A taxonomic overview and key to the ants of Barrow Island, Western Australia

83

B. E. Heterick

Department of Environment and Agriculture, Curtin University, Bentley, Western Australia 6102, Australia. Email: B.Heterick@Curtin.edu.au

ABSTRACT - This work characterises the ant (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) fauna of Barrow Island, Western Australia, and provides a key to the workers and several unique reproductives of the 117 species recorded from the island thus far. In all, 11 of the 13 subfamilies of Western Australian ants have been recorded from Barrow Island, but Myrmeciinae and Heteroponerinae are absent. At a generic level, the fauna of the island is less rich, holding 36 of the 71 genera currently known from Western Australia. The ant fauna is characteristic of the Eremaean Botanical Province of the Pilbara, rather than that of the Carnarvon Basin from which Barrow Island is geologically derived. Ninety-three ant species (79.5% of the total on Barrow Island) are shared with the ant fauna of the Pilbara region on the adjoining mainland, but only 52 species (44.4% of the total) are shared with the ant fauna of the Carnarvon Basin. The island is very rich in unspecialised and thermophilic ant species. Five such genera, i.e., Iridomyrmex (14 spp.), Monomorium (13 spp.), Polyrhachis (12 spp.), Melophorus (10 spp.), and Camponotus (nine spp.) make up almost 50% (i.e., 49.6%) of the island's ant fauna. Very few ants appear to be endemic to Barrow Island. The relative proportions of the two major subfamilies (Formicinae and Myrmicinae, together comprising 61.5% of the total ant richness) are similar to the proportions found in the South-west Botanical Division for these two subfamilies (i.e., 65.9%), with Barrow Island having a slightly lower ratio of formicines to myrmicines than is found in the south-west of the state. An estimate of the total number of ant species likely to occur on Barrow Island, using the Estimate-S program (Colvvell 2009), suggests that a maximum of fourteen additional species may be as yet unrecorded.

KEYWORDS: Barrow Island, ant fauna, taxonomic key, Chevron Australia

INTRODUCTION

Barrow Island is a 202 km² island located some 50 km off the north-west Australian coast, with the ports of Onslow and Dampier being the nearest major population centres on the adjoining Australian mainland. The climate on the island is warm to hot, with the highest maxima occurring in January and February (33.2°C and 33.3°C, respectively) and the lowest minima in July and August (17.7°C and 17.8°C, respectively). Overall, the average annual maximum is 29.1°C and the average annual minimum is 22.2°C. The mean annual rainfall is 324.6mm, most of it falling in the period January to May (Bureau of Meteorology). This is the period during which cyclones or monsoonal lows affect Australia's northern half. In appearance the island is rather uniform with flat spinifex grasslands dominating, interspersed with termite mounds. However, more than 227 other plants can be found on the island (Australian Broadcasting Corporation 2011), many of them arid-adapted. Fauna includes 15 terrestrial and seven marine mammals (Bamford and Bamford 2005).

Barrow Island has been subject to a baseline

survey since 2005 (Callan et al. 2011) by Curtin University students and by employees associated with the Gorgon natural gas project (Chevron Australia). Among the more than 2000 terrestrial invertebrate species collected since 2005 have been 117 species of ants. Accurately documenting the taxonomy of the ants collected on Barrow Island has been a slow and painstaking process. This is partially due to our still incomplete knowledge of the West Australian ant fauna, and partially due to the isolation of Perth from the main centres of myrmecological expertise and the major ant collections on the Eastern Australian seaboard. A short summary of the history of Western Australian ant taxonomy is in order: the ants of Western Australia have been described in a mainly piecemeal fashion for a century-and-a-half, with most early taxonomic publications resulting from collections made by foreign researchers who came to Western Australia on expeditions, e.g., W. Michaelsen and R. Hartmeyer's expedition to South-western Australia, E. Mjöberg's 1910-1911 expedition to North-western Australia (the ants were described by Forel in 1907 and 1915, respectively) and W. M. Wheeler, who

visited Rottnest Island in 1931 (Wheeler 1934). Other significant taxonomic contributions made by overseas researchers include those of F. Smith (1858, 1877); C. Emery (e.g., 1895, 1898) and W. C. Crawley (1915, 1922). Important early Australian researchers of Western Australian Formicidae did not appear until the 1920's and were led by J. Clark (a number of papers, most notably, 1924a, 1924b, 1926, 1930, 1934, 1936, 1938, 1943 and 1951) and Father J. J. McAreavey (1947, 1949, 1956 and 1957). In the post WWII period, R. W. Taylor described several uncommon Western Australian ants and assisted in the revision of the Australian bulldog ants (Taylor 1962, Taylor 1973, Ogata and Taylor 1991), while more mainstream taxa have been treated in revisionary works by Shattuck and his colleagues (e.g., Shattuck 1993a; Shattuck 1993b; Shattuck 1996; Shattuck and McMillan 1998; Shattuck and McArthur 2002; Shattuck 2007; Shattuck 2008; Shattuck 2009) and Heterick (Heterick 2001; Heterick 2003; Heterick and Shattuck 2011). Heterick has also produced a handbook on the ants of South-western Australia, with several nomenclatural amendments but no new species descriptions (Heterick 2009). Despite these efforts, however, the Western Australian ant fauna is still relatively poorly known compared with that of the eastern Australian states. A name cannot confidently be assigned to about 38% of the morphospecies of the comprehensive Western Australian holdings in the Curtin Ant Collection, and to more than 46% of the taxa whose range lies outside of the Southwestern Botanical Division (i.e., around 133 spp.).

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE BARROW ISLAND ANT FAUNA

76 of the 117 species of Barrow Island ants can be assigned a name, this constituting 65.0% of the ant fauna of the island. The taxa themselves are very representative of the broader Eremaean ant fauna, with 93 species shared between Barrow Island and the adjoining mainland. This represents 79.5% of the ants recognised from Barrow Island and 38.0% of the 245 Pilbara ants identified in Heterick et al. (2010). By way of contrast, only 52 ant species from Barrow Island (i.e., 44.4% of the total recognized) are included within the 243 species of ants identified by Gunawardene and Majer (2004) from the southern Carnarvon Basin, the latter reflecting a much more temperate fauna. This is an interesting observation in view of the fact that Barrow Island was part of the Carnarvon Basin until 8000 years ago, when it was separated by rising sea levels (Eldridge et al. 1999). Five of the ants collected from Barrow Island are definitely not represented elsewhere in the Curtin Ant Collection and, of these, four are almost certainly unnamed (i.e., Rhytidoponera ?micans complex sp. JDM 1129, Discothyrea sp. JDM 1130 (a queen), Carebara sp. JDM 1131 and Meranoplus sp. JDM 1133), while *Probolomyrmex latalongus* Shattuck et al. (2012) (a queen) also occurs elsewhere in the north of the Australian mainland. Four Barrow Island ant species, including the two mentioned above, have only been collected as queens or males.

At the subfamily level, the fauna is taxonomically rich, with 11 subfamilies represented out of the 22 extant subfamilies currently recognized (Ward, 2007; Rabeling et al., 2008). At the generic level the fauna is less diverse, reflecting the harsh, largely waterless landscape. Only 36 of the 71 genera currently known from Western Australia occur on Barrow Island. Large, important mainland groups absent from Barrow Island or represented by only one species include Myrmecia (absent), Plagiolepis (absent), Prolasius (absent) Stigmacros (one species) and all of the Dacetini, apart from one Strumigenys species. No Myrmeciinae or Heteroponerinae are found on Barrow Island. On the other hand, genera with many unspecialized or thermophilic species are wellrepresented, e.g., Iridomyrmex (14 spp.), Monomorium (13 spp.), Polyrhachis (12 spp.), Melophorus (10 spp.), and Camponotus (nine spp.). Together, these five genera make up almost 50% (i.e., 49.6%) of the Island's ant fauna.

An interesting feature of the Barrow Island ants is the relative proportions of the two major subfamilies, Formicinae and Myrmicinae. Formicinae comprise 38.9% of the morphospecies of ants from the Southwest Botanical Province held in the Curtin Ant Collection, and Myrmicinae comprise 27.0%. This disparity is rather less pronounced on Barrow Island, with Formicinae accounting for 31.6% of the morphospecies compared with 29.9% for the Myrmicinae. On Barrow Island, a few large genera in these two groups are the most significant contributors; for the Formicinae, Polyrhachis, Camponotus and Melophorus comprise 83.8% of the formicine morphospecies, and Monomorium, Meranoplus (six spp.), Pheidole (five spp.) and Tetramorium (three spp.) comprise 77.1% of the myrmicines (Table 1).

Since invertebrate sampling has taken place on Barrow Island annually since 2005, there are sufficient data for the Island's total ant richness to be assessed. This was done using the EstimateS program, version 8.2 (Colwell 2009) with four different estimators selected. All four species accumulation curves show a strong flattening with added sampling. The sampling based rarefaction curve (Mao Tau), examining a sub-sample of the pooled total species richness, produced the most conservative estimate of 111 species, which actually slightly understates the present record of 117 morphospecies. Total richness estimators (Chao 1 and Jack 1) predicted 131 and 127 (126.5) species, respectively. Bootstrapping resulted in an intermediate estimate of 118 species (118.3). These results suggest that the ant fauna of Barrow Island, although comprehensively sampled, may yet yield up to 14 taxa that are currently unrecognised.

×
SH.
5
.≚
Ч
_
Ę.
Ľ
Ō
÷
Ξ.
~
e
at
S
ŝ
as
Ś
er
문
aĽ
Se
ě
7
á
12
ò
.1
22
ö
2
8
÷.
ď
Ð
수
2
Ţ
Я
a N
<u></u>
≥
2
ar
ш
UC
õ
te
C)
Ше
3
ŝ
. <u>e</u> .
ĕ
sp
Ľ.
ar
Ę
ţ
<u>.s</u>
<u>.</u>
Ĩ
A
Ē

Aenictus turneri Forel AENICTINAE

AMBLYOPONINAE

Amblyopone sp. indet. (\mathcal{J})

CERAPACHYINAE

Sphinctomyrmex duchaussoyi (André) Cerapachys longitarsus (Mayr) Cerapachys ruficornis (Clark) Cerapachys brevis (Clark) Cerapachys sp. JDM 1103 Cerapachys sp. JDM 1170 Cerapachys sp. JDM 942

DOLICHODERINAE

Iridomyrmex coeruleus Heterick and Shattuck Iridomyrmex tenuiceps Heterick and Shattuck Iridomyrmex gibbus Heterick and Shattuck Iridomyrmex cephaloinclinus Shattuck Doleromyrma rottnestensis Wheeler Iridomyrmex sanguineus Forel Iridomyrmex hartmeyeri Forel Iridomyrmex exsanguis Forel Iridomyrmex anceps (Roger) Iridomyrmex mjobergi Forel Iridomyrmex dromus Clark Iridomyrmex discors Forel Ochetellus flavipes (Kirby) Iridomyrmex minor Forel Iridomyrmex agilis Forel Iridomyrmex chasei Forel Arnoldius sp. JDM 433 Ochetellus sp. JDM 527 Tapinoma sp. JDM 78

ECTATOMMINAE

Rhytidoponera ? micans complex sp. JDM 1129 Rhytidoponera crassinoda (Forel)

Shutidoponera tyloxys Brown and Douglas

Rhytidoponera taurus (Forel)

FORMICINAE

Camponotus donnellani Shattuck and McArthur Camponotus evae complex sp. JDM 1158 Camponotus cf. evae (sp. JDM 1116) Opisthopsis haddoni rufoniger Forel Vylanderia braueri glabrior (Forel) ^Daratrechina longicornis (Latreille) ^Daraparatrechina minutula (Forel) Camponotus simpsoni McArthur ²olyrhachis ammonoeides Roger ²araparatrechina sp. JDM 916 ²olyrhachis inconspicua Emery Melophorus insularis Wheeler Camponotus evae zeuxis Forel Melophorus ludius sulla Forel ⁹olyrhachis bohemia Kohout Camponotus scratius Forel Camponotus fieldeae Forel Welophorus sp. JDM 1063 Camponotus discors Forel Camponotus capito Mayr Melophorus turneri Forel Welophorus sp. JDM 520 Welophorus sp. JDM 532 Velophorus sp. JDM 545 Welophorus sp. JDM 897 Melophorus marius Forel Polyrhachis gravis Clark Melophorus ludius Forel

Stigmacros termitoxena Wheeler ⁹olyrhachis ?melanura Kohout Polyrhachis seducta Kohout Polyrhachis sp. JDM 1010 Polyrhachis sp. JDM 1009 Polyrhachis sp. JDM 808 ²olyrhachis sp. JDM 703 Polyrhachis sp. JDM 807 ²olyrhachis senilis Forel

eptanilla swani Wheeler (ீ) LEPTANILLINAE

MYRMICINAE

Monomorium eremophilum Heterick Crematogaster laeviceps chasei Forel *Monomorium disetigerum* Heterick Monomorium insolescens Wheeler Monomorium arenarium Heterick Monomorium euryodon Heterick Meranoplus fenestratus F. Smith Meranoplus dimidiatus F. Smith Crematogaster sp. JDM 1132 Cardiocondyla atalanta Forel Cardiocondyla nuda (Mayr) Meranoplus sp. JDM 1133 Monomorium 'antipodum' Meranoplus sp. JDM 865 Meranoplus sp. JDM 889 Monomorium laeve Mayr Meranoplus sp. JDM 268 Monomorium fieldi Forel Carebara sp. JDM 1131 Monomorium leae Forel

Monomorium sydneyense complex sp. JDM 101 Pheidole sp. JDM 177 (nr variabilis Mayr) Tetramorium striolatum Viehmeyer Monomorium sydneyense Forel Tetramorium spininode Bolton Tetramorium sjostedti Forel Strumigenys sp. JDM 1230 Solenopsis belisarius Forel Solenopsis clarki Crawley Pheidole mjobergi Forel Pheidole sp. JDM 1134 Pheidole sp. JDM 536 Pheidole sp. JDM 684

PONERINAE

Anochetus renatae Shattuck and Slipinska Pachycondyla denticulata (Kirby) Odontomachus ruficeps F. Smith Anochetus rectangularis Mayr Leptogenys cf. tricosa Taylor Pachycondyla lutea (Mayr) Hypoponera sp. JDM 1142 Leptogenys sp. JDM 1128

PROCERATIINAE

Probolomyrmex latalongus (Shattuck, Gunawardene and Heterick) (\mathbb{Q}) Discothyrea sp. JDM 1130 (\uparrow)

PSEUDOMYRMECINAE

Tetraponera punctulata F. Smith

Monomorium rubriceps group sp. JDM 1175

Tapinoma sp. JDM 981

Monomorium punctulatum Heterick



FIGURE 1Presence/absence species accumulation curve illustrating estimated number of ant species on Barrow
Island based on four estimators (Mao Tau (▲), Chao 1 Mean (♦) Jackknife 1 Mean (■) and Bootstrap Mean
(●) in EstimateS version 8.2 (Colwell 2009).

KEY TO THE ANTS OF BARROW ISLAND

For technical terms used in the key below, the reader is referred to Heterick (2009, pp. 10–11, and also pp. 198–201 (glossary)).

(Nb. This key recognises mainly workers, but the two queens mentioned above are also included as they are the only representatives of their respective genera. Amblyoponinae, represented by one unidentified male, is also included. Numbers in the case of unnamed species refer to vouchers used in the Curtin University Ant Collection.)

 Dorsal surface of mesosoma rounded onto lateral surfaces, lateral carinae absent or vestigial (Figure 5)...... *Cerapachys longitarsus* (Mayr) Dorsal surface of mesosoma delimited from

lateral surfaces by distinct carinae (Figure 6)

- 5. Ocelli present; posterior corners of head with weakly defined to strong but incomplete dorsolateral carina curving towards eye (Figure 7)......*Cerapachys* sp. JDM 1103

Ocelli absent; posterior corners of head without dorsolateral carina curving towards eye (Figure 8)*Cerapachys* sp. JDM 1170

Petiole black, the same colour as the mesosoma and postpetiole......7

- - Petiolar node rectangular, without a narrow membrane, its posterior angles denoted by small denticles; gaster uniformly black, the same as the postpetiole (Figure 10)...... *Cerapachys ruficornis* (Clark)

 Waist consisting of a single distinct segment (the petiole); abdomen may be more-or-less deeply impressed behind segment III (Figure 11)9

 Apex of hypopygium with a circular or semi-circular cone (the acidopore), usually projecting as a nozzle and modified to spray formic acid and often fringed with setae (Formicinae) (Figures 13, 14)......10



Antenna with 12 segments (including the scape) (other formicine genera)......11

11. Lower corner of mesosoma below propodeum without an opening (to the metapleural gland) fringed with long setae, though a few scattered setae may be present (Figure 16) 12

Lower corner of mesosoma below propodeum with an opening (just above hind coxa) that is often fringed with long setae (Figure 17).....32

> Tergite of first gastral segment much less than half total length of gaster, spines always absent on body segments in Western Australian species; propodeal angle (if present) rounded (Figure 19); major and minor worker castes, at least, always present; media workers often present (*Camponotus*)..24

Mesosoma, gaster and legs glabrous15

In rear view, propodeal dorsum not separated from propodeal declivity by a distinct carina, although there may be a bluntly defined angle; larger species (HW \ge 1.7 mm) (Figure 27)...... *Polyrhachis* sp. JDM 1010

19. Lateral margins of mesonotum and propodeum with spinous processes (Figure 30)......*Polyrhachis* sp. JDM 808

Lateral margins of mesonotum and propodeum entire (Figure 31) 20



FIGURE 28

FIGURE 29

FIGURE 30

FIGURE 31

In dorsal view, sculpture of dorsum of mesosoma partially obscured by golden pubescence......*Polyrhachis* sp. JDM 807

Ground colour of entire body blackish.......22

> Extremities of the petiolar spines recurved but not hooked and projected ventrad (Figure 33)......23

24. Mentum of major and minor worker with elongate, J-shaped setae near its posterior margin (Figure 34) *Camponotus donnellani* Shattuck and McArthur

Without elongate, J-shaped setae on posterior margin of mentum (Figure 35)25

- 30. In profile, mesonotum and propodeum of major and minor workers elongate and undulant, saddle-shaped in the minor worker (Figure 42)*Camponotus capito* Mayr



35. Mesonotum and propodeum of minor worker confluent, metanotal groove completely lacking (major worker unknown) (Figure 56)*Melophorus* sp. JDM 897

36. In profile, mesosoma of minor worker with a compact appearance, its dorsal outline describing a pronounced arc due to shape of the mesonotum and mesopleuron (mesosternal outline and dorsum of mesonotum strongly convergent anteriorly) (Figure 58); in profile, clypeus of all workers gently recurved and produced as a small ledge over basal sector of mandibles (Figure 59)......*Melophorus insularis* Wheeler

> In profile, mesosoma of minor worker with elongate gracile appearance, its dorsal outline straight or describing a weak arc (mesosternal outline and dorsum of mesonotum weakly convergent to subparallel anteriorly (Figure 60)); in profile, clypeus of all workers produced as a flange that projects anteriad well past basal sector of mandibles (Figure 61)*Melophorus* sp. JDM 545

38. Gaster with curved erect, semi-erect setae and a few decumbent setae only, genuine appressed setae lacking; body strongly sculptured and hirsute, antennal scapes and legs with whorls of many fine, straight setae*Melophorus* sp. JDM 532



> Colour various shades of brown, reddish brown or deep orange, concolorous or bicoloured with gaster always darker (often dark brown or black), but never as above....... *Melophorus turneri* Forel

42. In full-face view, major worker with posterior clypeal margin not arched or falling away between antennal insertion and tentorial pit (Figure 66); anterior clypeal margin of major worker straight and never protuberant; major worker mesosoma with up to a dozen fine, flexuous erect setae; minor worker mesosoma glabrous, glossy, with superficial sculpture only; colour pale yellowish to brown, concolorous or with darker gaster...... *Melophorus ludius* Forel

43. Mandible armed with six or seven teeth; antennal scape with erect setae (*Nylanderia*)*Nylanderia braueri glabrior* (Forel)
45. Eye elliptical, moderate in size (eye length < 0.3 x head length (Figure 70); brownish-yellow *Paraparatrechina minutula* (Forel)

Eye slightly asymmetrical, larger (eye length ≈ 0.3 x head length) (Figure 71); depigmented yellow Paraparatrechina minutula group sp. JDM 916

Eye smaller, eye length \leq ¹/₄ length of head capsule (Figure 77)...... *Tapinoma* sp. JDM 78

49. Palps very short (PF 2,2) (Figure 78); eyes small (about 50 facets); clypeus with several to many downwardly curved setae, which are about the same length as the closed mandible (*Arnoldius*)*Arnoldius* sp. JDM 433









FIGURE 65

FIGURE 66

FIGURE 67

FIGURE 68



FIGURE 69

FIGURE 70



FIGURE 71







FIGURE 72

FIGURE 73

FIGURE 74









FIGURE 75

FIGURE 76

FIGURE 77

FIGURE 78

> In profile, propodeum square, about as long as high; in dorsal view, propodeum terminating in a squared-off flange (Figures 83a, b)......*Ochetellus* sp. JDM 527

53. In profile, petiolar node thick, very elongate and strongly inclined anteriad, the anterior face very short or even virtually absent (Figure 86); in full-face view, frontal carinae strongly concave (Figure 87) *Iridomyrmex cephaloinclinus* Shattuck

> Antennal scapes long (SL > 1.35mm); in fullface view, anteromedial clypeal prominence longer, extending beyond lateral lobes of anterior clypeal margin; erect hairs on head and body typically bristly and dark in colour.*Iridomyrmex sanguineus* Forel

56. Semi-erect to erect setae present on antennal scapes and hind tibiae and, often, sides of head (a few hirsute populations of *I. chasei* that may occur on Barrow Island)......*Iridomyrmex chasei* Forel (pt.)

57. Hind tibiae with distinct erect and/or semi-erect setae in addition to appressed or decumbent setae (may be sparse).......58



Without above combination of three characters (in *I. agilis*, which is similar, the eye is smaller)......60

63. Propodeal dorsum rounding on to declivitous face through a protuberance (Figure 98)....... *Iridomyrmex exsanguis* Forel

Propodeal dorsum evenly rounded on to declivitous face without a protuberance (Figure 99) *Iridomyrmex dromus* Clark

> Not concolorous brown (generally, gaster darker than body, and head and foreparts often with varying degrees of reddish or orange coloration); length of hairs on pronotum and mesonotum often exceeding greatest diameter of the eye; length of hind femur greater than or equal to 0.90 × length of mesosoma*Iridomyrmex minor* Forel (pt.)

66. In full-face view, posterior margin of head broadly concave, posterolateral corners broadly angulate (Figure 100); in profile, anterior pronotum humped, arising steeply at angle of \approx 60°; propodeum narrowly protuberant (Figure 101); head and mesosoma without iridescence; if gaster with blue-green reflections then ground colour of gaster black and foreparts bright orange with or without some brown infuscation, gaster usually with coppery reflections only; pronotum usually with 10 > erect setae, never glabrous*Iridomyrmex chasei* Forel (pt.)

67. In profile, ant very compact; anterior pronotum humped, arising at angle of $\approx 45^{\circ}$ and descending as a steep and symmetrical curve (Figure 104); propodeum narrowly protuberant and truncate with a planar dorsum; head and mesosoma never iridescent; concolorous plain brown species*Iridomyrmex gibbus* Heterick and Shattuck



68. Dorsum of mesosoma with short, bristly whitish setae; iridescence distinct and bluish in Barrow Island populations *Iridomyrmex coeruleus* Heterick and Shattuck

> Dorsum of mesosoma either glabrous or with a few short, dark, bristly setae; weak, coppery iridescence only in Barrow Island populations....*Iridomyrmex mjobergi* Forel

> Petiole with distinctly descending posterior face; dentiform clypeal setae absent (Figure 107)......70

> In profile, metapleural gland orifice elliptical to circular and opening laterally or posteriorly, not bounded by strip of cuticle that directs orifice upward (Figure 109) 74

71. In full-face view, angles of vertex of head capsule produced as distinct, raised denticles (Figure 110)......*Rhytidoponera taurus* (Forel)

In full-face view, angles of vertex of head capsule more-or-less rounded angles (Figure 111)......72

Hind tibial spur present and distinct; apex of petiolar node planar or tapered and lacking a process or point directed posteriad (e.g., Figure 113)......73

- - In profile, petiolar node thin and tapered towards its apex (Figure 115)..... *Rhytidoponera ?micans* complex sp. JDM 1129

Second gastral tergite only very weakly arched, successive segments oriented posteriad (Figure 121) (*Probolomyrmex*)...... *Probolomyrmex latalongus* (Shattuck, Gunawardene and Heterick) (a single queen)

76. Mandibles long and linear, inserted in central anterior margin of head (Figure 122)......77



82. Larger species (HW ≥ 2 mm); heavily sculptured *Pachycondyla denticulata* (Kirby)

Smaller species (HW ≈ 1 mm); at most, weakly sculptured *Pachycondyla lutea* (Mayr)

> Joint between pronotum and mesonotum flexible; antennae 12-segmented (Figure 133); length 2.5 mm < (Leptanillinae: *Leptanilla*) *Leptanilla swani* Wheeler (males only collected on Barrow Island)

> In full-face view, clypeus weakly incurved, moderately to strongly tapered anteriad with a straight anteromedial margin and extended well beyond the apices of the antennal lobes; antennal lobes narrower, so eye can often be clearly seen (Figure 142)......90

89. In full-face view, sculpture of head capsule with vestigial, minute, dense longitudinal striae that are almost invisible; in profile, petiolar node subcuboidal, its dorsum planar (Figure 143)..... *Meranoplus fenestratus* F. Smith



In full-face view, sculpture of head capsule consisting of distinct, evenly spaced longitudinal striae; in profile petiolar node narrow and tapering, its dorsum rounded (Figure 144)...... *Meranoplus* sp. JDM 268

90. In dorsal view, promesonotal shield without spines or a flange on its posterior margin (Figure 145) *Meranoplus dimidiatus* F. Smith

91. In rear view, posterior face of petiolar node with a series of v-shaped striae contained within each in a nested pattern; postpetiole also striate (Figure 147)......*Meranoplus* sp. JDM 865

92. In dorsal view, posterolateral spines of promesonotal shield the most prominent, the posterior angles represented by narrower and usually shorter spines (Figure 149)......*Meranoplus* sp. JDM 889

> Anterior sector of propodeum not flattened, often convex, not on same plane as posterior sector; anterior lateral propodeal carinae always absent (Figure 154)...... *Crematogaster laeviceps chasei* Forel

> Eye small but distinct (Figure 162) Solenopsis clarki Crawley

99. Viewed in profile, petiolar node produced as a spur directed posteriad (Figure 167); lateral margins of first gastral tergite flattened to form flanges *Tetramorium spininode* Bolton

100. Viewed in profile, gross sculpture of mesosoma consisting almost exclusively of parallel striae, the cuticle between them deeply incised (Figure 169); larger species (HW 1.20 mm >) *Tetramorium sjostedti* Forel



> Central anterior margin of clypeus with paired setae or undifferentiated setae (Figure 172); strongly dimorphic (*Pheidole*)116

104. Antenna with 11 segments 105

Antenna with 12 segments 112

> Viewed in profile, eye situated along longitudinal axis of head, distance from mandible at most only slightly less than length of eye (Figure 176)......106

106. Propodeum more-or-less rounded, with small, inconspicuous metapleural lobes (Figure 177); propodeal and mesopleural sculpture never shagreenate-punctate, usually absent, if present, confined to a few striae, particularly around the katepisternum...... 107

107. Yellow ants; propodeum relatively elongate.... *Monomorium laeve* Mayr

Propodeum shagreenate or otherwise sculptured *or* colour bright yellow; mandible with four teeth and denticles; clypeus usually distinctly bicarinate, clypeal carinae often produced as small denticles, if anterior clypeal margin rounded, then eye large109

Eye larger, tending to elongate, eye length 1.75 x greatest width of antennal scape \geq ; erect mesosomal setae absent or present at humeral angles only; concolorous yellow ants 111





FIGURE 179



> In profile, postpetiole not massive, approximately as thick through as petiolar node; anteroventral postpetiolar process an inconspicuous ledge (Figure 186)115

116. Larger species (HW of minor worker ≈ 0.8 mm); humeral angles in minor worker denoted by a small but distinct denticle (major worker unknown) (Figure 189)...... Pheidole sp. JDM 684

Smaller species (HW of minor worker ≤ 0.7 mm); humeral angles unarmed (Figure 190)117

117. Minor worker matt, uniformly microreticulate, and with striae and cross ribs on the head and mesosoma mostly only weakly indicated, if at all; propodeum weakly longitudinally bicarinate, the carinae separating dorsal and lateral surfaces in minor and major worker; viewed from above, occipital lobes of major workers smooth and glossy, without transverse rugae (Figure 191)*Pheidole* sp. JDM 536



FIGURE 181



FIGURE 183



FIGURE 184



FIGURE 182

FIGURE 185



FIGURE 186



FIGURE 187



FIGURE 188



FIGURE 189









FIGURE 191

FIGURE 192



FIGURE 193

- 118. Minor workers yellow, the lateral humeral area of the promesonotum smooth and shining; in full-face view, frons almost smooth apart from longitudinal striae, shining; in dorsal view, occipital lobes of major worker slightly flattened, with strong transverse rugae at the apex of the lobes (Figure 193)......*Pheidole* sp. JDM 1134
- Minor workers usually shades of brown, but in paler, more tawny specimens, lateral humeral area of promesonotum almost always uniformly microreticulate; frons with microsculpture (such as microreticulation) as well as longitudinal striae, and mainly matt; in dorsal view, occipital lobes of major worker evenly rounded, the apical rugae often weaker than those lower down, or even absent (Figure 194)......119

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Dr Nihara Gunawardene for the kind sharing of her Barrow Island Ant database, for testing the ant taxonomic key and for statistical advice. Thanks also go to Dr Christopher Taylor, who also tested the taxonomic key. The use of many Figures produced by Mr Brad Durrant and previously appearing in *Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplement No.* 76 is acknowledged. Professor Jonathan Majer commented on an initial draft. I also wish to thank the contributions of the two referees (Dr Steve Shattuck and Dr Phil Ward) who commented on the manuscript when it was submitted.



FIGURE 194

REFERENCES

- Australian Broadcasting Corporation (2011). (http:// www.abc.net.au/nature/island/ep3/about1.htm). Accessed 22 February 2013. (Used with permission.)
- Bureau of Meteorology (http://www.bom.gov.au/ climate/averages/tables/cw_005058.shtml). Accessed 22 February 2013.
- Bamford, M.J. and Bamford, A.R. (2005). Gorgon Development on Barrow Island Technical Report – Mammals and Reptiles. Technical Appendix C2 to Draft EIS/ERMP for the Proposed Gorgon Development. Chevron Australia, Perth, Australia.
- Callan, S.K., Majer, J.D. and Moro, D. (2011). Documenting the terrestrial invertebrate fauna of Barrow Island. *Australian Journal of Entomology* **50**: 323-343.
- Clark, J. (1924a). Australian Formicidae. *Journal of the Proceedings of the Royal Society of Western Australia* **9**: 72–89.
- Clark, J. (1924b). Australian Formicidae. *Journal of the Proceedings of the Royal Society of Western Australia* **10**: 75–89.
- Clark, J. (1926). Australian Formicidae. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* **12**: 43–51.
- Clark, J. (1930a). Some new Australian Formicidae. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria (n.s.) 42: 116–128.
- Clark, J. (1930b). The Australian ants of the genus Dolichoderus (Formicidae). Subgenus Hypoclinea Mayr. Australian Zoologist 6: 252–268.
- Clark, J. (1934). Notes on Australian ants, with descriptions of new species and a new genus. *Memoirs of the National Museum of Victoria* **8**: 5–20.
- Clark, J. (1936). A revision of Australian species of *Rhytidoponera* Mayr (Formicidae). *Memoirs of the National Museum of Victoria* **9**: 14–89.
- Clark, J. (1938). The Sir Joseph Banks Islands. Reports of the McCoy Society for Field Investigation and Research. Part 10. Formicidae (Hymenoptera). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria* (n. s.) **50**: 356–382.
- Clark, J. (1943). A revision of the genus *Promyrmecia* Emery (Formicidae). *Memoirs of the National Museum of Victoria* **13**: 83–149.
- Clark, J. (1951). *The Formicidae of Australia. Vol. 1. Subfamily Myrmeciinae.* CSIRO, Melbourne. 230 pp.

- Colwell, R.K. (2009). EstimateS: Statistical estimation of species richness and shared species from samples. Version 8.2. User's Guide and application published at http://viceroy.eeb.uconn.edu/estimates/
- Crawley, W.C. (1915). Ants from north and south-west Australia (G. F. Hill, Rowland Turner) and Christmas Island, Straits Settlements. – Part II. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* 8(15): 232–239.
- Crawley, W.C. (1922). New ants from Australia. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* **9**(9): 427–448.
- Eldridge, M.D.B., King, J.M., Loupis, A.K., Spencer, P.B.S., Taylor, A.C., Pope, L.C. and Hall, G.P. (1999). Unprecedented low levels of genetic variation and inbreeding in an island population of the black-footed rock wallaby. *Conservation Biology* **13**: 531–541.
- Emery, C. (1895). Descriptions de quelques fourmis nouvelles d'Australie. Annales de la Société entomologique de Belgique **39**: 345–358.
- Emery, C. (1898). Descrizioni di formiche nuove malesi e australiane. Note sinonimiche. *Rendiconti delle Sessioni dell'Accademia delle Scienze dell'Istituto di Bologna* (n.s.) 2: 231–245.
- Forel, A. (1907). Formicidae. In: Michaelsen, W., Hartmeyer, R. (eds.) *Die Fauna Südwest-Australiens*. Band I, Lieferung 7. Gustav Fischer: Jena. pp. 263–310.
- Forel, A. (1915). Results of Dr. E. Mjöbergs Swedish Scientific Expeditions to Australia 1910–13. 2. Ameisen. Arkiv för Zoologie 9 (16): 1–119.
- Gunawardene, N. R. and Majer, J. D. (2004). Ants of the southern Carnarvon Basin, Western Australia: an investigation into patterns of association. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* **22**: 219–239.
- Heterick, B. E. (2001). Revision of the Australian ants of the genus *Monomorium* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Invertebrate Taxonomy* **15**: 353–459.
- Heterick, B. E. (2003). Two new Australian *Monomorium* Mayr (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), including a highly distinctive species. *Australian Journal of Entomology* **42**: 249–253.
- Heterick, B. E. (2009). A guide to the ants of Southwestern Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum, Supplement* **76**: 1–206.
- Heterick, B. E., Durrant, B., and Gunawardene, N. R. (2010). The ant fauna of the Pilbara Bioregion, Western Australia *Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplement* **78**: 157–167.
- Heterick, B.E. and Shattuck, S.O. (2011). Revision of the ant genus *Iridomyrmex*. *Zootaxa* **2845**: 1–175.
- Kohout, R. J. (2013). Revision of Polyrhachis (Hagiomyrma) Wheeler 1911 (Insecta: Hymenoptera: Formicidae: Formicinae). Memoirs of the Queensland Museum/ Nature 56(2): 487–577.
- McAreavey, J. (1947). New species of the genera *Prolasius* Forel and *Melophorus* Lubbock (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). *Memoirs of the National Museum of Victoria* **15**: 7–27.
- McAreavey, J. (1949). Australian Formicidae. New genera and species. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* **74**: 1–25.
- McAreavey, J. (1956). A new species of the genus Meranoplus. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 13: 148-150.

- McAreavey, J. (1957). Revision of the genus Stigmacros Forel. Memoirs of the National Museum of Victoria 21: 7–64.
- Ogata, K. and Taylor, R. W. (1991). Ants of the genus *Myrmecia* Fabricius: a preliminary review and key to the named species (Hymenoptera: Formicidae: Myrmeciinae). *Journal of Natural History* 2 **25**: 1623–1673.
- Rabeling, C., Brown, J.M. and Verhaagh, M. (2008). Newly discovered sister lineage sheds light on early ant evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105: 14913–14917.
- Shattuck, S. O. (1993a). Revision of the *Iridomyrmex* purpureus species-group (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Invertebrate Taxonomy* 7: 113–149.
- Shattuck, S. O. (1993b). Revision of the *Iridomyrmex* calvus species-group (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Invertebrate Taxonomy* 7: 1303–1325.
- Shattuck, S. O. (1996a). Revision of the *Iridomyrmex discors* species-group (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Australian Journal of Entomology* 35: 37–42.
- Shattuck, S.O. and McMillan, P. (1998). Revision of the species of the *Iridomyrmex conifer* group (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), with notes on their biology. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **46**: 301–315.
- Shattuck, S.O. and McArthur, A.J. (2002). A taxonomic revision of the *Camponotus wiederkehri* and *perjurus* species-groups (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* 126: 63–90.
- Shattuck, S.O. (2007). New species of myrmicine ants from Western Australia. *Zootaxa* **1661**: 47–53.
- Shattuck, S.O. (2008a). Australian ants of the genus *Aphaenogaster. Zootaxa* **1677**: 25–45.
- Shattuck, S.O. (2009b). *Austromorium*, a new myrmicine ant genus from Australia. *Zootaxa* **2193**: 62–68.
- Shattuck, S. O., Gunawardene, N. R. and Heterick, B. (2012). A revision of the ant genus *Probolomyrmex* in Australia and New Guinea. *Zootaxa* 3426: 1–28.
- Smith, F. (1858). Catalogue of hymenopterous insects in the collection of the British Museum. Part VI. Formicidae. British Museum: London. 216 pp.
- Smith, F. (1877). Descriptions of new species of the genera *Pseudomyrma* and *Tetraponera*, belonging to the family Myrmicidae. *Transactions of the Entomological Society of London* 1877: 57–72.
- Taylor, R. W. (1962a). New Australian dacetine ants of the genera *Mesostruma* Brown and *Codiomyrmex* Wheeler (Hymenoptera-Formicidae). *Breviora* **152**: 1–10.
- Taylor, R. W. (1973). Ants of the Australian genus Mesostruma Brown (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Journal of the Australian Entomological Society 12: 24–38.
- Ward, P.S. (2007a). Phylogeny, classification, and specieslevel taxonomy of ants. *Zootaxa* **1668**: 549–563.
- Wheeler, W. M. (1934). Contributions to the fauna of Rottnest Island, Western Australia. No. IX. The ants. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 20: 137–163.

MANUSCRIPT RECEIVED 8 AUGUST 2012; ACCEPTED 20 MARCH 2013.

ADDENDUM

Since this paper was compiled and submitted for review, two additional ant species have been discovered on the Island. *Iridomyrmex bicknelli* Emery is a gracile, iridescent species that is common in much of southern Australia, but is much rarer north of the Tropic of Capricorn. The ant will come out in the taxonomic key at couplet 65, and can easily be distinguished from *I. anceps* and *I. minor* by its uniform dark grey colouration and bluish or yellowish-green iridescence. *Melophorus* sp. JDM 951 will come out at couplet 41, where it can best be separated from *M. turneri* in respect of its major caste, which is distinguished by stout, incurved mandibles that are likely used for milling seed (the mandibles not noticeably stout or incurved in *M. turneri* major workers). The minor workers of *Melophorus* sp. JDM 951 are matt and dark brown in colour (the cuticle normally lighter in colour and glossier in *M. turneri*) and the appressed setae on the gaster are relatively long and overlapping (short and well-separated in *M. turneri*). This species is uncommon and appears to have a localised distribution in northern Australia.

Shortly before the proofs came out, the revision of *Polyrhachis* (subgenus *Hagiomyrma*) by Kohout was published. There is some doubt about the identity of *'melanura'*, as the Barrow Island specimens do not quite fit the description in the published key, and occur considerably further south than the material examined by Kohout.