 1.7 | (72) | Kinsky and Falla 1976 | | |
| Auckland | | 37° S | 910 ± 150 | (272)* | 35.3 ± 2.2 | (272) | Jones 1978 | | |
| AUSTRALIA: | E. m. novaehollandi | iae | - | | 37.2 ± 2.4 | (46) | Kinsky and Falla 1976 | | |
| Tasmania | | 43°S | 1105 ± 110 | (3195)* | 38.0 ± 1.2 | (82) | Hodgson 1975 | | |
| Victoria | | 38°S | 1207 | (564)* | | | Montague, T. 1982 | | |
| South Australia | | 36° S | 1106 ± 148 | (8)* | - | | Baudinette et al. (1986 | | |
| Western Australia | | 32° S | 1390 ± 193 | (51)* | - | | Montague, D. 1982 | | |
| Western Australia | | 32° S | 1403 ± 207 | (366)* | 39.6 ± 2.1 | (384) | Klomp 1987 | | |

| Table 1 | Mean body mass and bill length of Little Penguins Eudyptula minor from different |
|---------|--|
| | colonies. Sample sizes in parentheses. Values marked * calculated for non-moulting |
| | season only; that marked ** for moulting season only. |

N.I. Klomp and R.D. Wooller

An earlier study on Penguin Island (Montague 1982) revealed that this was not a new or transitory situation, and incidental measurements on penguins from Carnac Island indicated that they were similar in size to those on Penguin Island. Other colonies also showed a relative consistency in the size of their penguins (Table 1). There was also a significant correlation ($r_9 = +0.81$; p < 0.01) between the mean mass of penguins in the populations studied and their bill length. It is therefore probable that both measurements are good indicators of overall size, although there is no clear trend for them to vary with latitude or geographically in any other simple pattern.




Figure 1 The frequency distributions of 366 measurements of body mass (lower) and 384 bill lengths (upper) from Little Penguins *Eudyptula minor* on Penguin Island, Western Australia. Values for males (M) and females (F) sexed by dissection are indicated.

Size of Little Penguins

Discussion

The large size of Little Penguins at their northern limit is puzzling. The larger species of penguins tend to inhabit the higher latitudes and smaller penguins lower latitudes. Bergmann's rule would also predict that populations of a species would be smaller in a warmer climate, such as that near Perth, particularly in a surface-nesting population such as that on Penguin Island. However, the thermoregulatory problems of life at sea may result in opposing selective pressures for larger size. The penguins from Penguin Island appear to feed mainly in shallow, inshore, relatively warm waters. Their bigger size may therefore be related to a more favourable climate and food supply linked to the Leeuwin Current, warm tropical water which flows south down the Western Australian coast each winter and leads to unusually protracted breeding in the area (Dunlop and Wooller 1986). It would be interesting to examine the size of Little Penguins from the south coast of Western Australia under a much cooler climatic regime.

Penguin colonies are often essentially isolated from one another, and a different suite of selective pressures presumably occurs at each. This may result in complex patterns of geographical variation in size, such as that seen in Gentoo Penguins Pyqoscelis papua (Stonehouse 1970). The relative isolation of Little Penguins on the west coast of Australia may have enhanced the development of a population of very large birds. Indeed, they are much more distinct in size from other conspecifics than several of the subspecies recognised by Kinsky and Falla (1976).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Western Australian Department of Conservation and Land Management and Penguin Island Pty Ltd for allowing us to work on Penguin Island. The Australian National Parks and Wildlife Service Bird Banding Scheme provided the bands used in the study which was supported by Australian Geographic, the Department of Conservation and Land Management, the Ecological Society of Australia, the Western Australian Tourism Commission and the Murdoch University Special Research Grant.

References

- Baudinette, R.V., Gill, P. and O'Driscoll, M. (1986). Energetics of the Little Penguin Eudyptula minor: Temperature regulation, the calorigenic effect of food, and moulting. Aust. J. Zool. 34: 35-45.
- Dunlop, J.N. and Wooller, R.D. (1986). Range extensions and the breeding seasons of seabirds in south-western Australia. Rec. West. Aust. Mus. 12: 389-394.
- Gales, R.P. (1987). Growth strategies in Blue Penguins Eudyptula minor minor. Emu 87: 212-219.
- Hodgson, A. (1975). Some aspects of the ecology of the Fairy Penguin Eudyptula minor novaehollandiae (Forster) in southern Tasmania. Ph.D. thesis, University of Tasmania.
- Jones, G. (1978). The Little Blue Penguin (Eudyptula minor) on Tiritiri Matangi Island. M.Sc. thesis, University of Auckland.

- Kinsky, F.C. (1960). The yearly cycle of the Northern Blue Penguin (Eudyptula minor novaehollandiae) in the Wellington Harbour area, Rec. Dom. Mus. Wellington 3: 145-218.
- Kinsky, F.C. and Falla, R.A. (1976). A subspecific revision of the Australasian Blue Penguin (Eudyptula minor) in the New Zealand area. Nat. Mus. N.Z. Rec. 1: 105-126.
- Klomp, N.I. (1987). The breeding biology and diet of the Little Penguin Eudyptula minor (Forster) on Penguin Island, Western Australia. B.Sc. Honours thesis, Murdoch University.
- Montague, D.N. (1982). The vocal behaviour of the Little Penguin *Eudyptula minor* near Fremantle, Western Australia. B.Sc. Honours thesis, Murdoch University.
- Montague, T.L. (1982). The food and feeding ecology of the Little Penguin (Eudyptula minor) at Phillip Island, Victoria, Australia. M.Sc. thesis, Monash University.
- Reilly, P.N. and Balmford, P. (1975). A breeding study of the Little Penguin *Eudyptula minor* in Australia. In 'The Biology of Penguins' (Ed. B. Stonehouse) (Macmillan, London): 161-187.
- Richdale, L.E. (1940). Random notes on the genus Eudyptula on the Otago Peninsula, New Zealand. Emu 40: 180-217.
- Serventy, D.L., Serventy, V. and Warham, J. (1971). The Handbook of Australian Seabirds. (A.H. and A.W. Reed: Sydney.)
- Stonehouse, B. (1970). Geographic variation in Gentoo Penguins Pygoscelis papua. Ibis 112: 52-57.
- Storr, G.M., Johnstone, R.E. and Griffin, P. (1986). Birds of the Houtman Abrolhos, Western Australia. Rec. West. Aust. Mus. Suppl. 24: 1-42.