INTRODUCTION

Dryland salinity was first recognised as a significant threat to agriculture in the Western Australian wheatbelt in the early twentieth century (Mann, 1907; Patterson, 1917; Wood, 1924). However, it was not until the early 1970s that rising saline groundwaters were identified as a major threat to wetland biodiversity at Lake Toolibin (NARWC, 1987). The severity and widespread nature of the threat to biodiversity, both in Western Australia and elsewhere in Australia, received little attention in the scientific literature until the late 1980s when papers on wetland (Halse, 1988, Hart et al. 1990; Halse et al. 1993a,b) and terrestrial effects (George et al., 1995) were documented. Soon after a whole of Government approach was announced to combat dryland salinisation in Western Australia. Initially called the Salinity Action Plan (Government of Western Australia, 1996), through a process of review and public consultation it became the Western Australian Salinity Strategy (State Salinity Council, 2000).

During the development of the Salinity Action Plan it was recognised that the natural biodiversity of the south-west agricultural region was poorly documented. As a consequence, it was essential to survey the biological resources of the area to provide a basis for planning a systematic response to salinisation. Specifically, it was stated that (Government of Western Australia, 1996, page 24):

"Much better biological data are required to:
Select further recovery catchments, and provide ecological advice for catchment management;
Understand and develop the resource base of species for use in land conservation and for commercial development."

The accompanying Action Statement was:
"CALM will:
• Conduct a biological survey, in the agricultural region, with an emphasis on low-lying areas that are vulnerable to salinity, to identify nature conservation priorities and to identify plant species that are likely to be of value in revegetation for both commercial production and land conservation;
• Using the results of the biological survey and following discussions with peak advisory bodies and affected community groups, the Government will select an additional three or four key recovery catchments by the end of 2000;"
• Funding of $0.5 million per year to be provided for CALM to undertake this work, commencing in late 1997."

Three Natural Diversity Recovery Catchments varying in size from 50,000 to 130,000 ha were nominated in the original Salinity Action Plan. They were centred on Toolibin Lake (east of Narrogin), the Lake Muir wetland complex (east of Manjimup) and the Lake Warden complex at Esperance.

Preliminary results from the survey have already been used:
• To identify a further three recovery catchments around Lake Bryde, (south of Lake Grace), between Buntine and Marchagee in the northern wheatbelt and around Drummond Nature Reserve (west of Bolgart).
• Provided to the review of the Strategy (Frost et al., 2001) and the Wallace (2001) review of CALM's role in salinity management.

The reviews led to some enhancement of the Biological Survey Program. These included the following actions. It was proposed that the results of the biological survey be combined with those of the SS2020 project to help define biodiversity conservation priorities (Salinity Strategy, 1998; page 31). This resulted from a report to the State Salinity Council by Clarke et al. (1999) dealing with the effect of recharge management on the extent of dryland salinity, flood risk and biodiversity in Western Australia. Subsequently these data were combined into a separate report to the State Salinity Council (George et al., 1999). The use of the Biological Survey Program to identify species that should, as a matter of priority, be collected for germplasm storage was also identified in the Salinity Strategy (page 32 and page 8 of the action
Lists of vascular plant species particularly at risk have been provided to CALM’s Seed Storage Unit, and a detailed list is being prepared separately. Also, with the higher profile given to management of saline lands in the Salinity Strategy, the need for the Biological Survey Program to identify saline areas of high biodiversity for improved management was also noted.

A final change was that CALM would identify at least six more natural diversity recovery catchments by 2005 based on survey findings by 2003. A preliminary list of 24 potential recovery catchments was provided to the Salinity Council by CALM in 2001 (Keighery and Lyons, 2001).

Thus by 2000, the key recommendations within the Salinity Strategy (Salinity Actions, page 8) were:

1. “Complete and publish the results of the biological survey of the agricultural region begun in 1997, with an emphasis on low-lying areas that are vulnerable to salinity, to identify nature conservation priorities and to identify plant species that are likely to be of value in revegetation for both commercial production and land conservation;
2. Use the results of the biological survey and, following consultation with peak advisory bodies and affected community groups, progressively select additional recovery catchments and develop and implement recovery plans; and
3. Conduct more detailed biological surveys needed at catchment and local scales.”

To underpin management initiatives in the Salinity Action Plan and the State Salinity Strategy, the area covered by the biological survey was mostly between the 300 and 600 mm isohyets. This encompasses what is loosely referred to as the wheatbelt and the more eastern parts of the south coast. There was an emphasis on low-lying areas that are vulnerable to salinity. Specific aims of the survey are listed below:

1. Document and assess patterns in species composition across the south-western agricultural zone using a wide range of organisms;
2. Identify and prioritise potential recovery catchments (with respect to nature conservation values);
3. Provide a regional perspective on nature conservation priorities to help determine and prioritise management actions, particularly in regard to salinity;
4. Provide baseline data and a regional framework for future monitoring;
5. In collaboration with appropriate groups/individuals (a) draw up lists of plant species that are likely to be of value in revegetation for commercial production and/or land conservation and (b) use survey data and other corporate databases to provide advice on actual or likely areas of occurrence, and provide information for updating of REX (Revegetation manual), etc.

In order to have predictive value the survey needed to be site based, describing the physical and biological attributes of each sampling site. Previous approaches used to select areas of high conservation value in the agricultural zone have been non-quantitative; for example: using large-scale vegetation maps (Beard, 1990) or have been applied to limited areas. To support the Salinity Action Plan, there was a need for a data set that provided both a broad picture and site-based information to allow predictive modeling and interpolation into areas that have not been surveyed. This one to one link between the physical and biological data is essential to provide a basis for predicting the presence of species or species assemblages beyond the actual sampling points. In addition, a site based approach is essential to provide an explicit basis for monitoring.

The study area – “The Wheatbelt”

The specified study area as defined in the Salinity Action Plan extended from the 600 mm annual rainfall isohyet inland to the eastern edge of land clearing, an area of approximately 25 million hectares. The study area is central to temperate south-western Australia, an area that is recognized internationally as a mega diverse area for flowering plants. Significant parts (including virtually all of the Avon-Wheatbelt) of six of the eight biogeographic zones recognized in south-western Australia (Thackway and Creswell, 1995) are found in the wheatbelt. Thus the study area includes a high degree of biological diversity and biogeographical complexity.

However, the wheatbelt had no previous comprehensive systematic survey of the distribution and diversity of the biota of the region. Under the umbrella of the Salinity Action Plan, and subsequently the State Salinity Strategy, the CALM Biological Survey Group with Greg Keighery as Project Leader undertook a four-year field survey (1997–2000).

The survey was structured into three themes. The large number of knowledgeable and experienced specialists in each of these areas, from a variety of institutions allowed the survey to address the diversity of a wide variety of organisms at the species level. The themes and key participants are listed below:

1 These are the Geraldton Sandplains, Swan Coastal Plain, Avon-Wheatbelt, Jarrah Forest, Mallee and Esperance Sandplains zones.
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Terrestrial Communities
Fauna: Vertebrates – Norm McKenzie, Allan Burbidge, Jim Rolfe and Bill Muir; Invertebrates (CALM) – Nadine Guthrie, Paul Van Heurck, Lisa King, Elisha Ladhams, Bethea Loudon and Bradley Durrant; Invertebrates (WA Museum) – Julianne Waldock, Barabara York Main and Mark Harvey; and Frogs (University of Western Australia) – Dale Roberts.
Soils: Trevor Stoneman.

Wetland Communities
Flora: Mike Lyons and Simon Lyons (CALM)
Fauna: Stuart Halse, Dave Cale, Winston Kay, Jane McRae, Melita Pennifold, Adrian Pinder (CALM), Russell Shiel (University of Adelaide) and Dean Blinn (Northern Arizona University).

SITE SELECTION AND SAMPLING
The study area was divided into four zones for the purposes of the survey – a northern, central, southern and Dandarragan Plateau band.
Large bushland areas within the higher rainfall zone comprising State forest, the Swan Coastal Plain and other areas of the west and south coast were not covered by the survey as it was considered they were comparatively well documented by the Swan Coastal Plain Survey, work under the Regional Forests Agreement and the Warren Flora Study (Gibson et al., 1994, Gibson and Keighery, 2000 and Lyons et al., 2000). Many of these areas are not threatened by salinity.

Terrestrial communities

Flora
Overall approximately 700 terrestrial quadrats were established and scored, of which 304 were within the terrestrial fauna sites creating the terrestrial biodiversity sites. Additional sites were established to quantify variation observed on the geomorphic units. Another 130 quadrats were established by Mattiske and Associates and the botanical survey team in all vegetation types of six large reserves previously mapped structurally by Anne Coates to compare structure and floristics. Another 200 sites have been established as part of the community survey on private and local government lands. These sites will be reported on elsewhere.

Fauna
The 304 sampling sites were positioned on a minimally disturbed example of each of the 11 principal geomorphic units in the landscape, as well as on a salt-affected example of two of the units.

Soils
Uncleared sites were chosen on typical examples of each unit and preferably within a conservation reserve. Subsequently trapping systems were installed at the sites so ground-dwelling arachnids (spiders, scorpions, centipedes), some other invertebrates (carabid beetles and millipedes) and small vertebrates (mammals, reptiles and frogs) could be sampled.

Wetland Communities

Flora and Fauna
Two hundred and thirty-two wetlands were sampled for aquatic invertebrates, waterbirds and wetland associated plants (vascular plants growing in or around wetlands and macroalgae). Diatoms were sampled in about a third of the wetlands, with a bias towards saline sites (these results have been published separately, Blinn et al., 2004).

Data Storage and analysis
Data were entered into MS ACCESS databases. Generally presence-absence matrices for site by species were generated for analysis. Data analysis pathways are as discussed under each paper.

All sites were photographed and are archived on CD in selected libraries. A complete library of all soil samples is held at Woodvale.

Outputs and activities
The clear directive from the State Salinity Council was to share knowledge from the survey as quickly and widely as possible, some of these actions are outlined in the introduction.

Perhaps the most substantial output is the development and enhancement of participants’ expertise. Members of the study have now seen most of the reserves of the agricultural zone and a significant amount of expert knowledge has accumulated on the region’s biodiversity and the threats to its persistence.

Unlike most regional surveys there was a continual call for outcomes of the survey during its course. This resulted in participants being involved in the continual production of publications, reports, seminars and workshops during the progress of the survey.
Reports included quarterly updates on progress of the survey provided to the State Salinity Council which were frequently used in their newsletter. Over 50 presentations at seminars and workshops were made during the survey to bodies as diverse as the National Biodiversity Lecture in Canberra, Greening Australia, Naturalist Clubs, CWA annual conference, CALM regions and districts and catchment groups, including an international conference on biodiversity effects of salination. Most of these presentations involved handouts of information and many of these have been published as proceedings or papers (Keighery, 2000, 2003, Keighery et al., 2000, 2001; Davis et al., 2003; Halse et al., 2003; McKenzie et al., 2003). There have also been a wide variety of popular articles ranging from newspapers, web pages, books, newsletters and natural history magazines (Keighery, 2001a,b).

Some natural diversity recovery catchments have been surveyed as requested in the Salinity Action Plan Review and subsequent State Salinity Strategy. A major publication on the vegetation and flora of the Lake Muir Natural Diversity Recovery Catchment has been published in CALMScience (Gibson and Keighery, 2000). The floral values of Drummond Nature Reserve (now a natural diversity recovery catchment) were documented and published (Keighery et al., 2002). The five year monitoring program for Lake Muir Recovery Catchment is in press (Gibson et al., 2004).

Aquatic invertebrate and waterbird species lists for some of the major wetlands in recovery catchments have been provided by Halse et al. (2000) and Cale et al. (2004).

There has also been a high level of community involvement ranging from field day, seminars and structured surveys for example approximately 200 sites established on private and shire lands by members of the Western Australian Wildflower Society (Inc.) supported by CALM. Detailed reports on the areas surveyed are placed in major libraries and a copy is held at Woodvale, eg: “Quairading Nature Reserve” (Keighery et al., 2001). Woodland Watch was established by WWF to help with the conservation of Woodlands of the Western Wheatbelt as an outcome of the survey, this has also established a large number of floristic sites that will be used to detail floristic communities in these woodlands.

Taxonomic outcomes of the survey are also being published for the vascular flora (Keighery, 2002a,b,c, 2004a,b; Keighery and Marchant, 2002; Keighery and Keighery, 2004; Keighery and Lyons, 2004a,b) and wetland invertebrates (Watts and Pinder, 2000; Halse and McRae, 2001, 2004; Pinder and Halse, 2001).

This volume is another of the continuing outputs from the survey.
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